

The Falls City Tribune.

VOLUME I

FALLS CITY, NEBRASKA, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 1904.

NUMBER 47

WILL YOU DENY?

The Journal attempts to answer The Tribune's charge of bolting by continuing to abuse C. F. Reavis. The Tribune is not concerned with any personal quarrel between C. F. Reavis and the Martins. As we remember the events of the past year C. F. Reavis seems to require no assistance from this paper so far as the Martins are concerned. The public would like, however, for the Journal to answer the charge made by The Tribune without seeking to confuse the issue by abusing some individual. The Falls City Tribune makes the charges and not C. F. Reavis nor any other individual. For fear you may have never heard of this paper we will say that it is the paper immediately across the street from you and is the one that has received several hundred of your republican subscribers within the past six months and is destined to receive several hundred more as soon as their subscriptions expire on the Journal.

The public desires to know your position in the late campaign we therefore submit some questions to you.

Will you deny that the Falls City Journal called for the organization of a Falls City Roosevelt club last January and that when such a club was organized by the defeat of your candidates for the offices that not one of the Journal family ever joined the club?

If you will we will prove it.

Will you deny that Frank Martin sr, when asked by Geo. W. Holland to contribute something to pay the expense of the Speaker Cannon meeting curtly refused to do so and that no other republican in Falls City did refuse?

If you will we will prove it.

Will you deny that not a member of the Journal family attended this Cannon meeting save F. E. Martin who came to the door of the opera house at the very close of the meeting and stood there with E. O. Lewis for not to exceed ten minutes?

If you will we will prove it?

Will you deny that not a member of your family attended Mr. Burkett's meeting in Falls City?

If you will we will prove it.

Will you deny that Fred Sebold was refused when he asked to hang Mr. Burkett's picture in the window of D. P. Brannin's store?

If you will we will prove it.

Will you deny that when Mr. Burkett on the street of Falls City and in a public manner offered to shake hands with Mr. D. P. Brannin, your man Friday, that Brannin snubbed him and refused to take his hand?

If you will we will prove it.

Will you deny that Frank Martin sr, told George Smith and R. E. Grinstead that they were not in honor

bound to vote for E. J. Burkett for United States senator if they should be elected?

If you will we will prove it.

Will you deny that your employee distributed scandalous cards attacking W. H. Morrow all over Richardson county?

If you will we will prove it.

Will you deny that your newspaper contained certain mean insinuations against W. P. Fergus for no other cause than that Mr. Fergus told your employee what he thought of a man who went about the county trying to destroy the good name of a fellow citizen?

If you will we will prove it.

Will you deny that when Mr. Morrow called at the Journal and asked that the paper might say something for him in this regard you at first promised to do so and the next morning changed your mind and refused?

If you will we will prove it.

It will not be a satisfactory answer to these charges to say that some one has lied. All you need to do is to say we deny—nothing more, and we will do the rest.

You say that in Falls City where the Martins live Morrow, Grinstead and Smith got their party vote. Did they? Falls City gave the state officers seventy-six majority. Grinstead carried the town by only twenty-six—fifty votes short. Smith carried it by only nineteen and MORROW LOST THE TOWN BY ONE VOTE. Who did it? In your reply will you tell who the thirty six men were who voted in this town for the entire republican ticket except for these men and Burkett? You try to fix the responsibility on others by publishing the Muddy precinct vote and say "You can see there how Hogrefe ran ahead in his own home while the others fell behind. It is a simple matter when you take the vote and study it to see just where these men were beaten." No man was more loyal to the whole ticket than Mr. Hogrefe. It will not do to try and fix any responsibility on him or his precinct. There isn't an acquaintance of Mr. Hogrefe's in this county who will accept your explanation. This is a republican county. It gave President Roosevelt 890 majority. It gave the state ticket nearly 300 majority and defeated all of the county ticket save one man. Some one did this will you tell us whom you hold responsible?

You publish a circular letter from E. J. Burkett which was sent to every republican paper in the district by his secretary, and say with poor taste and poorer grammar "Mr. Burkett knows who are his friends and who to thank for the victory." You are right, he does. He also knows his enemies and to whom the responsibility for the defeat belongs.