

Think About AUF

University of Nebraska students and faculty members are about to be asked to donate money again—starting today. The annual All-University Fund drive officially opened this morning and will be carried on for two, concentrated weeks.

At about this time of year, students groan when approached for donations and raise their protesting voices to the skies about their hard-hit pocketbooks. The Daily Nebraskan has discussed this student finance problem recently in reference to a seeming "culture lag" on the campus.

The past years have brought to light many discussions about AUF as an organization, its internal



hierarchy and so forth. These student discussions have also been concerned with the over-all worth of AUF's work.

Charity, of itself, is normally regarded as a virtue. With such an ethical principle, we cannot argue. Charity, through the eyes of AUF, seems to be a different subject. The value of the money collected by AUF and the purposes that it accomplishes have been questioned.

The manner in which AUF goes about its work—inter-house competition, artificial rivalry, etc.—

A Nebraska Farm Boy

A product of Nebraska's farmlands, Dr. Alvin Johnson, has made quite a name for himself in the education field.

Even when he was young, it was evident that Dr. Johnson was destined to be a scholar and when he attended the University he proved it. As a pre-med student, he paid a lot of attention to such non-scientific courses as Greek and Latin.

Dr. Johnson's life story has received national publicity lately with the release of his autobiography (Pioneer's Progress, Viking Press, \$5). The Sept. 29 issue of Time devotes several columns of Education section space to Dr. Johnson and the book.

Time calls the doctor a "kindly, rudy-faced man who wandered from medicine to the classics to economics, he taught at eight universities, founded a school, finally became one of United States' education's elder statesmen." This is quite a record and a record which Nebraskans haven't generally recognized.

Dr. Johnson earned his Ph.D. at Columbia and then started writing. He published his first textbook shortly after and wrote what Time called a "delicately worded book on prostitution for a group of Manhattan reformers called the Committee of Fifteen."

He returned to Columbia and then came back to Nebraska for a teaching engagement. He also taught in Texas and Chicago before becoming head of the economics department at Stanford. Finally, he went east to teach at Cornell. A stint as one of the early editors of the New Republic brought Dr. Johnson to 1919.

Then, he started a new career that was to bring him more fame than any of his other ventures. He became a founder and later director of school unlike any other school at that time. It was, Time

Margin Notes

Dissolving Line

Independent women appear to be instigating a new trend to dissolve the Greek-Barb line. This is apparent in the announcement that the BABW Hello Girl will be selected by an ALL University election. In previous years, only those attending the Hello Girl dance were eligible to vote.

No Smoke

Students registered for classes in the Social Science Building have been restricted from smoking in the classrooms at any time. As one is forced to plow through the smoke filled halls, the individual is ready to donate to the classroom ash tray fund for overworked janitors.

Just Friends

GOP Eisenhower-Taft force harmony seems a fair prospect at this writing. Just so the peace-makers aren't the kind who must be pried apart.

Binnoculars, Anyone?

It seems as if the Women's Athletic Field has replaced TV entertainment in a Vine St. Fraternity. With the Intramural soccer games every afternoon, binnoculars are more and more in demand.

Nixon's Nickels

The old chuckle that money is stuff that talked in the thirties, whispered in the forties—and just sneaks off quietly now when you're not looking, was not the case with Sen. Richard Nixon's controversial budget. His opponents are still trying to make more out of Nixon's nickels than the New Dealers ever hoped to.

'Outward Bound'

The Daily Nebraskan congratulates the nine past members selected Thursday for "Outward Bound."

Daily Thought

Hear one man before you answer. Hear many before you decide.—Anonymous.

have been problems discussed thoroughly in editorial columns in past years. This year's AUF board has taken note of such matters and has attempted, in this fall's organization, to eliminate such activities as drew the most complaints and to add other projects which might bring their organization into more favorable public opinion.

The Nebraskan sincerely feels that the members of AUF have conscientiously examined their organization this year and have made changes that free their work from much former criticism. For such work, we congratulate them.

The matter of donating money to AUF and its causes—usually a \$2 gift is asked from each individual—is of immediate concern to us. Another check to another organization does not particularly appeal to the student body at this time.

Many people make out their annual AUF checks for various reasons—it's expected of University members, the house doesn't want to be criticized for not giving 100 per cent, everybody else donates, it's really a worthy cause . . .

The Nebraskan staff would like to see people donating to AUF only after they've examined their consciences and the organizations which AUF supports and sincerely feel that their money is going for a good cause. The Nebraskan would like to see students and faculty members donating to AUF—with absolutely no regrets or misgivings, financial or otherwise.

This year 15 per cent of each contributor's dollar will go toward cancer research at our own University. Dr. Frank Pace's cancer cell research on this campus stands first in the country. This benefit of the AUF dollar can be seen right here. And The Nebraskan seriously doubts that anyone would question the value of such necessary research.

Forty per cent of every AUF dollar goes to the Lincoln Community Chest which in turn financially supports the University YWCA and YMCA. Incidentally, AUF's contribution to the Community Chest is much smaller than the amount of money returned here to the Y's. Another 40 per cent of the dollar goes to the World Student Service Fund—the only organized agency through which we, as students, can aid fellow students throughout the world.

The Nebraskan is supporting the AUF drive. We would like to see every other student and faculty member doing the same. But money for charity must be freely and sincerely given. Donations to AUF should be honest ones.—R.R.

A Big Success

Coach Glassford said it; Ed Husmann said it; Verl Scott said it; the policeman that led the parade said it; Ira Epstein said it and most of the people in the crowd said it.

Nearly everyone agreed that the pre-game rally Friday night was one of the most successful in the last three years. With house banners waving high, the chanting, singing crowd went into downtown Lincoln before stopping at the Union for a few yells and speeches. Spirit was at its highest pitch.

The Nebraskan wishes to give its heartiest congratulations to the rally committee, all Cobs and Tassels, the pepsters, the band and the many students who participated. This demonstration falls right on top of the criticism which some of the professional press has been dishing out to the Cornhusker spirit. In recent sport columns we have been accused of not supporting our team the way we should.

Nevertheless, Bill Glassford, Verl Scott and Ed Husmann agreed that the affair at the airport last Sunday was no one's fault; only a misunderstanding. The coach and team representatives complimented you on your spirit and The Nebraskan does, too. D. P.

The Daily Nebraskan

FIFTY-FIRST YEAR Member Associated Collegiate Press Intercollegiate Press

The Daily Nebraskan is published by the students of the University of Nebraska in expression of students' news and opinions only. According to Article II of the By-Laws governing student publication and administered by the Board of Publications, "It is the declared policy of the Board that publications, under its jurisdiction shall be free from editorial censorship on the part of the Board, or on the part of any member of the faculty of the University, but the members of the staff of The Daily Nebraskan are personally responsible for what they say or do or cause to be printed."

Subscription rates are \$2.00 a semester, \$3.50 mailed or \$2.00 for the college year, \$4.00 mailed. Single copy 5c. Published daily during the school year except Saturdays and Sundays, vacations and examination periods. One issue published during the month of August by the University of Nebraska under the supervision of the Committee on Student Publications. Entered as Second Class Matter of the Post Office at Lincoln, Nebraska, under Act of Congress, March 3, 1919, and at special rate of postage provided for in Section 1103, Act of Congress of October 3, 1917, authorized September 16, 1952.

EDITORIAL STAFF Editor: Ed Husmann Managing Editor: Don Pipher Associate Editor: Ben Gordon News Editor: Dick Raboin Sports Editor: Glenn Seland Ad's Editor: Don Pipher Feature Editor: Ed Husmann Asst. Editor: Chuck Beck

Business Staff Editor: Ed Husmann Asst. Editor: Don Pipher

Letterip

Thanks, TKE's . . .

Dear Editor, This will acknowledge and thank you most sincerely for your graciousness in forwarding to this office Check #975, dated Sept. 24, from the Tau Kappa Epsilon Fraternity, in the amount of \$50 as a contribution to the National Foundation for Infantile Paralysis. We are also acknowledging to Mr. Mooney, president of this fraternity, our appreciation.

Fifty per cent of this contribution will go to assist local polio patients needing such help. The other 50 per cent will go to assist with the national program of continued research, both into the cause and prevention of the disease, the training of professional personnel in both fields of endeavor, and for emergency epidemic aid in the form of emergency equipment and other services so desperately needed during the time of an epidemic, such as the nation, and Nebraska especially, is at this time facing.

Very sincerely, Clinton Belknap East Nebraska Representative, National Foundation for Infantile Paralysis

Proud Greeks . . .

Dear Editor, Occasionally into American history there came periods of bigotry and prejudice against those whose names are not "American," or whose ideas do not run parallel to popular American conceptions. Italians, Jews, Mexicans, Negroes, Irish Catholics, and various groups of immigrant laborers have faced the storm of public disapproval because they are not "American." America is great because it is the meeting place of every race, faith, nationality, culture, and language the world knows. Who can say what is strictly American?

When Chancellor Gustavson told the Farmhouse Conclave "there is a democracy that goes with your name," and condemned what he called the "aristocratic atmosphere" of Greek letter societies, he hurled the blanket of scorn upon a group that is not recognized by an "American" name. The high ideals as set down in the constitutions of social fraternities belie his accusation.

Fraternities and sororities exist at this University because they have integrated themselves with the noble purposes of the school. Members of Greek letter societies have worked continually to make the University a better school in every way. We fraternity people are proud of what the letters stand for. We may "discriminate," or choose members-to-be, but so does the Army choose men who will be capable officers in battle; the citizens elect the men to govern us; and the University discriminates by allowing only those students to continue who fulfill certain requirements of intelligence and personal conduct.

The greatest period of progress of human thinking was during that memorable time of Athenian Greece. Is it so wrong, Chancellor, to remind ourselves of that period by naming our organizations with letters from the alphabet of that culture? Disturbed

Strictly Kushner

Democrats and Republicans are probably still questioning their sanity in sanctioning the gentlemen who proudly bear the flags of their parties for the office of vice-president.

It is my contention that both men have fairly weak records in congress; voting records which are inconsistent with the platforms the two candidates are now supporting.

So let's take a quick look at both records in congress:

Taft-Hartley: Sparkman and Nixon voted to override the veto. Chalk one up for Sparkie.

Civil Rights: The Alabama senator has always taken an uncompromising stand against such legislation. Amendments to the 1948 draft bill were not brought before the House, so Nixon voted against a delay in considering the FEPC legislation and Sparkman was for delaying action. Nixon scores in this round.

Social Security: Both were in favor of expansion of the program in the bill presented in 1950. Pretty even round; we'll call it a draw.

McCarran Bill: Nixon voted for this undemocratic proposal for limiting immigration into the United States. Sparkman voted against it. No question that Sparkman scored heavily in that round.

Taxes: Nixon voted for a cut in taxes. Sparkman opposed the cut. With a few minor exceptions, both men have adhered to this policy while in congress. Take another bow, John.

Tidelands Oil: The GOP Veep hopeful turned in a vote favoring state ownership. Sparkman evidently feels that the government should have control. It looks like Nixon is making raly.

Fair Trade Proposal: Both candidates were partial to price maintenance in the proposition voted upon this year. The referee marks this round even.

Fair Deal Foreign Legislation: Both have crossed the enemy line on these measures. Both back the Marshall Plan and the sending of four divisions to Europe in 1951. Sparkman, surprisingly enough, is not the rock-ribbed fair dealer one may think he is by listening to him. But Nixon has also seen fit to vote for certain fair deal

A Student Views The News Britain Explodes A-Weapon; Russia Advances Air Power

Ann Griffis

At 8 p.m., Oct. 3, the British exploded an atomic weapon in the Monte Bello Islands, north-west of Australia.

The exact nature of the weapon itself is highly secret, but experts indicated that the tests concerned "an atomic explosive which could be used in a variety of ways."

All information released by the British government carefully avoided the term, "bomb," and speculation before the test had centered on the possibility of an atomic guided missile. Eyewitnesses, reported that the high tower and the smoke resembled that of a bomb blast.

Whatever the British have, they intend to keep it a closely guarded secret. Rumors suggest that the weapon provides a solution to several problems that are still baffling American scientists. In this case, the success of the explosion was not only a scientific but a political advantage for the British.

The United States, under the Atomic Energy Act of 1946, barred the sharing of any atomic secrets with other nations. This created a good deal of resentment in Britain, our major ally, and recently the AEC proposed amendments to the Act which would allow discussions between the two powers.

With the British development behind him, Churchill now has bargaining power for the proposed talks. He will probably make good use of it after the previous slight.

The U.S. also disclosed new advances in atomic research last week. Technologists have perfected an atomic artillery piece. The 85-ton, 280 mm monster has an accuracy equal to that of conventional guns at close range. At longer distances, it is up to four times as accurate with either atomic or conventional shells.

Secretary of the Navy, Dan Kimball, announced Wednesday that an atomic guided missile warship is now afloat. It is designed primarily for anti-aircraft defense, but its companion ships will be equipped to direct missiles

in offensive action. Combat ships and aircraft carriers powered by atomic energy are only a matter of time.

The Monte Bello atomic experiment was the 37th officially recorded explosion. It was Britain's first venture in the field, and the London Daily News maintains that it was "far ahead of anything America has produced."

The United States is responsible for 33 of the explosions—31 in tests and two in actual warfare. The remaining three are the only known atomic experiments under Soviet control.

Russia, of course, has managed to keep her atomic progress almost completely secret. Whatever is known outside the Iron Curtain is kept equally secret by the U.S. Intelligence department.

An effective atomic bomb is still dependent upon aerial transportation to reach its target, and partial statistics on Russia's air strength up to March, 1951, are public data.

At that time, the Russians claimed to have 19,000 planes capable of combat duty. How that figure breaks down into strategic and tactical air power and how quality compares with quantity was not disclosed.

Since 1951, Russian jet production has skyrocketed and it was not until last week that Air Secretary, Thomas K. Finletter, was able to say that American output had reached the same level.

In terms of manpower to staff the planes, the Soviet Union far outweighs the U.S. The Air Force Reserve, alone, consists of approximately 1,000,000 men.

For most of the past two decades, aeronautics has been receiving an emphatic build-up in Russian newspapers and youth organizations, although Russia's technical progress in the field lagged far behind that of the Western nations. During World War II, the Soviet Air Force was noted equally for its outdated aircraft and fanatically eager pilots.

Now that designing and production have caught up with enthusiasm, Russia constitutes a formidable threat to Western air supremacy. At the present time, the United States can offer a total reserve and regular Air Force of 970,000 and 20,000 combat planes.



Griffis

Crib Notes

Chancellor To Speak Wednesday; Union To Sponsor Dancing Lessons

Shirley Murphy

Crib Notes is a rather inappropriate title for this week's column since most of the students are gathering in the lounge in front of TV for the world series (and may Brooklyn win!)

Another event all University students will want to attend is Chancellor R. G. Gustavson's state-of-the-University speech, "What's Ahead?"

The all-University convocation is scheduled at 10 a.m. Wednesday in the Coliseum. Jean Davis, convocation committee chairman, and Ann Skold, assistant, are in charge.

Dancing lessons are on the Tuesday evening agenda Oct. 7 through Nov. 18.

Donna McCandless, professional dancing instructor, will teach the class at 7 to 9 p.m. in the Union ballroom.

The series of eight lessons will help prepare students for the quickly approaching winter social season.

Have you ever stopped to think about American houses in the early beginnings of our country?



Murphy

Bridget Watson's house committee brings a Life magazine exhibit, "Houses, U.S.A.," to the Union lounge, Friday. The display will continue through Oct. 24.

The history of American architecture is traced in pictorial form from its beginnings to present day dwellings. The exhibit was originally prepared by the state department for circulation in Latin America.

A coffee hour will be held in the Union lounge after the Huskers beat K-State Saturday! (?)

Saturday evening, Jean Sweeney's committee will hold a square dance for University students. No admission will be charged for the dance which will begin at 8:30 p.m. in the Union ballroom.

Three talent winners will walk off with the \$10, \$7 and \$3 prizes Sunday offered to first, second and third place winners in the Union Talent show.

Norm Guager is in charge of the show which starts at 7:30 p.m. in the Union ballroom. Last year, 15 acts appeared in the show.

All the talent finalists' acts will be filed in the Union for reference during the year, to perform in Lincoln.

TV 'Butterflies' Blight Halftime Ceremonies At TCU-KU Grid Game

(Editor's Note: The following editorial was reprinted from The University Daily Kansan.)

Did television "butterflies" cause the faux pas that blighted the halftime ceremonies at the Texas Christian-Kansas football game Saturday?

We can't answer the question, which no doubt has puzzled thousands of spectators. We believe, however, that poor timing on the part of the University band was responsible for the mistake, and that better planning is needed as insurance against repetition of the error.

As the football teams left the field after the first half, a precision drill team of Naval cadets from Pensacola, Fla., marched in fine formation to the center of the gridiron.

Their maneuvers set off cheers from the crowd, but the outbursts were cut short by a request over the public address system that "complete silence be observed in order that the cadets could regulate their marching by the rhythm of their own cadence."

For a short interval nothing could be heard above the rhythmic cadence of the smart-stepping cadets. An occasional "ooh" or "aah" rose from the crowd because of the precision of the cadet unit,

but on the whole, silence was strictly maintained.

Then, as the cadet maneuvers held the attention of almost everyone, the University of Kansas band, directed by Prof. Russell L. Wiley, burst forth with the opening strains of the "Crimson and Blue," alma mater of the University.

The cadets, of course, were forced to take second place to the crowd's attention. The cadets continued marching, despite the noise from the band and the singing of the crowd. To add insult to injury, the Rockchalk chant followed the alma mater.

We question the necessity of always observing the alma mater

and Rockchalk chant during halftime ceremonies. Last year both were saved until the close of the third quarter in several games.

An injustice was done, we believe, not only to the visiting cadets, but also to the effectiveness of the alma mater and Rockchalk chant.

A greater injustice springs from the fact that the game was the first collegiate football contest ever witnessed by many of the new students at the University, and the manners demonstrated by the University in this matter could not have left a favorable impression.

To these new students, and to the Pensacola cadets, we feel an apology is needed.

Letterip

Be Careful, Editor . . .

Dear Editor: The national wire services placed a great deal of news value on the editorial appearing in the Columbia Daily Spectorator in which the newspaper declared that it would not support Columbia's president-on-leave, Gen. Eisenhower.

The Daily Nebraskan, however, in setting itself up as a news valuator, found the political stand of little, or no, consequences.

In judging whether the story is of importance, I am inclined to lean toward the wire services' point of view. Why? Well, since we know of no details other than were published in the editorial, I could justify my stand on either of two points. Undoubtedly one of these, at least partially, describes the situation, were it to be known.

The editors of the paper have perhaps found real reason for forsaking the like bandwagon—expected, as the paper was, to be prejudiced, justly or unjustly, toward Eisenhower. They speak

in glittering generalities—forsaking "principle for expediency," and "plodding, orthodox, unimaginative thinker"—but perhaps behind these big words lie real political facts.

2. The stand of the Spectorator perhaps is simply another example of the irresponsibility of college newspapers—never judging on real issues always jumping the gun with something not backed by facts, shooting off their editorial mouths just to be talking and to be heard (and quoted).

Which ever the case, The Daily Nebraskan's stand was farcical for either the editorial writer fails to realize the significance of the Spectorator's announcement or she jeopardizes her own editorial stand favoring Eisenhower.

FRANK PIERSON