

# The Daily Nebraskan

Vol. IV, No. 71

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA, LINCOLN, SATURDAY, JAN. 21, 1905.

Price 5 Cents

## THE CLASSES MEET

Different Actions Taken—Views Practically Coincide.

Committees Are Appointed to Confer Together.

The four classes of the University met yesterday to discuss the adoption of the honor system. Great diversity of individual opinion prevailed in all but the freshman class. In that class the subject at hand was masterfully considered, dispatched and a class yell even considered.

A good majority of the Senior class responded to the call of President Hunter and met at 1 yesterday to consider the resolutions adopted in mass meeting Thursday. With the remarks that he did not think the whole movement for reform should be dropped because of one or two objectionable features, President Hunter called upon Professor Fogg for his ideas. Professor Fogg made his position clear immediately by stating very emphatically that he favored the adoption of the honor system, if a strong majority of the students pledge themselves to execute it. As in all questions of policy, he said, not only principle, but also practicability must be considered. He referred to the feeling of some of the most prominent students that discipline of students is clearly the business not of other students, but of the University. He also mentioned the necessity of very great care in student conviction of their fellows, since to establish the fact of dishonesty may as likely as not be no easy matter, but a difficult matter of evidence, and that the friends of a student suspended or forced out of college for good by a student jury, would be justified in calling and as likely as not would call upon the University as such for the facts. Professor Fogg recommends that the Senior class, if it is not ready to execute the resolutions under consideration, put itself on record as standing firmly for honor; that the members of the class sign resolutions and publish them to the effect that the individual members would throw all their moral influence against this sin which threatens the very life of a University; that the members of the Senior class would take a stand against this evil on principle and would also actively rebuke this sort of thing.

Dr. Fling then gave the Seniors the benefit of his views on the question of adopting this system. His words were to the effect that the resolutions as adopted in mass meeting were not intended to prescribe a set course of action; that no hard and fast rule was intended to be laid down by these resolutions; but that they were drawn up as a working basis to be followed out as the judgment of the classes dictated. However, he spoke strongly in favor of the resolutions just as they were adopted in mass meeting. After considerable discussion a motion was made to adopt the resolutions after cutting out the last part of the third paragraph, thus putting the sanction of the Seniors upon the movement toward the honor system, but expressing sentiment against the informing of one student upon another. The class voted that the president be instructed to appoint a committee of three to confer with similar committees from the other classes as to the best mode of procedure. Miss Harris, Mr. Brown and Mr. States were appointed to represent the Seniors. In view of the closeness of examinations, and in view of the fact that the class will not assemble again before examinations a motion was passed to the effect that the Seniors would do all in their power to support any action that the Chancellor might

## Men's Mass Meeting

Rev. George L. McNutt  
"The Dinner Pail Preacher"

Oliver

Sunday, 4 p. m.

take with regard to the honor system at the coming examinations.

The meeting of the Junior class, held yesterday to consider the resolutions suggested at the mass meeting was by far the most interesting and exciting meeting held this year. The meeting was called to order by President Sidwell and immediately went into the committee of the whole to discuss it, with Mr. Legro acting as chairman.

Without much discussion the first two resolutions were adopted. They were:

"Resolved, That no code of student ethics ought to attempt to justify dishonest work of any kind."

"That any student who will not work honestly and fairly should not be allowed to remain amongst us."

The second resolution caused a little discussion, and the sentiment which seemed to prevail was that a student who commits one of these offenses should be given a fair hearing and the punishment should fit the crime. The way to correct these evils should be to reform and not to punish and that it was hardly justifiable to expel unconditionally a person caught cheating for the first time. On the other hand every one should do all in their power to help him to reform and he should be given a reasonable chance in which to do so. As far as could be gleaned from the speeches made and the way in which the different speakers were received by the class, the sentiment of the class seemed to be that although all agreed in condemning cheating in any form, they hardly approved of unconditional expulsion from the school as a punishment as in some cases it was carelessness and thoughtlessness more than absolute dishonesty which prompted the deed, and it hardly seemed right not to give the person a second chance in life, for a man thrown out of school in this manner is blighted for life.

We print below the third resolution presented to the class, which caused the greatest discussion:

"Resolved, That we accept the responsibility of introducing this higher standard amongst us, will organize at once by classes to enforce it, and agree individually to inform the student committees to be established, of any dishonest work that may come to our notice."

This phase of the resolution brought forth a storm of comment, both for adopting and for rejecting it and the discussion continued for almost an hour. The balance of opinion was against adopting it as it was, without some amendments.

The balance of opinion seemed to be against adopting it as it was, and, finally, after a heated discussion the following was adopted to take its place.

"Resolved, That we accept the responsibility of introducing this higher standard among us and to this end, establish a committee to confer with like committees from the other classes and to recommend the class honorable means of bringing 'this abuse to an end.'"

The committee was instructed to meet with the other class committees and was given to understand that it was the sense of the meeting that the

(Continued on page four.)

## ANOTHER VICTORY

Soldiers go Down to Defeat at Basketball.

Three games, three victories. This is the story of the 'varsity basketball team on its way north. The game with Shattuck on last Thursday evening was a repetition of game between Nebraska and Fort Dodge on Wednesday night. The score of 44 to 22 does not indicate the superiority of Nebraska over their opponents. At no time did the Shattuck men have a "look in." The game was a series of quick passes and a goal. This was the plan adopted by the 'varsity and carried out during the entire game. The score would have been much larger had it not been for the fouling of the Shattuck team. These fouls made the game slow and very much time was lost in trying from the foul lines.

The work of the 'varsity was strictly clean and free from fouls in any part of the game. Those who remember the number of fouls that was called against our men in the Wesleyan game will be gratified to learn that less fouls have been called on Nebraska during this trip than any of her opponents.

Last night the team played our old enemy and rivals on the basketball floor, Minnesota. Another game will be played with them again this afternoon. Minnesota has always been victorious over us on their own floor. Not only against us but all teams as she has never met at home. Nebraska made the closest score against her last year that has ever been made by a visiting team.

The form shown by the team thus far on the trip predict victory for us over Minnesota. But if misfortune should happen to be ours, we will have plenty of other teams as sympathizers.

The work of all the men on the team during the trip has been of a high class. Moser has proven himself a good center while Hoar and Bell have by the scores, prevented their forwards from hitting the goal. Burruss and Hagensick can account for the major part of our large scores.

## Debate Postponed.

The preliminary debates to select Nebraska's inter-collegiate debating squad for 1905 have been postponed until the first week of next semester. It was announced that the contests would probably occur before examinations, but the debaters report that they are "snowed under" with work in "cramming" for examinations and can spare but little time for the extensive reading and preparation which the debate requires. The date of the contests have not been authoritatively announced but it is almost certain that they will occur Monday and Tuesday, January 30 and 31. Secretary Waldron will announce at an early date a meeting of the candidates for the purpose of drawing for places in the order of speaking. All debaters who have not yet informed the secretary of the side of the question they propose to take are requested to do so immediately.

Have you seen the Gun Calf Button Shoes at Sanderson's? They are it.

## COMMITTEES ACT

Not In Favor of 'Tattling' The System.

Condemns Dishonest Work in the Most Decided Terms.

The joint committee appointed by the different classes yesterday to draw up resolutions relative to the establishment of an honor system, met last night in U 107. All classes were represented, and as the committees were all under instructions from their different classes the discussions which lasted a couple of hours were in effect the same as were presented at the class meetings and which are printed in another column.

Everyone was ready to condemn the practice of "cribbing," but none thought the system as proposed by the faculty would work out well. Following are the resolutions adopted:

We, the undersigned, acting as a joint committee from the various classes, submit the following as representing the committee's action and the sentiment of the various classes.

Resolved, That the sentiment of this meeting is decidedly opposed to the honor system, as outlined by the resolutions adopted by the student mass meeting, which seems to us to be more nearly a "Spy System."

Resolved also, That the committee stands just as decidedly against the practice of cheating in any form.

Resolved, That the committee suggest that at all examination class quizzes, etc., papers of each individual shall be signed by the individual's name, stating that this is his own work.

Signed,

CHARLES T. BORG,  
MARY TURNER HARRIS,  
M. J. BROWN,  
HERBERT STATES,  
PEARL ARCHIBALD,  
C. L. WALDRON,  
ARTHUR G. SCHREIBER,  
L. A. HUSSONG  
BEULAH HILDRETH,  
D. P. DE YOUNG,  
OHIO N. MUNN,  
HUGH E. WALLACE,

The seven hundred students who heard Chancellor Andrews last year at the "Vesper Service" in the chapel will be pleased to learn that he has consented to act in the same capacity on the evening of Sunday, February 12, at seven-thirty. Very many, both faculty and students, were enthusiastic in their praise of that distinctly University service. This gathering has been established as an annual event to be handed down to future generations of Nebraska students, and the anticipation of attending this service will be a real pleasure to everyone who was present last February.

February 12 is the day set apart as the "Day of Prayer for Colleges" and is annually observed by Christian student organizations all over the world. Appropriate services will also be held by the Christian associations in the morning at 9 o'clock, similar to those of the same day last year.

"What's Queer" is what University men want to know. The subject will be discussed at the Oliver theater tomorrow afternoon at four o'clock by the Rev. George L. McNutt, known all over the United States as "The Dinner Pail Preacher." Rev. McNutt is a college graduate and won his title by his earnest and effective work among the laboring classes. He is not a sensationalist, but presents his subject in a simple yet forcible manner. He talks in the language of the common people and speaks so that all may understand. Music of high grade will be furnished and no one need hesitate for fear of this meeting being time ill-spent.