

EDITORIAL OPINION

Editor, IFC Clear Air Around Frat Violations

The Daily Nebraskan and the Interfraternity Council would like to jointly clarify the events that have transpired during the past few days in regards to the stories and editorial comment on the fining of three fraternities.

The editor was commenting on information given to him by the president of the IFC. At the time of discussion, there was information which could have called for probation from the University. However, this information was cleared up and the "charges" in regards to the sneaks were deemed invalid. It has been proven, to our satisfaction, via a check with the administrative officials at the school visited, that the pledge classes did nothing to discredit themselves, the IFC or the University.

The IFC then took steps to enforce their Legislation in regards to pledge sneak registration and the result was the fining of two houses.

It has now been made clear that, through his interpretation of information presented by the IFC to the Editor, the resulting editorial were partially inaccurate.

However, your editor still wishes to clarify it that he is in opposition to the amount of the fine levied. It is, however, good to see that the system enforces its legislation.

Might we again suggest that the Executive Council of the IFC re-evaluate its system of levying fines. It is also encouraged to aid us in presenting accurate pictures of fraternity judiciary cases in the future, through complete and proper information. Let us stand corrected.

Norm Beatty, Editor, Nebraskan
Don Ferguson, President IFC

Staff Views

Out Of The Woods

By Jim Forrest

"Same Song, Dance On Parking"

This would have made a much more truthful headline for the lead story in last Wednesday's (Oct. 18) Daily Nebraskan.

Once or maybe twice a semester the Daily Nebraskan staff, because of obvious problems concerning parking, investigates the situation through official channels and runs a story saying in effect, there is no parking problem on this campus. The space is there, it's just not being used, so say the men of the gray walls in Administration. Maybe these Administration people don't see the problem from first hand experience.

Where do they park? Some in the faculty lots, more on the campus streets and a few behind the Administration building. Besides, how can they feel the effect of crowded parking conditions when most of them arrive on campus before 8 a.m. when things really start getting tough.

I agree with the statements that there are upwards to 400 parking spaces empty during peak rush hours, but where are these lots? At Nebraska Hall, 10th and Vine and the Area 2 lots and Avery lot is where they are.

An extensive evaluation of the "non-existent" parking problem was made in 1959 by the Student Council's parking board and published in the Nov. 16th Daily Nebraskan. It stated that "there is more campus parking available and not being used than is overcrowded." Agreed. The evaluation showed that as many as 300 spaces were vacant in the Area 2 lots during certain parts of the day. Agreed. An extremely well written understatement was included in summary for the evaluation: "The only lot that seems to be extremely crowded are the two Selleck lots."

And so it is... every morning and afternoon an "every driver is for himself" battle is waged in and around the only spaces that are even within a reasonable distance to the average classroom.

The Administration agreed that things were crowded around the buildings and in Selleck lots and urged students to use the fringe parking areas. Some did, including myself, even if it meant a rather rapid walk or run to get to class on time. Then, the University went ahead and paved the two

lots in front of Selleck, knocking out about 100 spaces per lot just so they could plant some bushes and trees. Sure is pretty though! But why restrict parking around edges of the lots?

What will be will be, but why not restrict undergrads or limit freshmen from driving cars at all as many other large universities have done?

On Febr. 27, 1960, the Daily Nebraskan ran an article telling of the recommendations made by another special parking committee. It said that "in the event that there is not sufficient central parking, it is proposed that freshmen be limited to periphery parking."

After hearing the recommendations, the Council accepted them with the statement: "after a careful analysis of each known factor, the Student Council is of the opinion that parking meters in Selleck lots would serve no function that could not better be handled in some other manner. Financially speaking, the paving of the lots can be accomplished in less time by our proposed increase in parking fees." The recommendations and statement was approved by unanimous vote by the Council members, as representatives of the student body.

Then came summer vacation and the south lot was paved, minus 100 spaces. The Council candidates last spring pledged that the paving of the remaining Selleck lot should be done "with an increase in parking fees if necessary to prevent additional installation of parking meters." Then came summer and before the new Council could act the north lot was not only paved, minus another 100 spaces, but was metered!

We complain about the Council not acting in the interest of the student body but what can they do when the Administration acts without consulting the Council or considering their expressed opinions or without explaining to the students the need for certain actions that disregard student opinion. Was the paving of the Selleck lots of such importance that the Council could not have worked along with Administration instead of being disregarded?

It seems that all that a student can do is follow the trend on other campuses and glimmering on this one of taking to riding bicycles, motorcycles or scooters... I have.

Daily Nebraskan

Member Associated Collegiate Press, International Press Representative, National Advertising Service, Incorporated. Published at: Room 51, Student Union, Lincoln, Nebraska. SEVENTY-ONE YEARS OLD

14th & R Telephone HE 2-7631 ext. 4225, 4226, 4227. Subscription rates are \$3 per semester or \$5 for the academic year. Entered as second class matter at the post office in Lincoln, Nebraska, under the act of August 4, 1912. The Daily Nebraskan is published Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday during the school year, except during vacations and exam periods. by students of the University of Nebraska under authorization of the Committee on Student Affairs as an expression of student opinion. Publication under the jurisdiction of the Subcommittee on Student Publications shall be free from editorial censorship on the part of the Subcommittee or on the part of any person outside the University. The members of the Daily Nebraskan staff are personally responsible for what they say, or do, or cause to be printed. February 8, 1958.



Staff Views

Over the Top

By Norm Beatty

Undoubtedly there are those of you who must be surprised to see this column again. However, it is true that "the dirty, lecherous, old, misinformed, ect. editor" is with you again for another push.

As you might have guessed, attempts to shove yours truly up and over the top have failed. Why? Because I learned a long time ago to accept criticism for what it is worth. Believe me, it is not an easy thing to acquire. Each time as I receive a verbal or written blast I still wince and I probably always will. I sincerely believe criticism, good and bad, is necessary for all free individuals and their organizations. Think about it a while.

OTT It is good to see a hint of preliminary work on homecoming displays. The

purpose of individual house displays require a considerable amount of time and effort. By starting early within the houses time can be saved and the traditional last-minute rush may be averted. Who knows, if everyone gets off to an early start pledges will study during the week prior to homecoming weekend.

OTT I plan to leave in a week for Miami, Fla. and the Associated Collegiate Press convention and those inviting sandy beaches. If any of you would like to have me make reservations for you for New Year's Day, let me know. I think any true football fan would enjoy watching Colorado-type football.

OTT With migration week-end nearly upon us it might be well to impress upon the Husker migrants the value of keeping their heads. Not that anyone would do anything to bring discredit to the University or their fraternity or sorority. However, we'll all remember the time when...

Staff Views

Out Of The Woods

By Jim Forrest

"Same Song, Dance On Parking"

This would have made a much more truthful headline for the lead story in last Wednesday's (Oct. 18) Daily Nebraskan.

Once or maybe twice a semester the Daily Nebraskan staff, because of obvious problems concerning parking, investigates the situation through official channels and runs a story saying in effect, there is no parking problem on this campus. The space is there, it's just not being used, so say the men of the gray walls in Administration. Maybe these Administration people don't see the problem from first hand experience.

Where do they park? Some in the faculty lots, more on the campus streets and a few behind the Administration building. Besides, how can they feel the effect of crowded parking conditions when most of them arrive on campus before 8 a.m. when things really start getting tough.

I agree with the statements that there are upwards to 400 parking spaces empty during peak rush hours, but where are these lots? At Nebraska Hall, 10th and Vine and the Area 2 lots and Avery lot is where they are.

An extensive evaluation of the "non-existent" parking problem was made in 1959 by the Student Council's parking board and published in the Nov. 16th Daily Nebraskan. It stated that "there is more campus parking available and not being used than is overcrowded." Agreed. The evaluation showed that as many as 300 spaces were vacant in the Area 2 lots during certain parts of the day. Agreed. An extremely well written understatement was included in summary for the evaluation: "The only lot that seems to be extremely crowded are the two Selleck lots."

And so it is... every morning and afternoon an "every driver is for himself" battle is waged in and around the only spaces that are even within a reasonable distance to the average classroom.

The Administration agreed that things were crowded around the buildings and in Selleck lots and urged students to use the fringe parking areas. Some did, including myself, even if it meant a rather rapid walk or run to get to class on time. Then, the University went ahead and paved the two

lots in front of Selleck, knocking out about 100 spaces per lot just so they could plant some bushes and trees. Sure is pretty though! But why restrict parking around edges of the lots?

What will be will be, but why not restrict undergrads or limit freshmen from driving cars at all as many other large universities have done?

On Febr. 27, 1960, the Daily Nebraskan ran an article telling of the recommendations made by another special parking committee. It said that "in the event that there is not sufficient central parking, it is proposed that freshmen be limited to periphery parking."

After hearing the recommendations, the Council accepted them with the statement: "after a careful analysis of each known factor, the Student Council is of the opinion that parking meters in Selleck lots would serve no function that could not better be handled in some other manner. Financially speaking, the paving of the lots can be accomplished in less time by our proposed increase in parking fees." The recommendations and statement was approved by unanimous vote by the Council members, as representatives of the student body.

Then came summer vacation and the south lot was paved, minus 100 spaces. The Council candidates last spring pledged that the paving of the remaining Selleck lot should be done "with an increase in parking fees if necessary to prevent additional installation of parking meters." Then came summer and before the new Council could act the north lot was not only paved, minus another 100 spaces, but was metered!

We complain about the Council not acting in the interest of the student body but what can they do when the Administration acts without consulting the Council or considering their expressed opinions or without explaining to the students the need for certain actions that disregard student opinion. Was the paving of the Selleck lots of such importance that the Council could not have worked along with Administration instead of being disregarded?

It seems that all that a student can do is follow the trend on other campuses and glimmering on this one of taking to riding bicycles, motorcycles or scooters... I have.

Nebraskan Letterip

The Daily Nebraskan will publish only those letters which are signed. Letters attacking individuals must carry the author's name. Others may use initials or a pen name. Letters should not exceed 200 words. When letters exceed this limit the Nebraskan reserves the right to condense them, retaining the writer's view. The opinions expressed in these letters do not necessarily express the views of the Daily Nebraskan.

Equal Medical Rights Asked

Letterip! A notice from the student health service, in Wednesday's rag, indicated that flu shots were now available to all students. Students in organized houses, who can muster up 12 people, can obtain the shots for \$.75. Anyone else must pay twice the price, \$1.50. Does the shot cost the University less for more students? Or, perhaps, the people in organized houses are worth more and deserve to be saved. Then again, maybe the fraternity man needs his money to drive his Jaguar more than the married student does to feed his family. If flu shots are so important to the health of all students, make it mandatory and bring the price down so that all may partake of its healing powers.

D. W. Morgan

Fraternity Men Attack Nebraskan

Norm: Out of the mouths of babes oft times flow platitudes of ignorance. Due to general campus apathy, the editorial policy of the Daily Nebraskan has undergone little if any criticism. However, the recent comments regarding the I.F.C. judiciary decisions cannot be left uncontested. Not only does this editorial reflect the incompetency of its author but it also displays the lack of knowledge on a subject which has been consistent editorial policy this semester.

It is the duty of the editorial staff of any newspaper to present their opinion of the facts. However, it is not their prerogative to misconstrue the facts in order to substantiate their opinions.

The first two paragraphs in most cases should be considered the lead, but in the editorial in question they explain nothing and say less in an inconsistent and wordy manner.

It is felt that the unqualified use of the word "almighty" pertaining to the I.F.C. is going beyond the license of a good editorial. Furthermore, the campus deserves to know what "specific former actions" of the I.F.C. the editor was referring to. Good editorial opinion seldom warrants evasion and unsubstantiated generalizations.

The editorial continues with: "It is also written in the foundations of democracy that the severity of the punishment shall match the crime. Herein lies our argument." Realizing that the Bible is not a basic "foundation of democracy" we question the place of the principle of "an eye for an eye" philosophy in a progressive and psychologically orientated society such as ours. In the editor's own words, upon this falacious statement lies his argument.

In referring to the Fraternity Management Association and the recent fining of three fraternities, the editor reaches this conclusion: "How can fraternities expect to cut costs by subscribing to one plan when they pay the difference through another portal."

No logical thought draws any relationship between these two areas. How can anyone except the I.F.C. or any other organization be completely positive or completely negative? Because the I.F.C. has certain rules which cannot be broken,

does this eliminate the possibility of them doing something constructive? Where is the connection?

The editor's arguments in questioning the proposed expansion of the fraternity system here at Nebraska points up another instance of ignorance or irresponsibility on his part.

Throughout this and previous editorials the editor has proven himself incapable of presenting editorial opinion which is substantiated by factual information and formulated with a logical approach and a determined effort for truth.

As was pointed out at the start, "self-evaluation is necessary; not a study which would bring about unwarranted and debasing criticism."

The Daily Nebraskan, especially the editorial staff, would do well to heed their own advice pertaining to self-evaluation and serve themselves with a little bit of introspection. Respectfully yours, Harvey Perlman, president, Sigma Alpha Mu Tom Merrick, vice-president, Phi Delta Theta Richard Seberg, Sigma Alpha Epsilon

Former Gunner Blasts Off

Lexington, Nebr.

Dear Sir: As a past member of the Varsity Rifle Team, I send this note in praise and question of the present organization. Why, with the outstanding record as listed in the Oct. 13th Daily Nebraskan, has the team not had more recognition? The Rifle Team has beaten more opponents than any other varsity sport in the last two years. Not only has the paper carried little or nothing until this year, but I cannot imagine why the team is not a member of the University 'N' Club. They certainly work as hard as any golfer and are as much a spectator sport as gymnastics. As a member of the 'N' Club and with the winning teams they have produced, their trips outstate would certainly build prestige for the University. With their sponsors the Army and a minor donation from the University Athletic Department, such trips are now limited.

Another irksome trend has been the idea that the team is solely for ROTC personnel and competes on this basis. In 1934 the team became an official varsity sport. ROTC has no connection or control over the members.

It is my opinion that if a group of men can produce a competitive spirit and win as consistently as the Rifle Team, they should unquestionably become members of the club dedicated to such ideals.

Sincerely, Hugh Stuart



(By courtesy Omaha World-Herald)

THE LINCOLN SYMPHONY ORCHESTRA ASSOCIATION announces its 1961-62 series SIX CONCERTS—FOUR GUEST ARTISTS Leo Kopp, Distinguished Conductor

Nov. 7	Ivan Davis
Dec. 12	Jennie Tourel
Jan. 23	Lincoln Symphony Orchestra presents the music of Rodgers and Hammerstein
Feb. 27	Nathan Milstein
Mar. 20	Byron Janis
April 10	Lincoln Symphony Orchestra and Audition Winners

STUDENT TICKETS: \$5.00 for series of 6 concerts
TICKET DRIVE HEADQUARTERS: School of Music (Music Sorority Members)
OFFICE: National Bank of Commerce, Tel: GR 7-8911

MIKEMENNEN and the "BANK VAULT CAPER"

OFFICE JAMMED WITH CLIENTS ALL DAY. SOLVED SEVERAL INTERESTING CASES. COLLECTED \$9.31 IN FEES. TOO MUCH TO KEEP ON HAND, STARTED TO BANK, STOPPED OFF FOR BOTTLE OF MENNEN SPRAY DEODORANT.

PRIVATE INVESTIGATOR AT WORK

BANK PEOPLE REAL FRIENDLY. ONE OFFERED 5 YEARS' INTEREST IN ADVANCE. ANOTHER OFFERED 100. FINALLY SETTLED FOR BEST FIGURE... 38.

WENT WITH TELLER TO PUT MY CASH AWAY. DOOR JAMMED, HEAT INSIDE TERRIFIC. FORTUNATELY, MENNEN SPRAY DEODORANT IS HARD-WORKING AND LONG-LASTING. STAYED CALM AND COOL. TELLER DIDN'T.

TELLER TURNED OUT TO BE "BELLE GRAND" LADY BANK ROBBER. GOT REWARD FOR CAPTURE. SPENT IT ON ANOTHER BOTTLE OF MENNEN SPRAY. WENT BACK TO OFFICE. IT WAS FULL OF CLIENTS. SNEAKED HOME TO REST.

SHE STARTED SORTING OUT THE \$1,000 BILLS. SAID SHE LOVED TO COLLECT PICTURES OF GROVER CLEVELAND. SO I LIDEPED LIKE A PHONY NAME TO ME... SO I SLUGGED HER.