

THE FALLS CITY TRIBUNE

Published Every Friday at
FALLS CITY, NEBRASKA
By
TRIBUNE PUBLISHING CO.

Entered as second-class matter, January 12, 1904, at the post office at Falls City, Neb., under the Act of Congress of March 3, 1879.

ONE DOLLAR PER YEAR
Telephone No. 226.

REPUBLICAN TICKET.

For President,
Theodore Roosevelt, N. Y.
For Vice President,
Chas. W. Fairbanks, Ind.

Presidential Electors—
F. A. Barton, Pawnee
A. C. Smith, Douglas
A. C. Abbott, Dodge
T. L. Norval, Seward
W. P. Hall, Phelps
M. A. Brown, Buffalo
H. H. Wilson, Lancaster
J. C. Robinson, Douglas

Governor..... J. H. Mickey
Lieutenant Governor..... E. G. McGilton
Secretary of State..... A. Galusha
Auditor..... E. M. Searle, Jr.
Treasurer..... Peter Mortensen
Superintendent..... J. L. M'Brien
Attorney General..... Norris Brown
Land Commissioner..... H. M. Eaton

For Congress:
ELMER J. BURKETT, Lincoln.

For State Senator:
E. A. TUCKER, Humboldt.

For Members of the Legislature.
R. E. GRINSTAD, Salem
GEORGE SMITH, Dawson
W. H. HOGREFE, Stella

For County Attorney
W. H. MORROW, Shubert

For Supervisor Dist. No. 5:
CHAS. F. ZOELLER.

For Supervisor Dist. No. 7:
AUGUST POOR.

A FEW QUESTIONS.

We would like to ask the city council a few questions relative to the telephone situation.

Do you believe in a monopoly of a public serving corporation?

Do you believe the streets of Falls City should be used for the benefit of the citizens who own them, or for the benefit of the Falls City Telephone Company?

To whom does Falls City owe the greatest debt, The Falls City Telephone company and the Bell Telephone company, or the nine hundred and odd farmers who are asking telephone connections with this city?

Many of the patrons of the Rulo mutual company have boycotted the town of Rulo because they were denied admission to that city. A like threat has been made against Falls City within the last ten days. Do you want this done?

Can you give any good reason why the local telephone company should be given any greater rights in this city than any other business enterprise?

Would you be in favor of an or-

dinance putting a franchise tax on any other business in this city?

Do you think a company which has earned 71 per cent a year since its organization is more deserving of monopolistic privileges than the mercantile houses who earn not to exceed ten per cent a year?

The city of Lincoln at the request of the independent telephone companies, among which is the Falls City company, permitted such independent companies to establish long distance service with that city on the same basis as their local companies. Do you not think we could grant the same privilege to our farmer friends as the city of Lincoln did to the local company?

We think we recognize the hand which wrote the answer to the Humboldt Standard in last week's enterprise. The Standard would better be careful of the buzz saw.

"I said, as you remember, that under no circumstances would my vote be given to a man who would use the influence of the executive to fasten the gold standard upon the American people." From Bryan's speech accepting the presidential nomination eight years ago.

To those democrats who berate the revenue law: What is your remedy? Are you against every man paying taxes on a full valuation of his property? The present law calls for a new deal and that every man shall give in all of his property at its full valuation. By this means the very rich must bear their burdens as fully as the poor. This is as it should be, but not as it has been. Are you opposed to this?

It is rumored that the executive committee of the state committee will appoint Chas. Riggs of Beatrice chairman of the speakers bureau for the present campaign. We trust that this is incorrect. The republican party should be through with Riggs. He has been a professional politician so long and is so closely identified with the railroad lobby that his appointment would merit the condemnation of every honest republican in the state. The rank and file of the party want a new deal and they don't want Riggs or men of like associations to sit in the game.

The Beatrice Times tells a story that carries a moral which should be heeded by every Richardson County man. A resident of Gage county marked an X on a ten dollar bill and then spent it in his own community. That bill was returned to him four times in six years. He sent it in

F. W. Cleveland's Clearance Sale

The man in the picture is wearing a suit identical with the ones we are selling.

The artist drew this picture of a man wearing one of our garments so as to show you the exact appearance of this suit in a trying position.

You do not have to be of regular proportions for us to fit you.

Our sizes run in longs, slims and stouts, so that we can meet the requirements of your figure as well as any tailor.

All our garments bear the Uion Label—the symbol of sanitary and worthy goods.



Hand Tailored by Cahn, Wampold & Co., Chicago

We are making Special Reductions on all Summer Clothing to make room for New Fall Goods which will arrive soon. It will pay you to call and get our prices.

F. W. Cleveland

a registered letter to a mail order house in Chicago four years ago and has never seen it since. That bill will pay no more road tax in Gage county. It will never contribute to the well fare of his community again. It will never assist a neighbor, it is out of circulation so far as Gage county is concerned and will stay out till the crack of doom. Anyone who cannot see the lesson in this, would probably not understand it if we pointed it out.

The Falls City News suggests that the editor of this paper can not consistently oppose the telephone monopoly and be a republican. Let's see. Isn't Jim Hill of Minnesota opposing Roosevelt and supporting Parker because the former destroyed his railway monopoly? Isn't Belmont the trust magnate of New York the political manager of Judge Parker? Isn't Morgan fighting Roosevelt because the President suggested that the tariff on anthracite coal be removed because Morgan and "by the grace of God Baer had a coal monopoly in the Pennsylvania coal fields? Wasn't it

Grover Cleveland, the last democratic President and Richard Olney his attorney general who refused to prosecute trusts and monopolies because "the Sherman act is unconstitutional." And didn't Attorney General Knox at the instance of President Roosevelt prosecute several monopolies under that law, and didn't the supreme court of the United States say that Cleveland and Olney were wrong and that the law was constitutional? Didn't Judge Parker say in his speech of acceptance that no new legislation was needed on the questions of trusts and monopolies and didn't President Roosevelt say, "we will prosecute under the laws now in force and enact such new legislation as the arrogance of trusts may make necessary?" Let's see, was not the trust plank in the democratic platform written by a trust magnate? Didn't Mr. Bryan say that no relief could be expected from Judge Parker on the trust question? Let us ask you Mr. News: If you are opposed to monopolies why are you supporting Judge Parker? If you are opposed to monopolies why do you defend the Falls City telephone company in its efforts to perpetuate one?