

Just Between Us . . .

By DON PIEPER
Editor

The Legislature didn't come through completely with the University's Med School project. Instead of the proposed .33 mill levy, the Unimercial Revenue Committee approved a .25 levy. But the University was looking for \$6,000,000 to bring the College of Medicine back up to decent standards and the Legislature didn't fail that search—a \$6,000,000 ceiling was put on the .25 levy.

This means that the same amount will come in the end, but it might take a little longer for it to arrive. However, other action by the state legislators may mean that the .25 levy was high enough. I am referring to the changes made recently in assessment procedure. The state has decided to assess taxable property at only 50 percent of actual value—legislators argue that this will have a favorable psychological effect. At any rate, authorities say that more tax money will be rolling into the Nebraska treasury because of the new assessment rules.

More tax money means that the .25 mill levy

might bring as much money as a .33 levy would have under the old set-up.

The unfortunate condition of our College of Medicine has been the subject of several "Just Between Us" columns. I have described the improvements science has made in medicine and the lack of improvements the University has been able to make in its facilities in teaching medicine. I have outlined the basic medical needs of the state and how the College of Medicine, as now set up, is failing to meet those needs.

Reliable reports indicate that committee's cut in the mill levy was done on the basis of an anticipated increase in tax money due to the new assessment rule. Therefore, The Nebraskan—on behalf of the student body—thanks the Revenue Committee for its help in furthering the cause of a worthwhile Med School for the state.

However, the bill is simply out of committee and has yet to receive the approval of the Legislature itself. The Nebraskan is certain that facts demand passage of L.B. 211.

NEBRASKAN EDITORIALS

Concert Of Hymns

Sunday, the Search Week committee presented a concert of hymns of all faiths. It wasn't a very well organized concert.

Unfortunately, the committee failed to make a very good idea into a successful reality. This is unfortunate because there are tremendous values to be gained from such projects as Search Week and, more especially, from hymn concerts.

Briefly, the concert consisted of hymns by St. Mary's Cathedral Choir, Cantor Hyman Siskin of the Tifereth Israel Synagogue of Lincoln and the University Singers.

The Cathedral Choir, under the able direction of Catherine Gillespie, did a beautiful job with Catholic church music. A solo by Louis Demma, "Pannis Angelicus," was especially wonderful. Cantor Siskin, accompanied by Josephine Waddell, presented traditional Jewish music and did it very effectively. The Singers sang selections from Mendelssohn's "Elijah." Whenever Dr. Arthur E. Westbrook's Singers go into action, they present wonderful music beautifully sung.

Each of the participants—including excellent accompaniment and solo work on the organ by Myron J. Roberts, associate professor of organ—did a good job and the music was easy to listen to. However, there was absolutely no continuity to the program.

'Officials Question Sincerity'

The pudgy premier of the Soviet Union said Sunday that there are no controversial issues with the United States or any other country that cannot be settled peacefully.

Newspaper headlines about the sul read: "Malenkov's Latest Peace Bid Studied 1. Diplomats of West . . . Officials Question Sincerity . . . Action Real Need Now."

That just about tells the whole story. The Nebraskan also questions Malenkov's sincerity.

Westerners have grown used to questioning Soviet pronouncements. Malenkov has inherited this skeptical attitude from his late predecessor. Certainly the recent air war over Germany is sufficient basis for skepticism.

Then there is the sudden passing of Klement

Gottwald, Czechoslovakia's Communist president. Officials have questions about the sincerity of reports that Gottwald's death was natural. No one on the western side of the Iron Curtain knows for sure what happened.

Has this new Russian leader started a purge? Only time will tell but the United States had better not sit around and wait to find out. The only action now is the same action we have seen under both administrations: continued build-up of our military potential. It is very difficult to sit back during these tense days and evaluate all that is happening. Indications are, however, that something is in the wind.

While we are waiting to see the results, let's make ourselves ready to meet whatever these results might mean.—D.P.

EXCHANGE EDITORIAL

A Breed Of Wise Guys

(Editorial re-printed from the UCLA Bruin)
America's greatest contribution to education may well be the student wise guy.

Unfortunately, this is a negative contribution. We are all familiar with this character. There is one in every small class, dozens in the larger lecture courses. Their smart-alek mumbblings are doubly frustrating. They make it impossible to hear a good lecture or to sleep through a poor one.

The wise guy is one of the bad results of a generally commendable system of inexpensive higher education and broad university requirements. Instead of appreciating college, some students resent it. Instead of a desire to learn, they have a contemptuous attitude.

They already know anything the professor might tell them and quite a few things the old boy may never find out. So they devote all

their energy to finding contradictions in the logic of amusing phrases in the wording of lectures.

Don't misunderstand. We are not advocating blind acceptance of instructor's statements. Logical questioning of everything a teacher says is vital. There is not enough of it.

But there is a difference between intelligent questions to better learn a subject and stupid queries to embarrass a professor. There is a difference between intelligent doubts of an instructor's reasoning and stupid arguments backed only by personal bias.

Too many students are merely contemptuous, more eager to argue than to find out. They dare the professor to teach them something. Instead of concentrating on the value of a course, they think only in terms of a grade.

Fortunately for them—and the rest of us—these misfit wise guys don't usually stick around too long, anyway.

Yesteryear At NU . . .

By DICK RALSTON
Staff Writer

Although someone is usually commenting about the number of queens elected, appointed, nominated and bought at the University, it isn't very often the situation brings comment from a national news magazine.

In 1933, however, Time magazine condescended to give the following comment:

"Male students at the University of Nebraska elected a 'Perfect College Girl' for their Junior-Senior Prom last week. They based their votes on eight considerations, of which the first seven were Legs, Body, Hair, Face, Voice, Personality, and Intellect. The eighth consideration, new to non-Nebraskans, was 'M-mmmm.' The editor of Nebraska's Awgwan (funny monthly) defined

'M-mmmm' as 'general seductiveness'—a Nebraska synonym of Elinor Glyn's outworn 'it'."

"Nebraska's 'M-mmmm' girl placing first as to legs and trying as to hair, proved to be one Jane Youngson, shapely blonde. The Nebraska coeds voted too, for 'Perfect College Man' on the basis of Eyes, Physique, Face, Hair, Voice, Personality, Intellect and 'O-o-o-o' (male equivalent of 'M-mmmm')."

"A little bored with the co-educational penchant for such elections as Nebraska's, the Daily Northwestern of Northwestern University editorialized last week: 'The practice of the school is democracy. This wholesome condition can be realized by having more and more queens and still more. Let our motto be: A queen for every need.'"

The Daily Nebraskan

FIFTY-FIRST YEAR
Member: Associated College Press—Intercollegiate Press
Advertising Representative: National Advertising Service, Inc.

420 Madison Ave., New York 17, New York

The Daily Nebraskan is published by the students of the University of Nebraska at Lincoln. It is a non-profit organization and its purpose is to provide a medium for the expression of student opinion and to serve the needs of the student body. It is not affiliated with the University of Nebraska and its policies are determined by the student body. It is not responsible for the actions or opinions of its contributors.

Subscription rates are \$2.00 a semester, \$3.00 a year or \$5.00 for the entire year. Single copies 10¢. Published daily except on Saturdays, Sundays, holidays and examination periods. The paper is published during August each year by the University of Nebraska under the supervision of the Committee on Student Publications. Entered as second class matter at the Post Office at Lincoln, Nebraska, under no. of Congress, March 3, 1925, and at special rate of postage provided for in Section 1103, Act of October 3, 1917, authorized September 19, 1925.

EDUCATIONAL STAFF

Editor: Ken Rostow
Managing Editor: Ed DeMar, Dan Harrison, Martin Tyson, Tom Wadsworth
Associate Editor: Howard Kane, Jack Coffey, Chuck Benson
Sports Editor: Jack Coffey
Feature Editor: Howard Kane
As Editor: Howard Kane
Jenny Carman, Phyllis Hershberger, Marianne Hanson, Willie Beach, Roger Wall, Natalie Katt, Don Jackson, Grace Harney, Ray Smith, Cynthia Henderson, Nancy Gardner, Doris Kitchin, Nancy Olson, Dick Kitchin, Jim Patch, Henry Baum, Elaine Kitchin, Beth Kitchin, Don Jackson, Sue Baum, Francis Kitchin and Don Kitchin.

Business Manager: Fred Dargatzis, Stan Hinkle
Circulation Manager: Fred Dargatzis, Stan Hinkle
Night News Editor: Tom Wadsworth

WORLD REPORT

By PAUL MEANS
TODAY'S HEADLINES . . .

Crowds cheered former Illinois governor Adlai Stevenson as he arrived in Seoul, Korea, for talks with South Korean President Syngman Rhee and Allied military commanders. . . Rhee told Stevenson in the course of their discussions that Communist morale was so bad the Chinese Red Army in Korea would crumble before a U. N. offensive. . .

The United States challenged Soviet Premier Malenkov Monday to ease world tensions by agreeing to a just peace in Korea, banning Red attacks on Allied planes in Germany, and fulfilling pledges of Austrian independence. . .

President Eisenhower starts to sweep out the hundreds of top policy-making officials who are described as "New Deal" thinkers left over from the Truman administration.

'Oil Drive Opens Resources Steal'

EDITOR'S NOTE: The following article appeared in the St. Louis Post-Dispatch. The Eisenhower Administration is indicating a growing alarm that what some private interests are seeking, with considerable support in Congress, is not at all the conservation of natural resources envisioned by Theodore Roosevelt whom the President has made a model for his conservation policy.

The Administration belatedly seems to be waking up to the very clear fact, emphasized in this column before it assumed office, that the drive to quitclaim offshore oil lands to the states is the opening of a Pandora's box for a really big grab of our natural resources. In short, to establish a precedent for turning back all public lands within the states. That would mean easier exploitation of minerals and metals, forest resources, grazing lands, and development of rivers for private profit rather than in the general public interest.

That is directly contrary to the Theodore Roosevelt policy of preserving our natural resources by integrated national management in behalf of all the people, which is not possible if every state where there are public lands is left to its own whims in bargaining off natural resources in what now is the public domain.

Quilms of the Administration were revealed by Attorney General Herbert Brownell when he appeared before the Senate Interior and Insular Affairs Committee.

To its surprise, he recommended that Congress grant to the coastal states only the authority to administer and develop oil and other natural resources in the marginal seas within their "historic boundaries" and not grant "a blanket quitclaim title to the land," which is what President Eisenhower so blithely promised during the campaign.

Though citing the constitutional question as the reason why he opposed a blanket quitclaim for the states, it was manifest that Attorney General Brownell also was aware of the inherent dangers to our whole natural resources conservation policy should the granting of title in the coastal lands be taken as a precedent for taking title by the states of all other public lands.

For, when he was asked by Senator Barrett (Rep., Wyoming), why the public lands within the so-called public lands states, of which Wyoming is one of 14, should not also be given to the states, Mr. Brownell said that was an entirely separate question and had no relation to the issue involved in the offshore lands.

However, a connection has been argued by Senator Barrett and other public land states members of the committee at every opportunity since the hearings began. Furthermore, Senator Butler (Rep.), Nebraska, committee chairman, announced at the outset of these hearings that "when the tidelands question is settled there are plans for the introduction of a bill that will make the same theory applicable to public lands now held by the Federal Government within the state."

The tide is rolling up fast, until it seems to have made a dent within high Administration quarters. Simultaneously, public opinion seems likewise to be rising against this proposed reversal of our established conservation policy, and the Attorney General clearly reflected that.

He also may have been influenced by the testimony before the committee last week by Senator Estes Kefauver (Dem., Tennessee), who now has such a big public following as a result of his campaign for the Democratic presidential nomination.

The Tennessee Senator, who urged that a commission be appointed to study the whole problem involved in the offshore lands before Congress takes any action, warned that "we are leading to some new policy—or perhaps I should say no policy—with regard to the public lands and their natural resources—with regard to public power development, the national parks and reclamation lands within the interior of the United States."

"If we are saying under the quitclaim bill, as I think we are, that the individual states are entitled to this land beneath the sea that has been considered in the same light as public lands, then it is difficult to see any difference whatsoever in saying that the individual states within the United States are entitled to the public lands within their own boundaries."

As for the President, he added, "I know that the American people did not elect him to preside over the liquidation of our national wealth."

State control of the offshore lands is not only wrong in itself, but could perpetrate untold wrongs as a precedent.

LITTLE MAN ON CAMPUS . . .

By Bibler IT'S THE RULE



"Dear Friends: Congratulations to your fraternity for taking top scholastic honors this year. Heard you didn't have a TV set—please accept this slightly used one as reward for such outstanding . . ."

35th And Holdrege

Why Wasn't Fair Board Entered In Constitution

Chuck Beam

EDITOR'S NOTE: The opinions expressed in the following column do not necessarily coincide with those of The Daily Nebraskan.

Back from a tour of the Ag campus, I find one point interesting—the Ag Exec Board meeting of last Wednesday night.

Just to put some teeth in the points I brought forth in opposition to the incorporation of the Farmer's Fair Board into the Ag Exec. Board, I point to the amendment which the Exec Board passed unanimously at its last meeting.

The board approved unanimously the amendment to change the ex officio, non-voting representative, from the editor of the "Cornhusker Countryman" to a member of the Farmer's Fair Board.

With this fact in mind it seems to me that the suggestion of incorporating the Fair Board in the Exec Board is rather superfluous.

Now, I am wondering about the point which was printed in Wayne White's article last week. He states, "When the Ag Exec Board was formed last year, one of the primary reasons for its formation and increase in number of members was the ultimate replacement of not only the Farmer's Fair Board, but the Coll-Agri-Fun Board."

I interpret this as a reason for incorporation of the Fair Board into the Ag Exec. Board. However, I want to raise one question, Mr. White. When was this dreamed up and by whom? I attended the meeting last year when this present constitution was approved by the students of the Ag College, and I didn't hear any discussion of this ultimate goal. If this was one of the reasons, why wasn't it entered in the present constitution?

Just who is trying to pull the wool over the eyes of the Ag College students? It seems that if this was the ultimate goal, it should have been done with the present Farmer's Fair Board when the

constitution went into effect.

The point I got from the all-campus meeting in which the present constitution was discussed was that this move was done to get more equal student representation.

One point I will agree on is that the Coll-Agri-Fun Board should be treated the same as the Fair Board and, therefore, I don't think it should be incorporated either because it is contradictory to the present constitution. (I refer you to Article I, Sections 2 and 4.) I am strongly against any move to incorporate either of the organizations into the Ag Exec Board.

It has been said that the fair was an all-campus event. Granted, but how would the Ag Exec Board be able to get any more support than is now obtained under the present set-up? I think the students are going to support an all-campus event regardless of who is in charge of it.

Another point which has troubled me in last week's article was that the campuses are too highly organized. Such a move to incorporate the Coll-Agri-Fun Board and the Farmer's Fair Board, it appears to me, would be a step in making the Ag campus more highly organized. Also, what would happen to the University Rodeo Club, as it would have no representatives on the Ag Exec Board and therefore would not be charged with the responsibility of putting on a rodeo at the Fair? This is one of the biggest events. Why put the responsibility on a few such as the Ag Exec Board when now it is spread over two organizations and a greater number of students?

I welcome any comments the members of the Farmer's Fair Board or the Coll-Agri-Fun Board have in the discussion of the suggestion aired by the Ag

NORTH CAROLINA

Reader Attacks Paper For its 'Sexy' Pictures

EDITOR'S NOTE: The following letter to the editor appeared in a recent issue of the North Carolina Daily Tar Heel. The editorial which follows the letter was published in the same issue.

THE LETTER

Sex has reared its ugly head in The Daily Tar Heel far too frequently in the past few weeks to escape an "X" rating. Protection from the sex-liberalism area of the student body. We, the self-appointed censors of the public morals, have viewed with increasing alarm the inexcusable lack of good taste shown by the editor of the "Daily Police Gazette" in trying to boost his circulation by flagrantly displaying the denuded carcasses of Misses Marilyn Monroe and other damsels of delight in various obscene poses.

Succulent slices of cheese-cake featuring melon-breasted maids (the melons are usually overripe) in spicily suggestive pictures, garnished with juicy portions of deviled deities or heaping servings of rump roulade are being crammed down our throats despite our "retched" complaints of revulsion and extreme nausea.

Cease and desist, we beg you Mr. Dear, before the wrath of the clergy is directed from various pulpits against your shameful wickedness. If the imminence of springtime has stirred certain erotic desires in your breast, would suggest that you channel your pent-up energies toward more constructive, rewarding and healthful activity, like taking long walks in the bracing air of the surrounding countryside.

Blue Laws Committee (Names withheld by Request)

THE EDITORIAL
Would the campus rather have us publish no pictures at all? Would the coed populace prefer Charles Atlas or Mr. America, instead?

We think first of all, that Miss Monroe is news. Today's communication represents the first complaint from the male element of the campus. We have heard other murmurs from coeds concerning prints of Miss Monroe published in The Daily Tar Heel.

Most of the prints have served a purpose. A movie in which Miss Monroe participated was in town. Or Miss Monroe had recently made a statement that had been widely publicized.

The Daily Tar Heel believes in pictures as one form of communication in presenting the news. It will continue to present the news with pictures, with the objective of keeping students informed.

We suggest the following sonnet for our letter writers' consideration:

X C V I
Some say thy fault is youth, some wantonness,
Some say thy grace is youth and gentle sport;
Both grace and faults are lov'd of more and less;
Thou mak'st faults graces to thee report.
As on the finger of a throned queen
The basest jewel will be well esteem'd,
So are those errors that in thee are seen
To truths translated and for true things deem'd.
How many lambs might the stern wolf betray
If like a lamb he could his looks translate!
How many gazers might thou lead away
If thou wouldst use the strength of all thy state!
But do not so. I love thee in such sort
As thou being mine, mine is thy good report.
—William Shakespeare

Procedures, Rules Bind '53 NUCWA

By KAY NOSKY
Staff Writer

On the agenda for this week is the NUCWA mock General Assembly Wednesday, Thursday and Friday. Students who attend sessions will see representatives of most of the countries which are members of the United Nations discuss and vote on resolutions concerning present world problems. Debates will concern seating of Red China, the Korean situation and the North African colonial problem.

All this could be confusing to both representatives participating in the assembly and students watching it without certain procedure and parliamentary rules. An understanding of these will enable the students to follow the progress of the assembly more easily.

Representatives of countries and voting blocs have been doing research for some time to discover how their countries would react to developments at the conference. They have also evaluated what they think they can expect from opposing countries. From this information they will submit resolutions in writing to the secretary-general, who will circulate copies to the delegations.

During the assembly, resolutions will be made from the floor. Speakers will obtain permission to speak from the President of the Conference, in the order in which they are lined up at the speaker's stand.

Each delegate submitting a proposal will be allowed to speak for seven minutes. The first speaker in opposition to the proposal will also speak for seven minutes, but each following speaker will be limited to three minutes.

Each delegation will have one vote. The vote will normally be taken by a show of hands, with the exception of a roll call vote. In this case, each country is called alphabetically, starting with a country chosen by drawing a name out of a hat.

A speaker may be interrupted by a motion from the floor. For all other discussions and motions, however, a speaker will be recognized in the order in which he is waiting in seats provided near the speaker's stand. A new rule this year is that no motion will require a second.

Rising to a point of order, raising a question of privilege, motions to lay on the table, to rescind, to postpone, to amend; and a host of other parliamentary procedures promise to make the debate heated and exciting.

NUBB

TUESDAY

Lecture by Dr. William Bauer, Love Memorial Library, 4 p.m.
Orchestra dance recital, Grant Memorial Hall, 8:15.
Address by Rev. Hunter, Ag Union, 7:15 p.m.
Address by Father Poage, St. Mary's Cathedral, 7:30 p.m.
Union dancing lessons, Union Ballroom, 7:30 p.m.

Imagine!

A lion in your lap!

A lover in your arms!

THIS IS...

A thrilling new dimension has been added to motion pictures!

HEIGHT WIDTH and now DEPTH!

3 NATURAL VISION DIMENSION

Arch Oboler's 'BWANA DEVIL'

In Thrilling COLOR

starring Robert Stack, Barbara Britton, Nigel Bruce

The One and Only Full Length Feature now being shown in 3 D

A forerunner of things to come in the industry!!

Adults—75¢ & 1.00
CHILD—25¢ & 50¢

—STARTS—
WEDNESDAY
MARCH 25th

STATE
14TH AND 6TH