

Editorial Comment

Tribunal Committee Wants Student Help With Charter

"The Student Council, should polish the Tribunal Charter so that it is more than just a piece of paper with no authority."

These are the words which the Daily Nebraskan used in issuing a challenge to the council to get the ball rolling on working out a charter for the Student Tribunal which was approved in last year's spring elections.

It appears that the council has taken the bull by the horns, if you'll pardon the cliché, and appointed a committee to dive into a mass of history surrounding the tribunal here and all over the country so that, in the words of Tribunal committee chairman Dave Keene, "We can do the job right or not at all."

Keene has been having meetings with the Tribunal committee, composed of Ken Fried, Fran Gourlay, Ted Lambert, Steve Leeper, Jacquie Miller and Bob Lindell, each week to look into the material which has been received by the Tribunal group during the week and work out changes in the charter which will make it an effective instrument.

Keene reports that he has been receiving "more than adequate" response from the many universities across the country to which he has written for information about the origin and operation of their Tribunals.

"We have constitutions, rules, operating procedures and other pertinent data to work with now," the senior holdover member from Law College to the council reports. But he added that he now wants recommendations from the students on the University Tribunal. "After all, we are trying to do this for the students, as well as for the University and the future."

Now during the spring, the Daily Nebraskan was opposed to the charter as it was approved by the student council.

However, Keene says that changes can still be made in the charter before it is approved by the University Senate and the Board of Regents. "And if changes aren't made before the charter is approved by these groups, then we'll have a tougher job in making alterations in the present charter."

This newspaper believes that the overwhelming approval of the charter by the student body (1,376 for, 697 against) is not necessarily an approval of the charter in its present form but rather an o.k. on the idea of a student tribunal.

We would like, then, to list some of the major objections to the charter as it now stands and offer a few of the problems which the Daily Nebraskan sees in developing a Student Tribunal. First the charter.

1) The requirement that all cases for consideration by the tribunal must come from either the Office of Student Affairs or agencies of the Faculty Senate gives cause for concern. Either of these bodies could prevent the Tribunal from getting any serious cases. Thus, the tribunal could degenerate from an instrument of student government to another bottleneck in legislative channels.

We are hoping, however, and it is only a

hope, that the Student Affairs will see that the Tribunal "proves" itself and will give cases to the Tribunal which students should be judging.

2) What cases will the Tribunal handle? If such agencies as the AWS, the IFC and eventually the RAM Council will be handling discipline, might there not be conflict in interests of the groups? We wonder if a meeting of the minds on these bodies might set up a plan whereby definite limits of scope are set down.

3) Another provision of the charter stipulates that no member of the student council nor staff member of the Daily Nebraskan shall be seated as a judge on the tribunal. By keeping council members from the tribunal, we fear that a major group of persons interested in campus affairs would be barred from a most important position. Daily Nebraskan personnel, we believe, have a certain understanding of what is happening on the campus. We see no reason why this discrimination is made.

4) We don't like the membership set-up of the Tribunal as outlined in Article IV Section two. Is it possible for the Law College student to have a 6.5 average? We doubt that many men in their College of Law would be interested in "activities" or interested in working on the Tribunal would have such an average. Some adjustment is called for there. This section, it seems, assumes that high grades are the only criterion of wisdom.

5) In the same Article under Section two, the Student Council is given the power to nominate at least two candidates for every position. The council is given the power to select the members. There is certain wisdom in this plan. But it seems very "undemocratic." On the other hand we fear that a general election might turn into a popularity contest. Would open hearings and then a general election be out of the question? After all, we elect city judges as well as county judges.

6) The same article says that the chancellor shall elect the faculty judges from the appointments made by the Faculty Committee on Student Affairs. With all due respect to the chancellor's position, we feel it would be better for the faculty senate at large to select the members. This way, the Tribunal could be assured of a much greater degree of Faculty Senate support.

These are some of the objections which the Daily Nebraskan has with the Student Tribunal as it stands now. We would like to reiterate that this newspaper believes very firmly in the tribunal and the job that's cut out for it. It is our understanding that the Office of Student Affairs shares our sentiments.

But now it is up to the students to indicate their interest (or lack of it) and present their suggestions to the Tribunal Committee through the student council. This is a major step being taken by students at this University and the repercussions of the success or failure of the Tribunal Committee will linger on the campus long after all of us are gone.

Leaders, We Hope
Another in the series of editorials from American newspapers is reprinted here from the University Daily Kansas.

Not enough could be said about the value of intelligent leadership in our collegiate world today.

There are two meanings to the word "lead." One has the connotation of guidance and direction; the other means, in the words of Webster, "a metallic element heavy, pliable and inelastic."

At this time of year many organizations are thinking about choosing men and women who will offer them guidance and direction during the coming year. In selecting these leaders they must be careful to choose persons who will fulfill the first rather than the second definition of the word.

One danger a leader must guard against is the desire to be heavy handed in his leadership, to prod and push rather than to persuade.

A certain amount of telling rather than asking is always necessary, but the leader, especially if he is leading for the first time, must guard against expressing his own insecurity by forcing others to constantly conform to his own ideas.

A greater danger than "heaviness" on the part of the campus leaders is that of pliability. All too often leaders are little more than

putty in the hands of professors, administrative officials or other advisors.

However, flexibility and pliability should not be confused. The great difference between elastic and putty is that elastic can be stretched and it will snap back into shape. Once pushed around, putty loses all semblance of its original shape.

No one can tell a person how to lead. It is an art which must be acquired through practice. Leaders are made, not born, and self-reliance, the art of persuasion, flexibility and firmness of conviction are some of the basic elements in formation of a leader.

Bam! Pow!
Have you ever watched those TV commercials in which "no blow" tires are tested?

Along comes "Tire X" over rocky terrain, in ruts, over bumps, into chuck holes. Pow! Close-up shot: Tire X has a foot wide gap in it.

Then comes the Goodboy tire. Over the same rocks, into the same puddles and ditches, in and out of the same chuck-holes. No cuts or bruises.

These moves are quite convincing. And they should be quite at home to people at this University.

After all, we have come to believe that they are made in the Student Union parking lot.

The Campus Green

Where will it end
This darkness in sun
Where will it lead
Where can I run

I hide among friends
Their hollow faces grin
Take what I had
Spit where I'd been

I hide with myself
Alone, to be consoled
The voice inside returns
Courage cannot take hold

Talking to the sky
Listen with hopeless ears
Darkness closes round me
Returning with new fears

Why can't he hear
If he is there
Why won't he help
What good is prayer

When will it end
This darkness in sun
Where will it lead
Why must I run

Daily Nebraskan

FIFTY-SIX YEARS OLD
Member: Associated Collegiate Press
Intercollegiate Press
Representative: National Advertising Service, Incorporated
Published at: Room 20, Student Union
Lincoln, Nebraska
14th & R

The Daily Nebraskan is published Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday during the school year, except during vacations and exam periods, and one issue is published during August, by students of the University of Nebraska under the authorization of the Committee on Student Affairs as an expression of student opinion. Publications under the jurisdiction of the Subcommittee on Student Publications shall be free from editorial censorship on the part of the Subcommittee or on the part of any member of the faculty of the University, or on the part of any person outside the University. The members of the Nebraska staff are personally responsible for what they say, or do or cause to be printed. February 8, 1955.
Subscription rates are \$2.00 per semester or \$4 for

the academic year.
Entered as second class matter at the post office in Lincoln, Nebraska, under the act of August 4, 1912.
EDITORIAL STAFF
Editor Jack Follack
Editorial Editor Dick Shagrus
Managing Editor Ken Warholinski
Sports Editor Bob Martel
Night News Editor Ernie Hines
Copy Editors Bob Ireland (chief),
Carole Frank, George Moyer, Gary Rodgers, Ernie Hines
Reporters Sharon Abrams, Jo Agge, Jan Anderson, Mary Aphix, Bobby Butterfield, Jean Stresley, Sandy Conover, Pat Flanagan, Patty Foster, Judy Griffin, Sue Hammond, Gari Hathaway, Karen Karrer, Roberta Knapp, Marlene Kopp, Carol Longhouse, Garry Loughner, Ingrid Leder, Janet Levander, Emmie Limpo, Julianne Mehrling, Jan Nisker, Herb Probasco, Susanne Reichstadt, Joanne Simkins, Wynne Smithberger, Susanna Thompson, Arlene Tzans, Margaret Westman.
BUSINESS STAFF
Business Manager Jerry Seltentia
Assistant Business Managers: Tom Nefl, Stan Haiman, Bob Smith
Circulation Manager John Norris

Student Tribunal Charter

Preamble:
We, the students of the University of Nebraska, with the consent of the Board of Regents, do hereby ordain and establish this charter for the judgement of student discipline.

Article I Name
The name of this body shall be the Student Tribunal of the University of Nebraska.

Article II Purpose
The purpose of this Tribunal shall be to serve as the student court on matters of student discipline that are referred to it by the Division of Student Affairs and/or agencies of the Faculty Senate.

Article III Powers
The Student Tribunal shall have the following powers:

1. The tribunal may hear all cases of student discipline that are referred to it by the Division of Student Affairs or agencies of the Faculty Senate.
2. The tribunal may recommend a decision to the Dean of Student Affairs and/or the proper agencies of the Faculty Senate.
3. The Tribunal shall establish its own rules of procedure.

Article IV Membership
The Student Tribunal shall have the following composition:

- a. Four judges who are seniors in the University.
- b. One judge who is a senior in the College of Law.
- c. Two judges who are juniors in the University.
- d. Two judges who are members of the Faculty Senate.

2. Student judges
a. Qualifications
I. Student judges must have at least a 5 cumulative average.

II. No member of the Student Council nor any member of the paid staff of the Nebraskan shall be eligible for membership on the Tribunal.

b. Selection
I. A committee of the Student Council shall nominate at least two candidates for every student position.

II. The Faculty Committee of Student Affairs may also nominate students.

III. The Student Council shall interview and select the student judges.

3. Faculty Judges
The Chancellor may appoint two faculty judges from the instructional staff from nominations made by the Faculty Committee on Student Affairs.

Article V Terms of Office
1. A term of office shall consist of one academic year.

2. Junior members are eligible for re-election.

3. The faculty members shall serve 4 year terms and may serve no more than two terms.

Article VI Duties of the Student Tribunal
1. The Student Tribunal in September of each year shall establish a regular meeting time.

2. The Student Tribunal in September of each year shall publish in the Daily Nebraskan its rules of procedure.

3. The Student Tribunal shall keep a complete written record of its proceedings and actions.

Article VII Amendments
1. This charter can be amended only by the Board of Regents.

2. The Student Council may suggest amendments.

Toadie by bob ireland

Report On Britain
Dr. Alfred Leslie Rowse, the eminent British historian who lectured on campus last week, revealed himself as a very well informed person on current British politics before a gathering of political science students last Wednesday.

Here is a summarization of the report Dr. Rowse gave:
Present British prime minister Harold Macmillan is having some difficulty selling the voters his program. Apparently his predecessor, Anthony Eden, commanded large support from the middle class and the liberal wing of the Conservative party and Macmillan is having trouble retaining their support.

Britain lacks a solid political figure who possesses personal magnitude like Sir Winston Churchill. The average British worker lacks incentive. This apathetic attitude is attributable to the giveaway programs of the post-war Labour Party who over-nationalized the key industries. The Coal Mining group is especially plagued by this indifferent feeling.

British productivity, as a result of the desultory feeling has risen only three per cent since the Second World War. More pointedly the construction business is making very little progress.

Britain's basic problem does not center around social or political levels but as to be expected concerns its economic welfare. Both worlds was almost exhausted the once supreme British commerce and production.

Anthony Eden's bold Suez policy may have ruined the Conservative party's chances in the next election. Should one be held in the

near future the Tories will certainly go down to defeat.

The Labour Party due to the sincere and continuous efforts of its new leader, Hugh Gaitskill has undergone a remarkable face-lifting. No longer does the party emphasize further nationalization of the country's major industries. The Labour people have apparently seen that such a plan did not work during the post-war reign.

The United States influences British external policy to a tremendous degree. Part of the Suez unpopularity can be attributed to US disapproval of the plan.

There is a growing feeling in Britain that the Empire and the United States must attain an even closer friendship in the wake of further Soviet expansion. Some feel that France, Germany and Italy are too fickle internationally.

As to be expected everybody in Britain loves Ike. Many people, however, do not share this feeling towards U.S. secretary of state, John Foster Dulles. They can't figure him out.

The British monarch is more popular than ever. The British people feel that they have the right idea with Elizabeth handling the diplomatic handshaking and the politicians running the government.

Turning to the educational side, post-war Labour programs greatly increased the opportunities of lower-class Englishmen for schooling. Now the problem is not quantity of education but quality.

United States Rhodes scholars are now making a very credible showing in the British scholastic standings. Years ago this was not the case as most of the so-called scholars were better at games.

is this "doing" of which your robust, noble greeks are so proud? Are you so tremendous in intramural sports? Only to the extent that school reporters "overlook" Independent skirmishes. What about scholastically? Two Independent girls' groups on this campus have held the highest scholastic average for the last several years. Morally? Ask any student chaplain who supports religious groups? — "unpainted groups." We'll bet you will get the same answer from them all—"The Independents support their centers best." Enough of this base glorification.

If by "doing," Mr. Cole your mean taking an interest in a university run under a greek byline with only greek houses recognized for winning trophies, etc., we aren't interested. But if by "doing" you mean that activities are written and edited by a democratic voice that gives even the "moles" an equal vote with the "rates," well, Mr. Cole, then you will find us accepting the responsibility for the democratic functioning of the University (not only in the Student Council, Innocents, Mortar Boards, Publication Board, but whatever other democratic organization emerges).

And Mr. Cole, if by "doing" you mean the use of "hands and action" rather than the Independents usual process of rationalization, give up Mr. Cole, your crusader rabbit badge has just been revoked.

Why, Mr. Cole, don't you offer us something — if there is anything to be offered by your exclusive Greek society.

The Mole People

And to sit through your dust just a bit further, Mr. Cole, what

A Few Words Of A Kind . . .

by e. e. hines

Hobbies, I realize, are important in peoples lives. They help overburdened souls to release their tensions and channel their interests into a variety of intriguing fields.

So far, so good...but why in the devil does every other person I know on campus select ticket selling as one of their hobbies?

Why couldn't they pick some quiet hobby like hot rod racing or kite flying? Then as long as I stayed off the streets and away from open fields I wouldn't be affected by their hobbies.

Also, show me one proud hot rodder who would ever let the onlooker take part in his hobby. The hot rodder's vehicle is a sacred cow only to be worshipped from a distance and not to be touched by anyone but a high priest of hot roddery.

And the same is true of kite flyers. Have you ever tried to borrow a small boy's kite in hopes of a few moments of delightful kite maneuvering? It would be better to ask him for his life.

But everyone who has ticket selling as a hobby goes out of the

way to have you "take part" in his hobby. Of course, the only part you ever get to play is the role of buyer.

Ticket sellers do not live in specific boundaries.

They accost you in the streets and hold you in the path of oncoming autos until you scream, "I'll buy!"

After you purchase the tickets you check them and discover you and your date are now allowed to attend a humanities lecture entitled "The Role Of The Housefly in Early Egyptian Society," or that you may now attend a special meeting of the Gourmet Club which will be the featured delicacies.

Other places where you see ticket sellers are planes, trains, submarines and flying through trees. The most common place on campus, of course, is the Hall of Tickets in the Student Union.

Now, do not let me leave the wrong impression. I think every child should have a hobby, but I would like to recommend a more reserved one... say stamp collecting or stein clinking.

Independence Unlimited Lyle Hansen

Okay, Jim Cole.

We'll shut up for a while, but we're not going to put up, at least, not at this stage of the game. You may have a well-taken point, but your ridiculous presentation of some of your opinions has put you in a very bad light.

I say you, Jim, because I can't honestly believe that this embittered feeling is representative of the Greek system as a whole or even of any one house.

There are several points that I feel should be straightened out before this idiotic nonsense gets out of hand. First of all, when I write, I attempt to express the feelings of the Quad and RAM as its student government. I don't claim to be representative of all the other Independents on campus. The integration of these people who are not so closely attached to campus affairs is a real problem, and I will refrain from any comments on how to solve it.

Secondly, you obviously wrote without a complete knowledge of the progress that has been made by the Quad. Last year, we petitioned Kosmet Klub for an opportunity to enter the Ivy Day Sing competition but were refused, we entered a script for their Fall Review last year and this, and as this is going to print, the results of this year's tryouts are not yet known. Another obvious instance of participation is in the pep rallies. The Quad has always had a very good representation at rallies, but possibly were not al-

ways noticed in the past amid the confusion. This is our own fault, but last week's rally sported an even number of equally spirited fans.

You also say that the Independents have been on this campus as long as the Greeks. True, but organized Independents, for whom I speak, have only existed for about four years in the Quad and little longer in other places on the campus. I can't help it that they didn't have the foresight ten or twelve or twenty-five years ago, but we're doing all we can now to try to bring them together.

I think that if you had known a few of these things before you sat down in your rage to bring this, whatever it is, to a head, you would have written a much differently-worded article. After all for the majority of the campus, there is no problem at all, except as they see it portrayed by a bunch of frustrated columnists.

And as for the rest of you loyal readers, don't let a few lines of black and white disturb you until you find out exactly what the facts between those lines may reveal. After all, we're all human beings susceptible to making mistakes, and we have made far too many in our lifetimes. On the other hand,

One final point—We need a more basic knowledge of how our respective organizations are set up internally and how they function. This knowledge is a prerequisite to understanding.

PENDLETONS!

MAGEE'S

Betti Ogburn, Magee's College Board in Pendleton's 49'er Jacket and reversible Skirt. Coordinated Pendleton Sweater.

49'er Jacket, \$17.95
Skirt, \$29.95
Sweater, \$9.95

MAGEE'S THIRD FLOOR