

**Nebraska Editors:****... Time To Quit**

Pete Elliott had a reasonably successful season on paper and an even more successful season in terms of good will and confidence in better things to come. Everyone is satisfied with his first year at the University, but many students and faculty are not so satisfied that they believe a raise of \$2,500 is justifiable—or necessary.

The agenda of the board of Regents showed suggested increases for all members of the football coaching staff and for Athletic Director Bill Orwig. Orwig was to get \$13,200 and Elliott was to receive a salary of \$13,000, a boost of \$1,000.

Orwig is listed by the University as a full professor and Elliott is listed as an associate professor. Orwig is largely responsible for the improved athletic situation at the University, an improvement which includes basketball and other varsity sports.

It was the University's Athletic Director who was responsible for bringing Elliott and Jerry Bush to Lincoln.

It is said that Elliott is being considered for coaching positions at other Universities, but if after an average season he is given a \$2,500 boost, what will the University have to do when he has a successful season and the competition becomes stiff?

It may be possible that it is necessary to give Pete Elliott a large pay raise. It may be that collegiate athletics have reached the point where football coaches—as a commodity—are worth more than college presidents. And it may be that the University of Nebraska is not able to compete with Big Ten schools and other football institutions when a football coach is worth \$20,000 a year—or more.

The Nebraskan believes that Coach Elliott is an asset to the University of Nebraska, but we also believe that Bill Orwig, as Director of Athletics and as the man who bears responsibility for all athletic programs, should be paid more than any coach who is responsible to him. It is Orwig that does the hiring and firing, at least in theory—and it won't be much more than a theory if he is paid \$1,300 less than one of his subordinates.

It may cost money, but we believe that if

**Unfair Taxation**

State concern for the University's higher budget request has increased since the legislature has gone into session.

A few basic ideas must be remembered as we look at the problem in the light of need. Nebraskans, must, of course, look at every angle of the situation in order to understand just what Chancellor Hardin means when he said that we are faced with a major crisis in education.

People in the state got a practical view of the problem when it was announced Saturday that Dr. Colbert C. Held will leave the University to assume a better paying position.

Two choices remain for the state to make. The University can become a powerful influence in education and research. Or it can become a second-rate institution of higher learning.

An interesting article in the Chicago Sunday Tribune was entitled, "When you glide, you roll down hill." This might be very appropriate for Nebraskans who are willing to get along on hard tack budgets, little tax increase and little future for state educational expansion.

The Nebraskan realizes that the state has been plagued with drought too long to make an increase in taxes a favorable step.

But perhaps Nebraska has been too long burdened under a system of taxation which is

**Nameless Example**

At a New Year's Eve party at Washington, a speaker noted that events in '56 were almost back to normal, "Eisenhower is back in Washington, Eden is back as Prime Minister, and Dulles is back and forth."

Bypassing "those men who would be remembered among the great names of the epoch" Time magazine named as its "Man of the Year" a man who was on no roster when 1956 began.

Chosen were those nameless men, women, and children—both living and dead—who had "shaken history's greatest despotism at its foundation," the Hungarian Freedom fighter.

Said Time of its selection, "The Man of the Year had many faces, but he was not faceless; he had many names, but he was not nameless. History would know him by the face, intense, relentless, desperate and determined, that he had worn on the evening of Oct. 23 in the streets of Budapest; history would know him by the name he had chosen for himself during his dauntless contest with Soviet tanks."

**The Nebraskan****FIFTY-FIVE YEARS OLD**

**Member: Associated Collegiate Press  
Intercollegiate Press**

**Representative: National Advertising Service,  
Incorporated**

**Published at: Room 20, Student Union**

**16th & R**

**University of Nebraska**

**Lincoln, Nebraska**

The Nebraskan is published Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday during the school year, and monthly during the summer. One issue is published during August, by students of the University of Nebraska under the authority of the Committee on Student Affairs and the direction of the Subcommittees. Student publications shall be free from editorial censorship on the part of the Subcommittees or on the part of any member of the faculty or the University, or on the part of any member of the administration. The members of the Nebraskan staff are personally responsible for what they write, or do or cause to be printed. February 8, 1956. Entered as second-class matter at the post office in Lincoln, Nebraska, under the act of August 4, 1912.

**EDITORIAL STAFF**

Editor	Sam Jensen
Managing Editor	Fred Daly
Editorial Page Editor	Mack Lundstrom
Newspaper Editor	Bob Ireland
Sports Editor	Walt Shaw
Copy Editors: Gary French, Sam Jones, Jack Pollock	
and Dick Shugrue.	
Art Editor	Don Sherman
Night News Editor	Dale Lewis
Staff Photographer	John Bowell
Office Secretary	Jill Farrell
Society Editor	Julie Bowell
Staff Writers	John DeLong, George Moyer, Marianne Thompson, Cynthia Zachau, Bob Marke, Bob Wirs, Dave Herzig.
Reporters	Carole Frank, Ron Wartowski, Judy Johnson, Carol Johnson, John DeLong, Diana Maxwell, Sandra Whalen, Joann Gabenor, Dorothy Hall, Diana Geese, Sian Widman, Art Blackman, Barbara Weston, Bill Whisman, Gary Peterson, Gail Bergman, Mary Dee Paiterson, Gretchen Saeger, Dennis Barnett, George Porter.
BUSINESS STAFF	George Nissen, Richard Hendrix, Don Beck, Lacey Eggers, Tom Neff, Jerry Bullington.

**THE NEBRASKAN****LITTLE MAN ON CAMPUS** by Dick Bibler

"YOU SHOULD HAVE SEEN HER DEMONSTRATION LAST YEAR!"

**Voice of  
The Turtle**

Ha! The fools—they've done it again. They have given us a new year to ruin. Now we can go out and start new wars, and complicate the ones we have already started, and hate people, etc.

Haven't had such a good deal since they harnessed the atom.

Probably everyone had a good time over vacation, what with turkey and ham and prime ribs and mashed potatoes to surround, and ten hours of uninterrupted television every day.

Some people look to finals as something terrible and foreboding, instead of a time of intellectual stimulation and furthering of the Learning Process.

I do, anyway. \* \* \*

Nominations are now open for Outstanding Nebraskan. Two persons are lauded each semester—one senior student and one faculty member. Nominations should be based on the individual's leadership, participation in University affairs, and particularly service to the University.

Nominations will be due next Monday. Names of persons nominating should be included with letters of nomination, but will not be released by The Nebraskan. It will be our little secret.

It certainly is good to be back at school. Yes, sir, it certainly is. In the Critt this morning all the people who were there cutting their ten-o'clocks looked like they were descending to the ninth and last circle of hypocrites, apparently.

The Christmas Holidays are a time for getting married, one is led to understand. I got my room-mate married off in Ogallala, and I owe around for wedding presents dating back to November.

Sometimes one gets conscience qualms, but they can be lulled away by the TV tube. It is like taking dope.

It certainly is good to be back at school. Yes, sir, it certainly is. In the Critt this morning all the people who were there cutting their ten-o'clocks looked like they were descending to the ninth and last circle of hypocrites, apparently.

By the way, happy new year.

What with new superhighways and six-lane turnpikes, and fine, new cars with lots of chrome and gadgets and horsepower, and wonderfully-devised traffic patterns, it is all a person can do to stay alive.

\* \* \*

Thought for the Week:

Candy is dandy,  
But liquor is quicker.

Collegiate Poll:  
**Adequate Preparation**

Minneapolis — (ACP) — Educators, politicians, parents and many other interested individuals have discussed the kind of a job colleges are doing in educating the youth of this nation for the future. Much of the argument concerns the perennial issue of liberal vs. narrow, intensified study. Methods of teaching also come in for praise and criticism.

In order to get the collegiate slant on this issue Associated Collegiate Press asked the following question of a representative national cross-section of college students:

**DO YOU FEEL THAT YOUR COLLEGE IS DOING AN ADEQUATE JOB OF PREPARING YOU FOR YOUR FUTURE LIFE?**

The results:

Men	Women	Total	
Yes .....	72%	78%	74%
No .....	15%	15%	15%
Undecided .....	13%	7%	11%

In general, college students overwhelmingly support the kind of a job their present colleges are doing. When asked reasons for their decisions, most students reply in terms of their own individual situation, saying they are satisfied with their choice of program, etc. One comment however, is repeated a number of times, and a Wake Forest College (Winston-Salem, N.C.) senior coed expresses it quite well: "Adequate preparation depends more on the person than the college." And a freshman at the University of Wyoming (Laramie) puts it this way: "What you put in is directly equal to what you get back."

A very satisfied sophomore attending Mississippi College (Clinton) has this to say: "I'm getting an all-around education and am growing mentally, spiritually, physically and socially." But a sophomore at Tyler Junior College (Tyler, Texas) states: "Scholaristically yes; mentally and spiritually no."

A University of Denver sophomore more qualified his opinion by saying,

**Pandoria**

Sunday night Loretta Young put on a tall hat and gave the television audience a good look at the Hollywood conception of ancient Egypt.

The king was in his counting house, all right, but instead of counting out his money he was counting out the blessings which had been showered on him by the all loving sun god, Aton (the vowels are insignificant.)

I think that this program popped up at the right time. All during the holidays people were badgering me about what I do in this column.

**Dick Shugrue**

"You write too deep," one friend said. "You fail to say anything but repeat the big words in Webster," coughed another. My own brother looked cross eyed at me and said, "Get down to brass tacks, boy!"

Just what this all has to do with the queen of Egypt is, in my estimation, very much . . . On one hand we have presented before us on the television screen a view of Egypt which is not an accurate reflection of Egyptian thought and life and on the other hand we have a conception of a columnist as opposed to the real motives of person.

That's still too vague, I know. The thing is, we too often view others in the narrow scope of our own beliefs and don't take what they have to offer in the spirit it is offered.

Then, too, Loretta looked like Nefertiti. Her looks were the same as that statue which has been stuffed in a corner of the Berlin Museum for these past years. Obviously, my writing may look like a column to the few.

Yet to the many who are famili-

**Nebraskan Letterips**

more unfortunate that the resulting misrepresentation evoked comments questioning the motives of the Nebraska delegates, but I hope this explanation will help to clear any further misapprehensions which may have occurred.

Bruce Brugmann  
President, University of Nebraska Student Council

Editor, The Nebraskan:  
This business of tuition for University students must arouse almost universal interest throughout the state. It fits in well with our program for conservation and utilization of natural resources. The greatest shortage in the United States today is skilled manpower. Every young person graduating from college enriches the state and nation. Enriches it many times the cost to the state of education.

When the great GI Bill of Rights was passed I was aghast at the great cost to the government. And it was really enormous. Now we all look back and wonder what on earth the country would have done without the teachers, doctors, engineers, what have you, who trained under that wise and generous legislation. No one will question that the progress of this nation was greatly accelerated by the contribution of these enthusiastic and well trained young people.

The funny thing about it is, the more educated people we turn out, the more we seem to need. It's like a great bonfire that rises higher and higher the more it is fed. And so today, I think the most shortsighted thing the state or nation can do is take a parsimonious attitude toward education.

In the first place, the subject of campus drinking regulations had been placed on the pre-convention brochure at the written request of the University of Missouri, and was not presented by any member school for special consideration at the conference.

Secondly, the Nebraska delegation argued, against the direct opposition of several other Big Seven schools, that the conference administrations were all obliged by state law to enforce drinking regulations on campus. Further, our representatives pointed out that this jurisdiction could be legally extended to fraternities and sororities, normally privately owned, but nevertheless always chartered by the Board of Regents.

The suggestion which we broached, and which was informally agreed upon by the member schools in lieu of an official vote, was to effect a change in the constitution of the conference to see that legal boundaries are not overstepped in the enforcement of drinking regulations.

This was neither an attempt to "revamp" university rules banning drinking nor was it an effort to enlist sympathy for on-campus drinking, but was merely intended to strengthen our position of enforcing drinking regulations in accordance with state law by trying to insure, in so doing, that (1) the legal rights of the individual are not impaired (as in cases reported at Oklahoma University where locked cars had been broken into) and, (2) the legal rights of universities are not over-extended (as in cases at several schools, including Nebraska) where administrations find themselves in the legally indefensible and virtually unenforceable positions of supervising all impromptu gatherings.

Thirdly, our explanation of Nebraska's drinking policies came in response to a question by the K-State discussion group chairman, and were defined as enforcement of drinking regulations on campus and at university functions (which I interpreted by the Student Affairs Division as four or five students gathering socially.)

It may be pointed out that our delegations supported this stand strongly, and contended unequivocally that drinking regulations must be enforced on University, state-owned property, disagreeing only with the interpretation that the institution could legally and practically enforce drinking regulations in all off-campus gatherings of more than five students.

Instead of increasing the tuition for our University students, we ought to reduce it.

The Federal Government ought to aid generously in education of every sort. During the campaign we heard President Eisenhower on TV promise not only to see that a bill to this end was introduced in Congress, but he promised to throw the influence of his administration actively behind it.

We are losing money on every dollar we "save" on education. Too many of us are short-sighted. I feel sorry for a college president with so little vision. It is far from characteristic. Chancellor Hardin is conservative. He is not asking for money for an eleemosynary institution. He is calling attention to an opportunity for accelerating the prosperity and spiritual progress of Nebraska.

W. T. Davis

**We Here . . .**

What's In a Number?

(ACP)—A University of Texas coed has been scaring away some of her dates lately, but entirely against her will. When going through enrollment, she wasn't sure of her new phone number . . . but decided to list it on all her cards as she remembered it. Now men who call her get a masculine sounding husky-voiced answer. The Daily Texan advises date-seekers to hang up pronto and informs them the unknown voice is the Austin chief of police. The coed used the chief's unlisted number by mistake.