



Torch Tempted Independents

TO THE EDITOR:
I would like to know the real reason why the Greeks decided to put up the Greek torch. That is if any Greek knows of any other reason than the one given below.
Surely they must have

realized that the Independents would try to put it out. By trying to stop the Independents, by using the Campus Police, they are deliberately creating a severe strain in the Greek-Independent relations, which are already

poor enough.
To me, it would seem that they were trying to start a Greek-Independent War by deliberately tempting the Independents.

A TEMPTED AND DISGUSTED INDEPENDENT

★ ★ ★ ★ ★

Requires Hard Work

TO THE EDITOR:

Frankly, I'm annoyed by some of the statements made concerning the racial or Negro question in the United States.

These statements are made more often than not by people whose only source of information on the subject are biased books by Gunnar Mardal,

Walter White, Carey McWilliams, James Baldwin and others. I maintain, and I challenge any intellectual or pseudo-intellectual to prove me wrong, that if the Negro in the U.S.—or in the rest of the continent—truly desires to attain so-called equality he will have to really work hard at it.

Legislation, political "plums" given by politi-

cians seeking the Negro vote, blaming Whites and Society for their plight mean nothing if the Negro, as an individual, does not raise himself from where he is.

If the Daily Nebraskan will permit me, I'd like to invite anyone interested to a debate on the subject. My phone number is 432-6808.

JUAN MIGUEL RAMOS

★ ★ ★ ★ ★

'Fine Points' of Question

TO THE EDITOR:

In regard to Mr. Padaga's letter concerning the problems that Negroes are creating in Chicago, I would like to take this opportunity to agree with him wholeheartedly.

I could find basically only one fault in Mr. Padaga's letter and that being the fact that he did not elaborate on some of the very fine points which he obviously had.

I too, like you, don't believe that Whites are accepted by Negroes with no grudges; and this we both know is ingratitude. I firmly believe that to have contempt or hate for a group of people (Whites) there must be a basis for it, and in the case of the Negroes, they have absolutely no basis for their feelings toward the Whites.

I sincerely would like to know just what they do expect of the White race? Aren't they given every opportunity to receive a formal education in any college or university throughout the country? Aren't they given the opportunity to eat in any restaurant, stay in any

hotel, live in any neighborhood and apply for any job knowing that they will be evaluated on merit only? (George, it just seems that you can't do enough for some people nowadays).

George, in regard to the Black Muslims, I can only say this: May God watch over and protect each and every one of the fine White gentlemen who are members of the Ku Klux Klan, White Citizens' Councils and the countless number of other groups of this type sworn to protect the purity of the White race at all costs.

George, you surprised me when you asked Mr. Jones "Why is the highest rate of crime in Chicago from the Negro districts". I'm sure that you and I both know that Negroes and crime are synonymous. Let's just stop here a minute and check some facts. John Dillinger, Baby Face Nelson, Machine Gun Kenny, Dutch Schultz and of course Al Capone, did more to benefit our country than all the George Washington Carvers and others of his like put together.

Concerning the A.D.C. which is undoubtedly a fine organization, it really is too bad that it is con-

signed to Chicago for I'm sure that there are a couple of White families in the Tennessee hills, Arkansas, Mississippi, Kentucky, Alabama, Georgia and a couple of other states who not only could use literature on birth control and sanitation but also on the delicate subject of incest. These people are White. Hard to believe, isn't it.

George, I would like to conclude this letter by saying the only possible reason I can see for three families living on one apartment is maybe they couldn't find housing. But on second thought, with all the freedom of movement allowed Negroes seeking housing in Chicago, that's impossible isn't it.

Think about this George.

ROSS BARNETT

P.S. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I've always had the impression that houses near railroad tracks weren't of much value anyhow. I sincerely hope that nothing serious happened to your friend, at least not as serious as what happened to Emmitt Till.

★ ★ ★ ★ ★

Foster Asks Questions

DEAR R. S.:

I was informed of my mistake concerning the Dennis Winkle lawsuit the day my letter appeared in the Daily Nebraskan. When several days later a law student wrote a letter objecting to my irrelevant questions, I penned a reply; but for some reason the letter was never printed. However, as long as there are others who still want to take issue, I am writing another letter in reply to both you and the law student.

First, the article on Dennis Winkle is ambiguous. Other students I spoke with also thought that he was the driver of the car. The article neither mentions the driver by name nor does it use the word passenger. It says that "Bruder would not make any statement about the reason he (Winkle) was being stopped." "Mr. Winkle was stopped by the police while riding around town in a car, then as far as I'm concerned he was the driver."

Furthermore, S.C., in his column on the next page states that driving down the street at 3:00 A.M. should not constitute cause for arrest. If Mr. Winkle wasn't driving, why did S.C. make this statement?

I feel very badly about making this mistake. I feel this way because it prevented the letter I wrote from doing what it was supposed to do.

My intention was to make cutting remarks at S.C. Since everyone missed the point of my article I will now spell out for you in detail why I objected to S.C.'s column.

First, S.C. said it is regrettable that many persons do not understand the basis of the constitutional guarantees of which Winkle was deprived. He states that one cannot be arrested without probable cause and without being informed of the charges and accusations against him. The rest of his editorial consists of vague platitudes which leave all students convinced that Mr. Winkle is right, but without knowing exactly why.

I do not mind it when the school paper prints an article about a lawsuit, even when the article is ambiguous. But I do mind it when a column appears which makes no effort to explain the issues of the case, but does make an effort to let me know I just don't understand the basis of the constitutional guarantees in question.

Since apparently S.C., R.S., and "a law student" do understand them, I will now pose some "relevant" questions to the case. Please get together and submit your answers to them so that I will then understand the basis of the constitutional guarantees of which Winkle was deprived.

1. Is there such a thing as an open charge, and if so, why didn't it cover Winkle?

2. Can't the police hold anyone for 24 hours?

3. If I refuse to identify myself at a roadblock while I'm riding as a passenger in a car, must I be charged with a crime before I'm hauled in?

4. If to inform me of the reasons why I am being asked to identify myself may impede the likelihood of the police being successful, must they nevertheless do so?

5. Aren't our rights as individuals weighed against the necessary police powers of the state?

6. Doesn't a writ of habeas corpus recognize the right of police to hold people without cause, but merely prevents excessive use of this power?

7. If not, are you saying that police may no longer pick up former convicts and suspicious characters for questioning without first charging them with a crime?

8. If the police can force a man to shave his beard or give his fingerprints in order that he may be identified, why does Mr. Winkle consider identifying himself as self-incrimination?

9. Do you honestly think the Supreme Court would restrict the police power of the states to such an extent as this?

When a letter appears with adequate answers to the above questions, then I will feel that justice has been done to the school paper's coverage of the Winkle law case. If they had appeared in the first place, you would never have been bothered by irrelevant questions from an unintelligent student.

ELDRIDGE FOSTER

The Bray of The Laughing Jackass

—by bob ray

Did you ever think how frustrating it must be to be a research scientist? For instance, this university is noted throughout the scientific world for heat transfer in metals and artificial insemination of mosquitoes.

The metal work was successful and won national acclaim, a few inches in the local columns, and a large enough grant to continue the project.

But the mosquito project, part of a scheme to decrease the mosquito population by introducing sterile males to the stagnant ponds where they reproduce, was given up when the skeets proved uncooperative.

Mosquitoes just wouldn't hold still for artificial insemination, but cattle will and Nebraska's dairy industry upgrades productivity each year — partly because the University tests the daughters of bulls used in the dairy herd improvement program.

But frustrations come even to successful animal husbandry researchers.

For instance, think how frustrating it must be to need to know the amount of fat in an animal but not be able to kill it to see how much there is.

If the animal is a steer or a sheep you can use an oscilloscope. This machine measures the difference between sound waves that rebound from fat, muscle, and bone. But what happens when the thing falls off the pickup on the way out to the pasture?

Delicate machinery can be very frustrating, but people can be worse.

Suppose you're a sociologist studying the effect of tuba lessons on neighborhood relations and all your neighbors move away — what do you do, mail questionnaires? Sometimes success is even more frustrating than failure.

For instance, there are two brilliant young grad students in the Dental College who were recently praised for work done with cleft palates. Their frustration is where do we go from here?

Like the mountain climber who attains the summit and then cries because he sees so many new peaks to conquer — these researchers have a problem.

Wouldn't we all like to be so frustrated?

Next time the Laughing Jackass will discuss either the Rodeo Club's Annual Stampede or the State Legislature, depending on which is happily receptive to an interview.

Race Discussion

We are still interested in having the discussion with Mr. George Padaga, tonight in the Student Union Crib at 9 p.m.

**JO DORIS RAMSEY
JIMMY ORMAN**

Daily Nebraskan

SEVENTY-SECOND YEAR OF PUBLICATION

Member Associated Collegiate Press, International Press Representative, National Advertising Service, Incorporated. Published at: Room 51, Student Union, Lincoln 8, Nebraska.

Entered as second class matter, postage paid, at the post office in Lincoln, Nebraska.

The Daily Nebraskan is published Monday, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday during the school year, except holidays and exam periods, and once during August by students of the University of Nebraska under the jurisdiction of the Committee on Student Affairs as an expression of student opinion. Publication under the jurisdiction of the editor-in-chief of the Student Publications shall be free from official censorship or on the part of any person outside the University. The members of the Daily Nebraskan staff are personally responsible for what they say or do, or cause to be printed.

EDITORIAL STAFF
Editor: Linda Jensen
Managing Editor: Gary Lacey
News Editor: John Morris
Sports Editor: Terry Anderson
Copy Editors: Lynn Carver
Dale Butler, Wendy Rogers
Senior Staff Writers: Jim MacLean
Susan Julliberger

EDITORIAL STAFF
Editor: Linda Jensen
Managing Editor: Gary Lacey
News Editor: John Morris
Sports Editor: Terry Anderson
Copy Editors: Lynn Carver
Dale Butler, Wendy Rogers
Senior Staff Writers: Jim MacLean
Susan Julliberger
Junior Staff Writers: John Louquist
Susan Segrist, Gary Miller



MANAGING THE NEWS

INDE-structible

The University has a new organization on campus — the University Party for Progress. Many feelings have been expressed about the UPP, but one which stands out as favorable is their sincerity in producing better quality campus politics.

Student Council elections usually bring to battle the IFC slate and the independent candidates. However, the UPP has organized a slate of Council candidates to represent the colleges and oppose the IFC slate in an orderly, democratic battle which will, at least I hope, give better representation to students.

The UPP recognizes that the Student Council representation system is awkward and inadequate, and another bright spot in their list to improve in this area was presented at their convention to change the college system to a system which would represent all people through number of constituents would be represented in proportion to those in other districts.

Southern colleges and universities are still plagued by discriminatory mores, but the northern Universities have their share of unfair practices too. A resolution to investigate discrimination on the campus and in Lincoln is to be carried out by the committee of the UPP because it has been suggested that boarding houses, restaurants and other various Lincoln agencies are segregating

—by h. roger dodson
Progress will serve at least one function — to inform the student on necessary change and support a definite interest in improving on ideas which have long been discussed but never channeled into areas which could be of the best interest to all.
Not like the SCBC which was only a political machine, the UPP is a machine because it is organized, but it will get results in challenging petty-politics and campus "gunners" who are interested only in making a name.

WHO—
Faculty—University
Employees—
Students

WHAT—
SEAT BELTS

WHEN—
Friday April 5
Thursday April 11
2-9 p.m.

WHERE—
North entrance
of the
Student Union

HOW MUCH—
\$5 per ticket
from any
Innocent

