

The Conservative.

VOL. III.

NEBRASKA CITY, NEB., THURSDAY, JULY 26, 1900.

NO. 3.

PUBLISHED WEEKLY.
OFFICES: OVERLAND THEATRE BLOCK.

J. STERLING MORTON, EDITOR.

A JOURNAL DEVOTED TO THE DISCUSSION
OF POLITICAL, ECONOMIC AND SOCIOLOGICAL
QUESTIONS.

CIRCULATION THIS WEEK 7,317 COPIES.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.

One dollar and a half per year, in advance, postpaid, to any part of the United States or Canada. Remittances made payable to The Morton Printing Company.

Address, THE CONSERVATIVE, Nebraska City, Neb.

Advertising Rates made known upon application.

Entered at the postoffice at Nebraska City, Neb., as Second Class matter, July 29th, 1898.

**BRYAN AND
EGAN.**

Everybody in the United States who can remember the Phoenix Park murders and the immediate exodus of Irish suspects to other lands can remember Patrick Egan. His name and fame were about equally linked with the assassinations in Phoenix Park and his wildly zealous devotion to the protective tariff which is imperialism in commerce. From the day he landed at Lincoln, Nebraska, Patrick Egan was an agitator, a radical republican and a developed appetite for public prominence with official emoluments attached. He assaulted free trade and the Cobden club with venom and vituperation. He denounced all and singular the English advocates of free trade as conspirators and pirates. He spared not Gladstone, one of the founders of the Cobden club, nor Charles Russell, nor any other real supporter of home rule for Ireland. But every friend Ireland then had in the English parliament was a Cobden club man. Patrick Egan, therefore, was a practitioner of gratitude long before he was, with very bad international amenity, sent by President Harrison to represent the United States at Chili. Of all republican improprieties the appointment of a fugitive from the United Kingdom of Great Britain an ambassador of the United States was the grossest. It was as though England had made Judah P. Benjamin governor-general of Canada immediately upon his arrival in England as a fugitive from the United States. But Mr. Egan was so stalwart in advocating protection and the despotism of uncompetitive manufacture that republicanism exalted him.

And now this itinerant revolutionist, who was for anarchy in Ireland, is yelling for Bryanarchy in the United States.

The tender protestations of affectionate regard now passing between the Bryan and Egan dromios in statecraft must touch the tear fountain of emotional populism in its wonderfully hysterical organism, as miraculously as Moses smote the rock for water. The two are as much alike as two minutes, two peas, two phonographs and in economics, statesmanship, and a knowledge of how to skip from one paramount issue to another paramount issue they are Siamese twins—Eng Egan and Chang Bryan.

NEW YORK, July 19.—The following letter, given out for publication by Patrick Egan, formerly United States minister to Chili, was received by him, he states, in answer to a telegram of congratulation sent by him to Mr. Bryan on the latter's nomination for the presidency:

"LINCOLN, NEB., July 14. — Patrick Egan, New York—My Dear Mr. Egan: Your telegram of congratulation was one of the first received, and none was more highly appreciated. You were the first prominent republican pointed out to me when I arrived in Lincoln October 1, 1887, and you may remember that I responded to the toast 'Our Friends the Enemy' the evening when you and other republicans were celebrating the republican victory in 1888. I attended the banquet given you when you were departing for Chili. So much for reminiscences.

"Am glad that you like our platform. I think it is superb. It ought to appeal to the conscience and patriotism of the people. You are in a position to do important service for the party in the crisis which is upon us, and I am delighted to know that your zeal is equal to your ability. Yours truly,

W. J. BRYAN."

For ambassador to England or secretary of state put down Patrick Egan of Phoenix Park and Lincoln, Nebraska.

ANARCHY. Absence of government is anarchy. Those who rebel against any and every form of government are anarchists; a country where anarchy prevails is a governmentless country. And the difference between anarchy and Bryanarchy is only three letters. And in substance

they are more nearly identical than they are in verbal form. Either dominant will ruin the country in which it prevails.

"GET TOGETHER." The Omaha World-Herald, formerly edited by "our peerless leader," and the acknowledged champion of conglomerate partyism, is out in large scare headlines, and auto-wind-raising, cash-raising, and hair raising editorials on "Get Together."

The trouble is, and has been, among the conglomeration of office-seekers that each one wishes to "get" so much that they cannot all "get" together. To "get to-gather" the emoluments of political jobs is the appetite of the Tammany tiger, hybridized upon the ambition, vanity, viciousness, and venality of Bryanarchy.

"It is the money and not the honor" sought in official life.

**BRYANARCHIC
WISDOM.**

On July 18, the candidate of the conglomerates for the presidency sent out this:

"The democratic platform declares the question of imperialism to be the paramount issue. If any opponent of imperialism refuses to support the democratic ticket, because of the silver plank, it must be because he considers the money question more important than the Philippine question."

The same platform declares that the Chicago platform is re-uttered and reaffirmed. And the Chicago platform made the money question "paramount." Thus Bryan, Towne & Co. have twin "paramounts" this year.

But who urged the ratification of the Paris treaty and boasted of securing the same?

Bryan!

And did the "consent" of the Filipinos to be sold and transferred from Spain to the United States, lands, homes, bodies and souls reach Col. Bryan by cable before he espoused the cause of imperialism?

With what consistency can Col. Bryan denounce the imperialism which he created? How can any man, who voted to ratify the treaty with Spain or urged others to so vote, consistently except to the logical results of that treaty? No other man in America is so perfectly estopped, by his own acts, from criticising the Philippine conditions.