An Interesting Letter On the Drainage Question

Salem, Neb., April 28, 1905.— The Tribune Publishing Co., Falls City, Neb.-Gentlemen: After having discussed the enclosed letter of John W. Towle at considerable length in a letter directed to me, it has occured to me that possibly the same might not be uninteresting to your readers, and I submit the same to you for publications for the two following reasons:First, many are asking questions along the same line indicated by Mr. Towle's letters, and second, you are more familiar with my hand writing that John Towle and the other fellows, that is all. Yours truly R. E. Grindstead.

Towle's Letter.

Omaha, Neb., April 27,1905.-Mr. R. E. Grinstead, Salem, Neb., —Dear Sir:—As you know, I am very much interested in that drainage matter. It is my opinion, as I have written to my father several times, that it will be necessary to run one ditch from the North Folk straight down the north bottom through the 'ow place until you get about to Pearson's Point, before you will have to run back into the channel. The waters of the old channel of the river should then be straightened, so as to take the waters of the South Fork; it is possible that you will find it advantageous to leave the old channel at or near Pearson's Point, so as to maintain at all times at least two channels down the valley.

I also very much favor the idea that when this work is done it should be done right, that is, dig | might need a little modification, it wide enough and deep enough but not very much. In the main to do the business from the start. your ideas are right; there should There would be enough crops wasted waiting for this channel to cut itself out, to pay for the extra digging in the first place. I would be pleased, indeed, to have a long letter from you telling me how you are getting along with your work and what you think is the best way to handle the matter.

I am also anxious that a petition be drawn up as soon as possible and a meeting had, with the idea of letting an early contract and starting the work. Respectfully yours John W. Towle.

Grinstead's Reply.

Salem, Neb., April 28, 1905 .-Mr. John Towle, Omaha, Neb .-Dear Sir:-Replying to your favor of April 27, I will say that we are hurrying along as rapidly District Court, and must be careful that no mistakes are made. We must file a petition, stating line down not less than six feet owners who are willing and also many places it will be lowered the start to take care of all prob-

those who are unwilling, to join in the matter, and also those, if any there be, whose names are unknown who will be benefited, and a full discription of all the lands that will be benefited thereby. It is also necessary to file a map of the stream and its sinuosities, and showing a contour line of the drainage district. order to do this, it is necessary to have the meanders of the North Fork above Salem, and South Fork above the mouth of Honey Creek; as you know these meanderings had never been made. This has recently been done, and a proper map of the same is being prepared. Our county map makers originally guessed at the topography and had it all wrong, excepting as to the places where the section lines crossed the streams. Each map maker reproduced the errors of his predecessor. The other parts of the maps are approximately correct, and may be reproduced.

This is being done now. We shall make it a point very soon to canvass the contemplated drainage district for the necessary statistics with which to properly place us before the court. Don't think for a minute that this is a little Holiday job. agree with you most cordially in your suggestion that we should do the right work.

I know that you and I, if we could meet and discuss all the features of this drainage proposition, would agree. In order to do so, however, I perceive that some of your present opinions evidently be a new channel made for the North Fork surface water and minor tributary streams coming in from the north. This minor channel should come into the Nemaha one mile below the the depot at Salem.

This should not be what has been called an "overflow ditch" and South Fork, above and below the junction at Salem, should have the short crooks all taken out and made approximately straight, excepting only that the long bends that the river will properly make, and which it makes now, when this is done essential and all important feature of this, or any other drainage problem in which the stream has river. This of itself, when prop-

ten feet, by means of the surface water ditch, of which you speak, which should start again just below the Boyd school house above the township line and not very far south of the Burlington railroad and it should continue in the direction of Pearson's point, emptying into the main channel somewhere in that vicinity, as you suggest. These surface channels should continue at various places, properly located, down the valley. They should be prevented from becoming overflow ditches.

The Nemaha, with the im provements suggested, would never need an overflow ditch. The lower laying lands, however, next to the uplands along the line of their conjunction with each other are attended with a series of anticlines and sinclines, in stratified rock and clay formations, so that we see the dry spots and wet places all along that line alternating with each other. The smaller ditch which we are discussing, not only in the place you suggest, but throughout the entire valley to the mouth of the Nemaha, is the one indispensable feature of our proposed drainage improvements. In making this work there should never be a latteral ditch running into the main channel, excepting as you have indicated; all latteral ditches should be in the direction of the final destiny of the water carried, and all ditches or tributaries not running that way should be made to do so, if necessary, by levying. The artificial ditch running along the township line south from Geo. Coon's into the Nemaha above the bridge, should not be there. It should be levied over at its mouth and the water carried by it should go into the ditch formerly mentioned and enter into the Nemaha at or near Pearson's point, together with all other surface water collected along that route. These smaller ditches need not be made at first to exceed five or

six feet in depth. Now, as to the size that any ditch should be made, that depends very materially on the fall per mile a given stream may for the Nemaha. Both North have. Mr. C. G. Elliot, expert engineer for Mr. Wilson, secretary of agriculture at Washington, D. C., was here last fall and looked our situation over. He out in several days with us, and was very enthusiastic over our prospects, saying that he never saw a stream where drainage was should be maintained as the one at all practical that had a more favorable gradiant for good results than the Nemaha river. Mr. Elliott has in his work alas possible. We must get into the excessive fall of the Nemaha ways had either a state or Uncle Sam's treasury behind him, and erly done, will place the flood therefore counts nothing for erosion, but believes in making the the names of all resident land at any point in the valley. In excavations sufficiently large at

ably flood waters. Seth Dean, of Iowa, who is another engineer of national reputation also, somewhat takes issue with Mr. Elliot an our drainage proposition and would, in the case of the Nemaha river, make excavations costing about one-fourth as much as those recommended by Mr. Elliott, saying that by erosion, in seven years the new channel would be grown and the old one which is to be left open at first, will be filled up; and that during that time the new channel together with the old one will probably take care of all reasonable flood waters, and that the extraordinary would happen if a crop would be lost,

If I can know in advance of the time when you will be in Falls City, I should be very much pleased to meet you there and discuss these matters more fully with you. I am, very truly R. E. GRINSTEAD. yours,

Missouri Pacific Railway Time Table, Falls City, Neb.

NORTH

No. 51 Omaha and Lincoln

Express

No. 53 Omaha and Lincoln passenger A 2:00 p m

No. 233 Local Freight, Auburn A 1:00 p m

No. 52 Kansas City and St.

Louis and Denver No. 58 Kansas City and St.

J. B. VARNER, Agent.

Louis and Denver No. 232 Local, Atchison...

No. 220 Stock Freight, Hi-

awatha..... A 9:20 p m A. Daily. B. Daily except Sunday.

NEW GOODS

New Goods at Wilson's

IN FANCY CHINA DECORATED DINNER WARE AND GLASSWARE

See our stock in these lines, the finest in the city. Special attention given to

GROCERY and FLOUR

and all Goods promptly delivered at

C. M. WILSON

REFRACTIONIST R.L.Baumont, M. D., Sixth & Felix, St. Joseph

Formerly eye and ear specialist now limited practice to Eye Glasses