

The Daily Nebraskan

Property of THE UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA Lincoln

REED B. DAWSON Editor-in-Chief

Phone L-7451

Managing Editor.....P. C. Spencer
Associate Editor.....Ruth M. Squires
Associate Editor.....R. V. Koupal
Athletic Editor.....C. K. Morse

REPORTORIAL STAFF

Carrie Coman Hortense Kauffman
W. E. Hager Geraldine Kauffman
Elizabeth Hyde Hugh McVicker
Karl Janouch Ethel Arnold
Leon Palmer F. M. Merriam
John Lanz Erma Nelson

Business Manager.....Frank S. Parkins
Asst. Business Manager.....Russell F. Clark

Subscription price \$2.00 per year, payable in advance. Single copies, 5 cents each.

Entered at the postoffice at Lincoln, Nebraska, as second-class mail matter, under the Act of Congress of March 3, 1879.

The DAILY NEBRASKAN purposes to be the free voice of student sentiment; to be fair; to be impartial; to seek advice as well as offer it; to truthfully picture college life; to go further than the mere printing of news by standing for the highest ideals of the University; in short, to serve the University of Nebraska.

Wednesday, April 1, 1914

MORE COMPLAINT

In yesterday's paper we answered the first of the two articles by Mr. Wood which was, due to unavoidable circumstances, held in the composing room until today. Now we have a fresh one on our hands. Just what further is set forth.

Not because they were left out, but because of the manner in which the leaving was done, is complaint made. In the first place, it is complained that the committee limited their act to playing time of fifteen minutes. This is absolutely no grounds for complaint. Every other organization was instructed in the same way. Of course, when played they were given all the time their act merited, but it was necessary to put a limit somewhere on the sketches to hold them in bounds. There can be no grounds for criticism here.

It is true that Mr. Wood did not know that his sketch had been refused until some time after it had been submitted. It is also true that the engineers put "considerable time and some money" on the playlet. This is to be regretted. But the committee can not be held responsible for this. The fact is, the engineers were given an extension of time accorded to no other organization—from February 27 to March 3. When their synopsis had been turned in and found by the committee to be unworthy of further consideration, the committee mailed to Mr. Wood, on March 6, along with those to all other organizations, a letter notifying him that the act had been refused. This was done at once. All the other letters reached those to whom they were directed. Mr. Wood's did not. It has not been returned to the senders—all trace of it is lost. This is certainly unfortunate. But, in all fairness, can you blame the committee for not tracing an addressed, stamped letter? Why didn't Wood inquire before he started work? Perhaps 'twere better safe than sorry. "Was it not a case of carelessness of

the committee in failing to notify us promptly?" asks Mr. Wood. Do you think it was? It certainly was not their fault that the letter was not delivered, nor can anyone hold them careless for not inquiring if the letter was delivered or not. But, as a matter of fact, Mr. Charlesworth called Mr. Wood's home some half-dozen times and made two special trips to the Engineering Building in an effort to talk the whole affair over with Mr. Wood. Mr. Charlesworth did not see Mr. Wood. Was he careless? Can the committee justly be held negligible? Then on March 11 they were notified. The NEBRASKAN believes that the College of Engineering should have been represented in University Night. But they didn't deserve to be represented, in the opinion of the committee—which we consider as fair and just after investigating both sides—without a better act than the one submitted. The fact that they were not notified until some time, though not three weeks, after they submitted their sketch is unfortunate, but offers no grounds for accusations of unfairness, partiality, and inefficiency.

—AND THE RESOLUTION

We shall take this resolution of the Board of Control of the Engineering Society up "Whereas" by "Whereas," and see upon just what provocation the whole was written.

In the first "Whereas" they complain that the NEBRASKAN failed to print the article it attacked. We plead guilty. We wanted above all things to print that article yesterday and show the students just how flimsy and foolish were the grounds for complaint. We are just as sorry as you are that it was cut by the "make-up" man. Then they say we took it as an expression of the general sentiment of the engineers. This we deny. We very carefully referred to the article as coming from "T. W." Nowhere did we intimate that it was the general consensus of engineering opinion. Of course, "T. W." was their authorized agent in the matter.

Number two accuses us of putting the engineers in a wrong light before the students. We didn't have anything to do with that. Their representative made it appear that they were "crabbing" and complaining without cause. We didn't do it.

Next they accuse us of assuming to advise the engineers. In one particular we have. We did advise them, and we do it again, to write a better play next year and to quit "hollering" when they have no "holler" coming.

The last one harps on the delay in notifying them that their act was rejected. Here are the facts: The engineers were notified before their January meeting to get their sketch in by February 18. The time was later extended, due to a change in date, till February 27. Upon the special request of Mr. Wood, the engineers were given until March 3. Their copy came in on that day. On March 6 Mr. Reed mailed a letter to Mr. Wood notifying him that the engineers' act could not be accepted. On March 11 the committee was informed that the engineers were still working on the sketch. Mr. Mapes at once told them personally that their act was rejected. They turned in their act on March 3, and it was refused to their positive knowledge on March 11. Where are the two weeks this resolution and the three Mr. Wood alleges passed before they were notified?

These are the facts. Where the engineers have grounds for good, sane complaint is beyond us. Let this end the matter for once and all.

Beware the First of April!!

Illinois.

"I go to church not so much to worship as to learn and be uplifted," says Dean Davenport of Illinois on church attendance.

EDITORIAL COMMENT

The following article should have been printed yesterday. * Owing to a mistake in the composing room it was omitted. We * wish to state that it was entirely * unintentional. An editorial in reply to this article was written * and printed yesterday, when we * supposed this criticism would be * published.

The Forum

University Night has passed. You were no doubt there and have heard the subsequent comments upon it. Some say it was better than ever, yet many insist that the spirit of University Night is dead. By calmly looking back at other University Nights, you will no doubt agree with me that there was a vast difference between this year's production and that of former years. Can you call a little stunt pulled off by only six of the different departments and organizations in the school "an old-University Night?" Do you recall last year when, during this important event, there was a continual roar of laughter and cheering from the rise to the fall of the curtain. Then Laws, Ags, Engineers, Military Department, Glee Club, Fraternities, Sororities and Foresters each had their little play. That was "University Night" in its true sense. This year the Laws and the Dramatic Club certainly presented classy sketches. But is that any reason for the exclusion of other organizations?

Saturday's Rag says that the committee in charge deserves great credit. FOR WHAT? Did they produce a University Night? Were all organizations given an opportunity to participate in this event, or were some of them cut to give longer time to other favored organizations? Who is responsible for the appointment of the committee that did not give fair play to all concerned? The Y. M. C. A.! If the Y. M. C. A. authorities cannot appoint an impartial committee and one that will not overlook the true purpose of University Night, they should lose the right to manage this important affair and it should be taken over by the general student body. The presidents of the different organizations should each appoint a man to the general committee for the purpose of producing a real 'ALL UNIVERSITY NIGHT.' AN ENGINEER.

T. W.

Through an error, an article written for Tuesday's Forum was omitted. However, this article drew a long editorial accusing the writer of personal sorehead, and intimating that the Engineers were sore on account of being left out of the University Night stunt.

We are complaining, not because we were left out, but because we think that the committee in charge lost sight of the purpose of University Night and also on account of the way in which we were left out.

These are the facts of the case: The Engineers were invited to write a sketch for the annual University event, which they did. The committee limited the sketch to fifteen minutes and expressed their preference for a take-off on the professors. The general committee asked for a synopsis of the sketch and received the same. Three weeks later the chairman of the general committee phoned to me saying that the Engineers' stunt had been rejected on account of the synopsis being too brief. In the meantime the Engineers had spent considerable time and some money preparing the sketch.

Was this not a cause for complaint? Was it not a case of carelessness of

the committee in failing to notify us promptly?

Furthermore, when the event came off it developed that the Laws had forty-five minutes, the Pharmacists nearly thirty, and even the tumblers were allowed over fifteen minutes.

In yesterday's article I proposed that in the future the committee be appointed from members of the different University organizations by their respective presidents. The editor suggests that it be handled by the student council when that body is formed, and in this I heartily concur with him.

I wish to correct any wrong ideas which are afloat that there is any personal feeling whatever involved in this controversy, but to let it be known that I stand for fair play in all things, and for a University Night with the spirit of those of former years.

T. E. WOOD.

Whereas, The Daily Nebraskan, in its issue of March 31, published an editorial attacking a letter intended for the Forum and which was not published, and which the Daily Nebraskan considered as representing the attitude of the engineers as a whole, and

Whereas, The said editorial put the Engineers in the wrong light, and

Whereas, The Daily Nebraskan in its editorial columns, assumes to accuse the Engineers of "suffering from an acute case of sour grapes," and takes upon itself the duties of Adviser to Engineers, and

Whereas, The real grievance of the Engineers is not that their act was not considered good enough to be presented at University Night, but that their representatives were allowed to proceed with the preparation of the act and were not notified of the action of the University Night committee for over two weeks after that committee had been given an opportunity to judge upon the merits of the act; therefore, be it

Resolved, That we, the Board of Control of the Engineering Society, acquaint the public with the real attitude of the Engineers on this matter. (Signed) ROY M. GREEN.

A. A. LUEBS.
C. A. ATWELL.
GEORGE A. SPOONER.
O. W. Tjogren.

Jones' Orchestra. Phone L-9666.

A COMMERCIAL CLUB (Continued from Page One)

taking medicine, engineering or any other profession. Business is a profession today and the young man who would compete with other men in business must have a training which will enable them not alone to keep books, but to originate and install them, to figure out the policies and methods best adapted to their particular undertaking.

The men backing this movement say, "If you are a booster and interested in business, they are willing to explain and give you a welcome to one of the coming organizations of the University of Nebraska."

People We Know.

Enoch Nelson, a scholar in the department of Botany, has recently received an appointment to the Forest Service Survey at Flagstaff, Arizona. He will do experimental work in forest cutting and culture. His work will be in charge of G. A. Pearson, a former Nebraska man, who has charge of the station at that place.

Dean Fordyce spoke at the North-Northeastern Nebraska Teachers' Association at Fremont last Friday. On Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday of this week he has been the principal lecturer before the Southwestern Iowa Teachers' Association, which is in session at Logan.

THREE TURKISH STUDENTS TO SPEAK TONIGHT

At Congregational Church at 7:30—Program to Last One Hour—One Is From Jerusalem.

Three students from Turkey will give brief talks about that country at the Congregational church. Miss Marguerette Shakaran, an Armenian, is from the central part of Asiatic Turkey; S. S. George, a Servian, is from Jerusalem, and C. A. Scheibel, an American, has spent several years in a missionary business office at Constantinople.

The program commences at 7:30 this evening and lasts one hour.

Artistic dance programs and menus for particular people. George Bros., Printers, 1313 N street.

Typewriters Rented

ALL MAKES

SPECIAL RATES TO STUDENTS

OFFICE EQUIPMENT & SUPPLYCO.

117 SOUTH TWELFTH

THE University School of Music

Established 1894

Opposite the University Campus, 11th and R Sts. Instructions Given in All Branches of Music. Students may Enter at Any Time. Beginners Accepted.

WILLARD KIMBALL, Director

Violet Holders

Just the thing for Easter gift. Have her sorority monogram or crest put on one.

STERLING SILVER \$1.00 to \$3.00

HALLETT

UNI. JEWELER

Estab. 1871

1143 O.