

**Goldwater Tops Votes By YRs**

**Stastny Feted At State Meet**

Sen. Barry Goldwater received the votes of 66 percent of the persons attending the state convention of Young Republicans in a straw vote for the presidency.

Richard Nixon was the second choice of the group, which met in Norfolk this weekend. Other presidential candidates and the percentage polled for each were Sen. William Scranton, seven; Amb. Henry Cabot Lodge, six; Gov. George Romney, two, and Gov. Nelson Rockefeller, two.

A University student, Steve Stastny, received the outstanding Young Republican of the Year Award. Stastny is state college director and a former president of the University YR club.

The YRs yesterday passed a resolution condemning the Young Democrats for the stand taken at the latter's state convention supporting resumption of negotiations with Cuba and urging renegotiations of the Panama Canal treaty.

Sen. William Knowland of California predicted the nomination of Barry Goldwater as presidential nominee on the first or second ballot at the national convention.

He urged Republicans to build up the candidates of their choice for the nomination without tearing down other candidates.

Nebraska Sen. Roman Hruska said that the outcome of the presidential sweepstakes would be determined by the California primary.

"Lyndon Johnson will not carry the state of Texas," predicted Buz Lukins, YR national chairman. He predicted that Atty. Gen. Robert Kennedy would make a strong bid for the Democratic presidential nomination.

Officers elected at the state meeting are Lowell Hummel of Fairbury, president; Alice Horstman of Lincoln, co-chairman; Hazel McCord of Lexington, secretary; George Moyer of Norfolk, treasurer; Ron Romans of Omaha, national committeeman and Carol Walker of Lincoln, national committeewoman.

# Daily Nebraskan

Vol. 77, No. 90

The Daily Nebraskan

Monday, April 20, 1964

## Faculty Senate Nixes Constitutional Change

An attempt by over 500 students to submit a massive constitutional change to the spring Student Council ballot met its final defeat Friday at the hands of the faculty committee on Student Affairs.

The faculty committee upheld last week's decision of the Student Council judiciary committee which declared the new constitution invalid because a complete substitution could not apply under the provisions for "revision" and "amendment" in the present constitution, as well as numerous inconsistencies. The action was immediately appealed to the faculty committee.

The committee acted on a motion to approve the judiciary committee's statement, which included a recommendation that a constitutional convention be called next fall. In addition they passed another motion "advising" the calling of a convention.

The meeting opened with statements from the proponents of the change, delivered by Bud Kimball, and from the judiciary committee, delivered by Chairman Dick Weill.

Kimball challenged the judiciary committee's right to refer to numbering errors in keeping the constitution from the ballot. He called attention to a similar case in the Nebraska constitution in 1958, when an inconsistently numbered amendment was passed and later corrected because "the original intent of the petitioners was clear."

Weill answered that the error in itself was not important, although "it should not have been made by a knowledgeable group." The main objection of the committee, Weill said, was the fact that a new constitution cannot be "superimposed" over an

existing form without a constitutional convention.

Weill quoted a 1946 Georgia decision which denied a group of petitioners the right to submit a new constitution without the consent of a greater number of people in drawing up the document. He said that a constitution of this type could be controlled by a group of minorities, each

voting for the whole constitution because of one clause that appealed to it.

A constitutional convention, he continued, would give all groups an opportunity to consider the constitution by parts, rather than an "all or none" choice.

Kimball said that many states "use no stronger word than revision" in defining a major constitutional change, and, on that basis, the judiciary committee could not rule out a new constitution because it was not a revision. The proposed constitution, he said, kept the preamble and two articles of the present constitution.

Weill countered that an "amendment" is a legislative action and "revision" implies a constitutional convention.

He quoted BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY on the definitions of both "revision" and

"amendment" and concluded that the new constitution could be construed to apply under both definitions.

Weill countered that an "amendment" is a legislative action and "revision" implies a constitutional convention.

Following the arguments of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik moved that the judiciary committee's decision be accepted. The motion was passed by a 10-1 vote. Dr. Wendell Gauger, assistant professor of botany, cast the dissenting vote against Miss Frolik's motion. Weill and Ann Wahl abstained from voting.

The committee consisted of Weill and Kimball and a short period in which both were questioned by the committee, Maureen Frolik