

The Daily Nebraskan

Station A, Lincoln, Nebraska
OFFICIAL STUDENT PUBLICATION
UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA

Associated Collegiate Press
1933 (Incorporated 1914)

Entered as second-class matter at the postoffice in Lincoln, Nebraska, under act of congress, March 3, 1879, and at special rate of postage provided for in section 1103, act of October 3, 1917, authorized January 20, 1922.

THIRTY-THIRD YEAR

Published Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday and Sunday mornings during the academic year.

SUBSCRIPTION RATE

\$1.50 a year Single Copy 5 cents \$1.00 a semester \$2.50 a year mailed \$1.50 a semester mailed Under direction of the Student Publication Board.

Editorial Office—University Hall 4.
Business Office—University Hall 4A.
Telephone—Day: B-6891; Night: B-6882, B-3333 (Journal) Ask for Nebraskan editor.

EDITORIAL STAFF

Laurence Hall Editor-in-chief
Bruce Nicoll Managing Editors
Burton Marvin News Editors Violet Cross
Bernard Jennings Business Manager
George Holyoke Assistant Business Managers Dick Schmidt
Wilbur Erickson

Two Decisive Gatherings.

AS a climax to preparatory work of the year two important organizations begin their serious activity tonight, Tuesday, when they launch definite steps for reforming and revitalizing their memberships.

One of the organizations is the Interfraternity council, which decides the fate of long-pending reorganization plans. The other is the Interclub council which takes first steps in strengthening bond unity. Both councils face the year with big tasks to perform, and both will have taken decisive steps toward or against the performance of those steps after their meetings tonight.

The Interfraternity council delegates will ballot on plans calculated to place matters of Greek administration and legislation beyond the pale of politics. The Interclub council representatives will not deal with such a critical issue, but their meeting is equally important, for they will show tonight whether progress in barb organization is to continue or to retrogress.

AT its last meeting the Greek council showed some encouraging signs of entering into reorganization wholeheartedly and completely, but the ballot on proposals was delayed until the temper of the fraternity chapters themselves could be determined. Now, returning fortified with the approval of their houses, the delegates can proceed to the elimination of politics which they approved so strongly at the council's earlier meeting. And once that cleanup is effected, the Greek legislators will be in a position to make their organization the strong body it should be.

Strengthened and vitalized by delegates who can look beyond the narrow limits of their own political ambitions, Nebraska fraternities will have a council that can in turn strengthen them. Membership in the council has too long been but a step to the Innocency, and to see the representation on a new basis ought to be the incentive for some valuable endeavors among the Greeks.

Certainly those endeavors are necessary if campus fraternities are to wipe out petty rivalries and keep step with the changing scene in American colleges. And just how necessary they are will probably appear to better advantage after the National Interfraternity council meeting in Chicago in two weeks when fraternity trends and potentialities will be discussed in the light of actual existing problems.

For the present, reorganization has the track at tonight's Interfraternity council meeting, just as it will be the center of Interclub council activity for the evening. For both bodies the evening is an important one. It may well be the decisive meeting of the year for each organization, determining whether the future will hold progress, or retrogression.

PEP CLUBS LAUNCH TICKET SALES DRIVE WITH A BANG AT NOON PEP GATHERING

(Continued from Page 1.)

the same time pointing to encouraging signs.

"When students don't go to the football games, there won't be any games," he said. "But with a well organized unit like the Tassels, closely connected as they are with Nebraska athletics, there's no reason the drive can't be put over successfully."

"Ticket sales to non-student fans are already more than half as great as last year at this time, and the athletic department is glad to have the Tassels in charge of the campus drive. Besides giving them a chance to earn transportation to an out of town game, we are glad to put them on a par with the Corn Cobs as a service organization and in every way strengthen their connections with athletics."

Cosch Bible, full of praise for the Tassel brand of enthusiasm,

declared he felt a pep talk unnecessary.

"The imperative mood is very much in evidence here this noon," he said, "and I'm sure you're going to put this drive over. I want to emphasize that the football team is representative of the entire university, worthy of unanimous student support. And I hope you Tassels can attend a game away from home, or even two. You don't know how much it means to the boys to know they have the active support of the students."

That the Tassels were very grateful to the athletic department for the opportunity given them to earn transportation and game expenses was indicated by Miss Bunting. She also thanked the department for placing its facilities at the disposal of the saleswomen, and introduced Mina Kellner, athletic department secretary, who will check daily sales.

As part of the drive to make the campus 'ticket conscious,' red and white "N" buttons are again being used by campaigners this year, a button going to each student purchasing a ticket.

Leaders of the drive are urging

Have You Bought It?

TASSELS have it. Have you bought it? The student athletic ticket drive enters its second day this morning, and the question "Have you bought yours yet?" is a pertinent one. To go even further, the Nebraskan hopes that the question—if you are among the hangers-on who have not bought their tickets yet—is even embarrassing. With early reports showing a fifty percent increase in the sale of tickets to non-student fans, university students ought to feel embarrassed if they hesitate to purchase their season books. Students are integral parts of the institution which the athletic teams represent, and it would be a sorry thing if they did not feel called upon to surpass the efforts of outside supporters.

Requests for tickets have already come from all sections of the state, and even many surrounding high schools will be represented in the stands. It's up to the campus to show it, above all other places, is the place from which the most and the best support it to come.

After all, it is ultimately student support that gauges the amount to be available for intramurals, sport equipment, sport classes, and all the other activities for the general student body made possible by the athletic department. It is not amiss to point out that now, more than ever, support of athletics is support of the entire institution.

Have you (and this sternly)—have you bought your ticket yet?

Cabbages for 'Hello Day.'

ELEVATING informality to an institutionalized plane of carefully organized co-operative endeavor! Paradoxical, isn't it? Yet that's one of the seasonal activities of the girls' senior honorary society, the Mortar Boards, and Friday involved preparations will be culminated in the usual feeble way with a few scattered greetings.

The occasion is "Hello Day," a relic of former years, into which some faint signs of life were breathed three years ago when the Mortar Boards were looking for something to do.

As it has worked, and gives every sign of continuing to work this fall, "Hello Day" is the day when some half a dozen freshmen women are very much surprised to find themselves being timidly—or sometimes brazenly—greeted by a few self-conscious upperclasswomen. The ideal supposed to be at the bottom of all this, in the words of the sponsors, is to "create a united Cornhusker spirit" and to "secure and promote a better feeling of co-operation among all university students."

The ideal is generally conceded to be a worthy one, but almost no other method could be so ill-adapted to attaining it.

Typically American is this left-handed attempt to institutionalize an informal thing. It is in the same class as some of the absurdities sponsored by chamber of commerce in their frenzy to set aside days for the observance of every brand of sentimentality.

"Hello Day" (last year it dragged interminably for a whole week) is, in fact, an absurdity—spring from the blind worship of that vague thing "tradition." Do not misunderstand us; tradition properly respected is one of the things that holds an institution or a society together, and as such has its proper merits. It is only when the blind, uncontrolled American urge to "do something about it" crops out that "tradition" becomes emasculated, and completely absurd.

The very fundamental value that accrues to informal greeting is more than destroyed when an attempt is made to organize and dispense "hellos" formally. The value is trampled on, held up to ridicule, disgraced. "Hello," symbolic amicable greeting, certainly denotes a kind of social spirit, a unity, but to place it in the gap of tradition as a means of organized promotion of "a feeling of co-operation among all university students" is probably one of the most absurdly futile projects ever attempted on this campus.

Once, in a small college, "Hello Day" might have had a value. But it lost out when it failed to get support, and the abortive attempt to revive it is worse than worthless—it's disgusting.

CONTEMPORARY COMMENT

Activity Tax At Missouri.

Along with many other changes, a compulsory student tax was put into effect this fall; each student was required to pay \$5.75 before he was enrolled in school.

Contrary to expectations, there was little complaint to this nick in the students' pocketbooks. The fellow who was least able to pay was usually the one who was most enthusiastic about the new tax.

This spirit is a feather in the cap of the student body. It indicates that they are of sufficient intelligence to appreciate the educational and financial advantage of a tax of the type being initiated here this fall. It is a pleasure to know that our collegiate colleagues pay the activity tax with the same attitude they pay laboratory fees—not with a particular feeling of pleasure and one gets much of a kick out of spending money without an immediate material thing to take the place of the money), but with the knowledge that they are merely paying for a part of their education—a part that has been sadly neglected by a large part of the student body, and paid for at too great a cost by a small part of the student body.

The money collected through the student tax, will be distributed among the major extra-curricular activities of the campus—Athletics, Fine Arts programs, Workshop, Forensics, the Savitar, the Missouri Student, and the Student Government association.

Formerly, a Savitar ticket cost five dollars a season, a year's ticket for fine arts programs cost five dollars for downstairs seats and three dollars for balcony seats, a season ticket for Workshop productions was priced at three dollars (plus assessments for one-act plays), and a year's subscription for a four-page Missouri Student cost fifty cents. An athletic activity ticket cost six dollars and fifty cents. In short, where formerly the student had to pay eighteen dollars for the major student activities, he can enjoy these same activities for only eleven dollars and seventy-five cents a year.

The activity tax has two purposes—to enable all students to enjoy extra-curricular activities at a reduced cost, and to financially aid these activities that were on their last financial legs. The athletic department was finding it impossible to continue its full schedule on the reduced gate receipts being taken in. The Savitar, and the Missouri Student had been reduced in size and quality until the standard was far below what publications of this size should maintain—this merely because of reduced advertising and subscription revenue.

Workshop also felt the leanness of student pocketbooks in that it was forced to reduce too drastically the cost of its productions. In a similar fashion, Fine Arts programs, Forensics, and the Student Government association were effected. The activity tax not only was a good thing for the student body at large, it also saved the day for the activities themselves.

The undergraduates have recognized a good idea when they saw it, and they are to be complimented.—Missouri Student.

It is during these periods of study that the scene is amusing and pathetic. The boys—fifty to seventy-five of them—lean over the rail, walk idly around the halls, stand transfixed staring at the wall, or in other anomalous positions. They do not converse; they are all in profound meditation. They are preparing to tell the judge why they placed the animals in the order that they did. They are trying to organize their reasons so that they can recite them in two minutes, make the judge see the animals exactly as they were, and hold the judge's attention. The latter, after the judge has listened to two or three

dozen sets of hum-drum reasons, is not so easy to do. One of the most agonizing situations that a contestant can find himself in is to reason himself out of his placing. That is, when he comes to analyze in his mind the reasons for placing A over B, he discovers that there was no reason for doing it, that he had failed to see the animals as they were. Then he has to stand up and lie to the judge. He has to tell the judge why A was superior to B when he knows all the time that A was not. Such a situation leaves the contestant with an empty feeling. He can't put much "punch" into what he has to say. But after it's all over, and the banquet comes in the evening, and the awards are announced, even the student who had to stand up and tell lies to the judge sees how much the experience has been worth to him. The whole experience is worthwhile, and no small part of the worthlessness comes right there in the hotel during those hours of actual concentration. They don't really think until they have to. But not that when they have to, they can. For had the student who mixed the class and then discovered the mistake when he came to organize reasons thought as hard when he was placing the animals as he did when he was preparing reasons, that sad situation would never have occurred.

At about the same time this is being written, three Ag students are going through a kind of agony that one almost has to experience to appreciate. Those three students are the members of the dairy cattle judging team—Willare Waldo, Raymond McCarty, and Paul Swanson.

Today was the contest at Waterloo. The morning was taken up with the judging. In the afternoon all the teams go to the hotel to give reasons. It is an amusing sight to one who is not a contestant. In various rooms are given numbers, and they are to report in the designated rooms to give reasons as their numbers are called. There are five sets of reasons to be given—one on the cow class of each of the five breeds.

The boys are given twenty minutes to prepare the first set of reasons before the first numbers are called. The numbers are arranged so that each contestant has a short lapse of time after giving reasons on one class before he has to give them on the next.

It is during these periods of study that the scene is amusing and pathetic. The boys—fifty to seventy-five of them—lean over the rail, walk idly around the halls, stand transfixed staring at the wall, or in other anomalous positions. They do not converse; they are all in profound meditation. They are preparing to tell the judge why they placed the animals in the order that they did. They are trying to organize their reasons so that they can recite them in two minutes, make the judge see the animals exactly as they were, and hold the judge's attention. The latter, after the judge has listened to two or three

dozen sets of hum-drum reasons, is not so easy to do. One of the most agonizing situations that a contestant can find himself in is to reason himself out of his placing. That is, when he comes to analyze in his mind the reasons for placing A over B, he discovers that there was no reason for doing it, that he had failed to see the animals as they were. Then he has to stand up and lie to the judge. He has to tell the judge why A was superior to B when he knows all the time that A was not. Such a situation leaves the contestant with an empty feeling. He can't put much "punch" into what he has to say. But after it's all over, and the banquet comes in the evening, and the awards are announced, even the student who had to stand up and tell lies to the judge sees how much the experience has been worth to him. The whole experience is worthwhile, and no small part of the worthlessness comes right there in the hotel during those hours of actual concentration. They don't really think until they have to. But not that when they have to, they can. For had the student who mixed the class and then discovered the mistake when he came to organize reasons thought as hard when he was placing the animals as he did when he was preparing reasons, that sad situation would never have occurred.

At about the same time this is being written, three Ag students are going through a kind of agony that one almost has to experience to appreciate. Those three students are the members of the dairy cattle judging team—Willare Waldo, Raymond McCarty, and Paul Swanson.

Today was the contest at Waterloo. The morning was taken up with the judging. In the afternoon all the teams go to the hotel to give reasons. It is an amusing sight to one who is not a contestant. In various rooms are given numbers, and they are to report in the designated rooms to give reasons as their numbers are called. There are five sets of reasons to be given—one on the cow class of each of the five breeds.

The boys are given twenty minutes to prepare the first set of reasons before the first numbers are called. The numbers are arranged so that each contestant has a short lapse of time after giving reasons on one class before he has to give them on the next.

It is during these periods of study that the scene is amusing and pathetic. The boys—fifty to seventy-five of them—lean over the rail, walk idly around the halls, stand transfixed staring at the wall, or in other anomalous positions. They do not converse; they are all in profound meditation. They are preparing to tell the judge why they placed the animals in the order that they did. They are trying to organize their reasons so that they can recite them in two minutes, make the judge see the animals exactly as they were, and hold the judge's attention. The latter, after the judge has listened to two or three

dozen sets of hum-drum reasons, is not so easy to do. One of the most agonizing situations that a contestant can find himself in is to reason himself out of his placing. That is, when he comes to analyze in his mind the reasons for placing A over B, he discovers that there was no reason for doing it, that he had failed to see the animals as they were. Then he has to stand up and lie to the judge. He has to tell the judge why A was superior to B when he knows all the time that A was not. Such a situation leaves the contestant with an empty feeling. He can't put much "punch" into what he has to say. But after it's all over, and the banquet comes in the evening, and the awards are announced, even the student who had to stand up and tell lies to the judge sees how much the experience has been worth to him. The whole experience is worthwhile, and no small part of the worthlessness comes right there in the hotel during those hours of actual concentration. They don't really think until they have to. But not that when they have to, they can. For had the student who mixed the class and then discovered the mistake when he came to organize reasons thought as hard when he was placing the animals as he did when he was preparing reasons, that sad situation would never have occurred.

The idea seems to be gaining friends among educators. The proposal has its sound points. Students in its educational institutions are among a country's best investments. For more of the nation's energetic and ambitious young people to be fitted for specialized work would be national insurance against future difficulties such as the ones through which we are passing.

Student loans aren't a new thing. They have been very successful whenever tried. The recipients of these financial aids have used them to work hard for cases in betterment and few are the cases in which this has not been found. The students are considered good risks for they invariably look forward to the time when they can pay back their benefactors, and their training usually equips them so that this is relatively soon after their graduation.

The RFC probably will want a demand on the part of the students themselves before installing such a program. It would be well for all college students to give some study to the plan as it is being developed in their interest.—Indiana Daily Student.

Ag College

By Carlyle Hodgkin

CONCENTRATION.

At about the same time this is being written, three Ag students are going through a kind of agony that one almost has to experience to appreciate. Those three students are the members of the dairy cattle judging team—Willare Waldo, Raymond McCarty, and Paul Swanson.

Today was the contest at Waterloo. The morning was taken up with the judging. In the afternoon all the teams go to the hotel to give reasons. It is an amusing sight to one who is not a contestant. In various rooms are given numbers, and they are to report in the designated rooms to give reasons as their numbers are called. There are five sets of reasons to be given—one on the cow class of each of the five breeds.

The boys are given twenty minutes to prepare the first set of reasons before the first numbers are called. The numbers are arranged so that each contestant has a short lapse of time after giving reasons on one class before he has to give them on the next.

It is during these periods of study that the scene is amusing and pathetic. The boys—fifty to seventy-five of them—lean over the rail, walk idly around the halls, stand transfixed staring at the wall, or in other anomalous positions. They do not converse; they are all in profound meditation. They are preparing to tell the judge why they placed the animals in the order that they did. They are trying to organize their reasons so that they can recite them in two minutes, make the judge see the animals exactly as they were, and hold the judge's attention. The latter, after the judge has listened to two or three

We Repair Rips, Snags

Reline Garments Put in New Pockets, etc.

Prompt Service Just Call F2377

MODERN CLEANERS SOUKUP & WESTOVER "29th Year in Lincoln"

Your Drug Store

Here is a friend indeed—catering to your needs with the best of service.

WE DELIVER

The Owl Pharmacy

148 No. 14 & P St. Lincoln, Neb.

Magee's

Three Cheers for

Campus Woolens

... That deplete papa's checking account to the low price tune of

\$7.95

Shaggy little woolens... with white pique (detachable) collars... yoke tuckings... and wooden buttons for trim.

You can tell at a glance that these chic young frocks are educated to the latest trends in Campus woolens.



Magee's

dozen sets of hum-drum reasons, is not so easy to do. One of the most agonizing situations that a contestant can find himself in is to reason himself out of his placing. That is, when he comes to analyze in his mind the reasons for placing A over B, he discovers that there was no reason for doing it, that he had failed to see the animals as they were. Then he has to stand up and lie to the judge. He has to tell the judge why A was superior to B when he knows all the time that A was not. Such a situation leaves the contestant with an empty feeling. He can't put much "punch" into what he has to say. But after it's all over, and the banquet comes in the evening, and the awards are announced, even the student who had to stand up and tell lies to the judge sees how much the experience has been worth to him. The whole experience is worthwhile, and no small part of the worthlessness comes right there in the hotel during those hours of actual concentration. They don't really think until they have to. But not that when they have to, they can. For had the student who mixed the class and then discovered the mistake when he came to organize reasons thought as hard when he was placing the animals as he did when he was preparing reasons, that sad situation would never have occurred.

One of the most agonizing situations that a contestant can find himself in is to reason himself out of his placing. That is, when he comes to analyze in his mind the reasons for placing A over B, he discovers that there was no reason for doing it, that he had failed to see the animals as they were. Then he has to stand up and lie to the judge. He has to tell the judge why A was superior to B when he knows all the time that A was not. Such a situation leaves the contestant with an empty feeling. He can't put much "punch" into what he has to say. But after it's all over, and the banquet comes in the evening, and the awards are announced, even the student who had to stand up and tell lies to the judge sees how much the experience has been worth to him. The whole experience is worthwhile, and no small part of the worthlessness comes right there in the hotel during those hours of actual concentration. They don't really think until they have to. But not that when they have to, they can. For had the student who mixed the class and then discovered the mistake when he came to organize reasons thought as hard when he was placing the animals as he did when he was preparing reasons, that sad situation would never have occurred.

dozen sets of hum-drum reasons, is not so easy to do. One of the most agonizing situations that a contestant can find himself in is to reason himself out of his placing. That is, when he comes to analyze in his mind the reasons for placing A over B, he discovers that there was no reason for doing it, that he had failed to see the animals as they were. Then he has to stand up and lie to the judge. He has to tell the judge why A was superior to B when he knows all the time that A was not. Such a situation leaves the contestant with an empty feeling. He can't put much "punch" into what he has to say. But after it's all over, and the banquet comes in the evening, and the awards are announced, even the student who had to stand up and tell lies to the judge sees how much the experience has been worth to him. The whole experience is worthwhile, and no small part of the worthlessness comes right there in the hotel during those hours of actual concentration. They don't really think until they have to. But not that when they have to, they can. For had the student who mixed the class and then discovered the mistake when he came to organize reasons thought as hard when he was placing the animals as he did when he was preparing reasons, that sad situation would never have occurred.

dozen sets of hum-drum reasons, is not so easy to do. One of the most agonizing situations that a contestant can find himself in is to reason himself out of his placing. That is, when he comes to analyze in his mind the reasons for placing A over B, he discovers that there was no reason for doing it, that he had failed to see the animals as they were. Then he has to stand up and lie to the judge. He has to tell the judge why A was superior to B when he knows all the time that A was not. Such a situation leaves the contestant with an empty feeling. He can't put much "punch" into what he has to say. But after it's all over, and the banquet comes in the evening, and the awards are announced, even the student who had to stand up and tell lies to the judge sees how much the experience has been worth to him. The whole experience is worthwhile, and no small part of the worthlessness comes right there in the hotel during those hours of actual concentration. They don't really think until they have to. But not that when they have to, they can. For had the student who mixed the class and then discovered the mistake when he came to organize reasons thought as hard when he was placing the animals as he did when he was preparing reasons, that sad situation would never have occurred.

dozen sets of hum-drum reasons, is not so easy to do. One of the most agonizing situations that a contestant can find himself in is to reason himself out of his placing. That is, when he comes to analyze in his mind the reasons for placing A over B, he discovers that there was no reason for doing it, that he had failed to see the animals as they were. Then he has to stand up and lie to the judge. He has to tell the judge why A was superior to B when he knows all the time that A was not. Such a situation leaves the contestant with an empty feeling. He can't put much "punch" into what he has to say. But after it's all over, and the banquet comes in the evening, and the awards are announced, even the student who had to stand up and tell lies to the judge sees how much the experience has been worth to him. The whole experience is worthwhile, and no small part of the worthlessness comes right there in the hotel during those hours of actual concentration. They don't really think until they have to. But not that when they have to, they can. For had the student who mixed the class and then discovered the mistake when he came to organize reasons thought as hard when he was placing the animals as he did when he was preparing reasons, that sad situation would never have occurred.

dozen sets of hum-drum reasons, is not so easy to do. One of the most agonizing situations that a contestant can find himself in is to reason himself out of his placing. That is, when he comes to analyze in his mind the reasons for placing A over B, he discovers that there was no reason for doing it, that he had failed to see the animals as they were. Then he has to stand up and lie to the judge. He has to tell the judge why A was superior to B when he knows all the time that A was not. Such a situation leaves the contestant with an empty feeling. He can't put much "punch" into what he has to say. But after it's all over, and the banquet comes in the evening, and the awards are announced, even the student who had to stand up and tell lies to the judge sees how much the experience has been worth to him. The whole experience is worthwhile, and no small part of the worthlessness comes right there in the hotel during those hours of actual concentration. They don't really think until they have to. But not that when they have to, they can. For had the student who mixed the class and then discovered the mistake when he came to organize reasons thought as hard when he was placing the animals as he did when he was preparing reasons, that sad situation would never have occurred.

dozen sets of hum-drum reasons, is not so easy to do. One of the most agonizing situations that a contestant can find himself in is to reason himself out of his placing. That is, when he comes to analyze in his mind the reasons for placing A over B, he discovers that there was no reason for doing it, that he had failed to see the animals as they were. Then he has to stand up and lie to the judge. He has to tell the judge why A was superior to B when he knows all the time that A was not. Such a situation leaves the contestant with an empty feeling. He can't put much "punch" into what he has to say. But after it's all over, and the banquet comes in the evening, and the awards are announced, even the student who had to stand up and tell lies to the judge sees how much the experience has been worth to him. The whole experience is worthwhile, and no small part of the worthlessness comes right there in the hotel during those hours of actual concentration. They don't really think until they have to. But not that when they have to, they can. For had the student who mixed the class and then discovered the mistake when he came to organize reasons thought as hard when he was placing the animals as he did when he was preparing reasons, that sad situation would never have occurred.

dozen sets of hum-drum reasons, is not so easy to do. One of the most agonizing situations that a contestant can find himself in is to reason himself out of his placing. That is, when he comes to analyze in his mind the reasons for placing A over B, he discovers that there was no reason for doing it, that he had failed to see the animals as they were. Then he has to stand up and lie to the judge. He has to tell the judge why A was superior to B when he knows all the time that A was not. Such a situation leaves the contestant with an empty feeling. He can't put much "punch" into what he has to say. But after it's all over, and the banquet comes in the evening, and the awards are announced, even the student who had to stand up and tell lies to the judge sees how much the experience has been worth to him. The whole experience is worthwhile, and no small part of the worthlessness comes right there in the hotel during those hours of actual concentration. They don't really think until they have to. But not that when they have to, they can. For had the student who mixed the class and then discovered the mistake when he came to organize reasons thought as hard when he was placing the animals as he did when he was preparing reasons, that sad situation would never have occurred.

dozen sets of hum-drum reasons, is not so easy to do. One of the most agonizing situations that a contestant can find himself in is to reason himself out of his placing. That is, when he comes to analyze in his mind the reasons for placing A over B, he discovers that there was no reason for doing it, that he had failed to see the animals as they were. Then he has to stand up and lie to the judge. He has to tell the judge why A was superior to B when he knows all the time that A was not. Such a situation leaves the contestant with an empty feeling. He can't put much "punch" into what he has to say. But after it's all over, and the banquet comes in the evening, and the awards are announced, even the student who had to stand up and tell lies to the judge sees how much the experience has been worth to him. The whole experience is worthwhile, and no small part of the worthlessness comes right there in the hotel during those hours of actual concentration. They don't really think until they have to. But not that when they have to, they can. For had the student who mixed the class and then discovered the mistake when he came to organize reasons thought as hard when he was placing the animals as he did when he was preparing reasons, that sad situation would never have occurred.

dozen sets of hum-drum reasons, is not so easy to do. One of the most agonizing situations that a contestant can find himself in is to reason himself out of his placing. That is, when he comes to analyze in his mind the reasons for placing A over B, he discovers that there was no reason for doing it, that he had failed to see the animals as they were. Then he has to stand up and lie to the judge. He has to tell the judge why A was superior to B when he knows all the time that A was not. Such a situation leaves the contestant with an empty feeling. He can't put much "punch" into what he has to say. But after it's all over, and the banquet comes in the evening, and the awards are announced, even the student who had to stand up and tell lies to the judge sees how much the experience has been worth to him. The whole experience is worthwhile, and no small part of the worthlessness comes right there in the hotel during those hours of actual concentration. They don't really think until they have to. But not that when they have to, they can. For had the student who mixed the class and then discovered the mistake when he came to organize reasons thought as hard when he was placing the animals as he did when he was preparing reasons, that sad situation would never have occurred.

dozen sets of hum-drum reasons, is not so easy to do. One of the most agonizing situations that a contestant can find himself in is to reason himself out of his placing. That is, when he comes to analyze in his mind the reasons for placing A over B, he discovers that there was no reason for doing it, that he had failed to see the animals as they were. Then he has to stand up and lie to the judge. He has to tell the judge why A was superior to B when he knows all the time that A was not. Such a situation leaves the contestant with an empty feeling. He can't put much "punch" into what he has to say. But after it's all over, and the banquet comes in the evening, and the awards are announced, even the student who had to stand up and tell lies to the judge sees how much the experience has been worth to him. The whole experience is worthwhile, and no small part of the worthlessness comes right there in the hotel during those hours of actual concentration. They don't really think until they have to. But not that when they have to, they can. For had the student who mixed the class and then discovered the mistake when he came to organize reasons thought as hard when he was placing the animals as he did when he was preparing reasons, that sad situation would never have occurred.

dozen sets of hum-drum reasons, is not so easy to do. One of the most agonizing situations that a contestant can find himself in is to reason himself out of his placing. That is, when he comes to analyze in his mind the reasons for placing A over B, he discovers that there was no reason for doing it, that he had failed to see the animals as they were. Then he has to stand up and lie to the judge. He has to tell the judge why A was superior to B when he knows all the time that A was not. Such a situation leaves the contestant with an empty feeling. He can't put much "punch" into what he has to say. But after it's all over, and the banquet comes in the evening, and the awards are announced, even the student who had to stand up and tell lies to the judge sees how much the experience has been worth to him. The whole experience is worthwhile, and no small part of the worthlessness comes right there in the hotel during those hours of actual concentration. They don't really think until they have to. But not that when they have to, they can. For had the student who mixed the class and then discovered the mistake when he came to organize reasons thought as hard when he was placing the animals as he did when he was preparing reasons, that sad situation would never have occurred.

dozen sets of hum-drum reasons, is not so easy to do. One of the most agonizing situations that a contestant can find himself in is to reason himself out of his placing. That is, when he comes to analyze in his mind the reasons for placing A over B, he discovers that there was no reason for doing it, that he had failed to see the animals as they were. Then he has to stand up and lie to the judge. He has to tell the judge why A was superior to B when he knows all the time that A was not. Such a situation leaves the contestant with an empty feeling. He can't put much "punch" into what he has to say. But after it's all over, and the banquet comes in the evening, and the awards are announced, even the student who had to stand up and tell lies to the judge sees how much the experience has been worth to him. The whole experience is worthwhile, and no small part of the worthlessness comes right there in the hotel during those hours of actual concentration. They don't really think until they have to. But not that when they have to, they can. For had the student who mixed the class and then discovered the mistake when he came to organize reasons thought as hard when he was placing the animals as he did when he was preparing reasons, that sad situation would never have occurred.

dozen sets of hum-drum reasons, is not so easy to do. One of the most agonizing situations that a contestant can find himself in is to reason himself out of his placing. That is, when he comes to analyze in his mind the reasons for placing A over B, he discovers that there was no