THE RED CLOUD CHIEF

A Newspaper That Gives The News Fifty-two Weeks Each Year For One Dollar.

VOLUME XXXVI.

With Platform.

Question.

REPUBLICANS RESPONSIBLE.

All Present Abuses a Result of

Their Acts, and They Are Im-

Mr. Clayton and Gentlemen of the

Notification Committee: I can not ac-

cept the nomination which you official-

ly tender, without first acknowledging

my deep indebtedness to the Democrat-

ic party for the extraordinary honor

potent to Correct Them.

BRYAN

whether those reformers be Republicans or Democrats. So far did the Republican convention fall short of its duty that the Republican candidate feit it necessary to add to his platform in

several important particulars, thus re-

buking the leaders of the party, opon

ACCEPTS whose co-operation he must rely for the enactment of remedial legislation As I shall, in separate speeches discuss the leading questions at issue. I shall at this time confine myself to the Hearty Accord paramount question, and to the farreaching purpose of our party, as that

purpose is set forth in the platform. Shall the People Rule?

Our platform declares that the overshadowing issue which manifests itself in all the questions now under discus- been sufficient to prevent tariff reform. sion, is "Shall the people rule?" No As the present campaign approached. matter which way we turn; no matter both the president and Mr. Taft deto what subject we address ourselves clared in favor of tariff revision, but SHALL THE PEOPLE RULE the same question confronts us: Shall the people control their own government, and use that government for the by the protected interests has been protection of their rights and for the great enough to prevent any attempt at promotion of their welfare? or shall tariff reform before the election; and the representatives of predatory wealth the Overshadowing prey upon a defenseless public, while tion is so hedged about with qualifysubservient officials whom they raise with accuracy the sum total of tariff to power by unscrupulous methods? reform to be expected in case of Re-This is the issue raised by the "known abuses" to which Mr. Taft refers.

> President's Indictment Against the Party.

In a message sent to congress last January, President Roosevelt said "The attacks by these great corporations on the administration's actions bave been given a wide circulation throughout the country, in the newspapere and otherwise, by those writers and speakers who, consciously or unconsciously, act as the representatives of predatory wealth-of the wealth accumulated on a giant scale by all forms of iniquity, ranging from the oppression of wage earners to unfair and unwholesome methods of crushing out pant party to secure remedial legislacompetition, and to defrauding the public by stock-jobbing and the manipulation of securities. Certain wealthy men of this stamp, whose conduct should be abhorrent to every man of ordinarily decent conscience, and who commit the hideous wrong of teaching tions, but a Republican senate and a our young men that phenomenal bustness success must ordinarily be based reaction Their endeavor is to overthrow and discredit all who honestly administer the law, to prevent any additional legislation which would check and restrain them, and to secure. if possible, a freedom from all restraint which will permit every unscrupulous wrong-doer to do what he wishes unchecked, provided he has enough money."-What an arraignment of the predatory interests:

Is the president's indictment true And, if true, against whom was the indictment directed? Not against the Democratic party.

Mr. Taft Endorses the Indictment. Mr. Taft says that these evils have crept in during the last ten years. He declares that, during this time, some "prominent and influential members of the community, spurred by financial success and in their burry for greater wealth, became unmindful of the common rules of business bonesty and fidelity, and of the limitations imposed by law upon their actions!" and that "the revelations of the breaches of trusts, the disclosures as to rebates and discriminations by railroads, the accumulating evidence of the violations of the anti-trust laws, by a number of corporations, and the over-issue of stocks and bonds of interstate railroads for the unlawful enriching of directors and for the purpose of concen use the authority vested in him to trating the control of the railroads unurge personal views which have not der one management,"-all these, he charges, "quickened the conscience of the people and brought on a moral

During all this time, I beg to remind you. Republican officials presided in the executive department, filled the cabinet, dominated the senate, controlled the house of representatives and occucandidate, for the platform upon which pled most of the federal judgeships. Four years ago the Republican platform boastfully declared that since 1860-with the exception of two years -the Republican party had been in control of part or of all the branches of the federal government; that for two years only was the Democratic party in a position to either enact or repeal a law. Having drawn the salaries; baving enjoyed the honors; having secured the prestige, let the Republican party

accept the responsibility! Republican Party Responsible.

Why were these "known abuses" permitted to develop? Why have they not been corrected? If existing laws are sufficient, why have they not been enforced? All of the executive machinery of the federal government is in the hands of the Republican party Are new laws necessary? Why nave openly and notations, y disappoints the promise to be more strengous in the feat if they are interfered with Not

RED CLOUD, NEBRASKA, AUGUST 14, 1908.

present executive? Can he ask for a riod of fasting in the wilderness, will

larger majority in the senate than his the Republican leaders learn to study party now has? Does be need more public questions from the standpoint Republicans in the bouse of represent- of the masses. Just as with individatives or a speaker with more unlim- uals, "the cares of this world and the ited authority.

Why No Tariff Reform?

The president's close friends have been promising for several years that be would attack the iniquities of the tariff. We have had intimation that Mr. Taft was restive under the demands of the highly protected industries. And yet the influence of the manufacturers, who have for twentyfive years contributed to the Republican campaign fund, and who in return have framed the tariff schedules, has set the date of revision after the election. But the pressure brought to bear the reduction promised after the electhe offenders secure immunity from ing phrases, that no one can estimate publican success. If the past can be taken as a guide, the Republican party will be so obligated by campaign contributions from the beneficiaries of protection, as to make that party powerless to bring to the country any material relief from the present tariff burdens

Why No Anti-trust Legislation?

A few years ago the Republican leaders in the house of representatives were coerced by public opinion into the support of an anti-trust law which had the endorsement of the president, but the senate refused even to consider the measure, and since that time no effort has been made by the domition upon this subject.

Why No Railroad Legislation?

For ten years the Interstate Commerce Commission has been asking for an enlargement of its powers, that it 1904 the convention gave no pledge to drew his inspiration from three Democratic national platforms and he received more cordial support from the Democrats than from the Republicans. The Republicans in the senate deliberately defeated several amendments offerred by Senator La Pollette and supported by the Democrats-amendments embodying legislation asked by the Interstate Commerce Commission One of these amendments authorized the ascertainment of the value of railroads. This amendment was not only defeated by the senate, but it was overwhelmingly rejected by the recent Republican national convention, and the Republican candidate has sought to rescue his party from the disastrous results of this act by expressing himself, in a qualified way, in favor of ascertaining the value of the railroads.

Over-issue of Stocks and Bonds. Mr. Taft complains of the over-issue of stocks and bonds of rallroads, "for the unlawfu! enriching of directors and for the purpose of concentrating the control of the railroads under one management," and the complaint is well founded. But, with a president to point out the evil, and a Republican congress to correct it, we find nothing done for the protection of the public. Why? My honorable opponent has, by his confession, relieved me of the necessity of furnishing proof; he admits the condition and he can not avoid the logical conclusion that must be drawn from the admission. There is no doubt whatever that a large majority of the voters of the Republican party recognize the deplorable situation which Mr. Taft describes; they recognize that the masses have had but little influence upon legislation or upon the administration of the government, and they are beginning to understand the cause. For a generation the Republican party has drawn its campaign funds from the beneficiaries of special legislation. Privileges have been pledged and granted in return for money contributed to debauch elections. What can be expected when official authority is turned over to the representatives of 'nose who first furnish the sinews or war and then reim-

Fasting In Wilderness Necessary. So long as the Republican party remains in power, it is powerless to regenerate itself. It can not attack wrong-doing to high places without disgracing many of its prominent members, and it, therefore, uses oplates instead of the surgeon's knife in malefactors construe each Republic on vicduct and threaten the party with de-

deceitfulness of riches choke the truth." so in politics, when party leaders serve far away from home and are not to constant contact with the voters, continued party success blinds their eyes to the needs of the people and makes them deaf to the cry of distress.

Publicity as to Campaign Contribu-

An effort has been made to secure legislation requiring publicity as to and this resolution passed the house campaign contributions and expendi- of representatives by a vote which tures; but the Republican leaders, even in the face of an indignant public, refused to consent to a law which would tion was reported to, and adopted by. compel honesty in elections. When the the house of representatives Both matter was brought up to the recent the Fifty second and Fifty-third con-Republican national convention, the plank was repudlated by a vote of \$80 to 94. Here, too, Mr. Taft has been driven to apologize for his convention the Fifty-fourth congress the proposiand to declare himself in favor of a publicity law; and yet, if you will read what he says upon this subject, you the people, until it forced a Republican will find that his promise falls far short congress to follow the example set by of the requirements of the situation. He says:

"If I am' elected president, I shall urge upon congress, with every hope of success, that a law be passed requiring the states have recorded themselves in the filing, in a federal office, of a statement of the contributions received by committees and candidates in elections for members of congress, and in such other elections as are constitutionally within the control of congress."

I shall not embarrass him by asking him open what he bases his hope of success: it is certainly not on any encouragement be has received from Republican leaders. It is sufficient to say that if his hopes were realized-if, in spite of the adverse action of his convention, he should succeed in securing the enactment of the very law which he favors, it would give but partial reilef. He has read the Democratic platform; not only his language, but his evident alarm, indicates that he has read it carefully. He even had before him the action of the Democratic namight prevent rebates and discrimina- tional committee in interpreting and applying that platform; and yet, he Republican house of representatives falls to say that he favors the publicawere unmoved by its entreaties. In tion of the contributions before the result of the free and voluntary act of on dishonesty, have, during the last 1900 the Republican national conven- election. & course, it satisfies a natthe voters of the party, can only be ex- few months, made it apparent that the was urged to endorse the demand ural curiosity to find out how an elecplained by a substantial and undist they have banded together to work for for railway legislation, but its platform tion has been purchased, even when was slient on the subject. Even in the knowledge comes too late to be or service, but why should the people be remedy these abuses. When the presi- kept in darkness until the election is dent finally asked for legislation he past? Why should the locking of the

door be delayed until the borse is gone? An Election a Public Affair. An election is a public affair. The people, exercising the right to select their officials and to decide upon the policies to be pursued, proceed to their several polling places on election day and register their will. What excuse can be given for secrecy as to the influences at work? If a man, pecuntarlly interested in "concentrating the control of the rallroads in one management," subscribes a large sum to aid in carrying the election, why should his part in the campaign be concealed until he has put the officials under ob'igation to him? If a trust magnate contributes \$100,000 to elect political friends to office, with a view to preventing hostile legislation, why should that fact be concealed until his friends are securely seated in their official positions?

This is not a new question; it is a question which has been agitated-a question which the Republican leaders fully understand-a question which the Republican candidate has studied, and yet be refuses to declare himself in favor of the legislation absolutely necessary, namely, legislation requiring pubileation before the election.

Democratic Party Promises Publicity. How can the people hope to rule, if they are not able to learn until after the election what the predatory interests are doing? The Democratic party meets the issue bonestly and courageously. It says:

"We pledge the Democratic party to the enactment of a law probiblting any corporation from contributing to a campaign fund, and any individual from contributing an amount above a reasonable maximum, and providing for the publication, before election, of all such contributions above a reasonable minimum."

The Democratic national committee immediately proceeded to interpret and apply this plank, appounding that co contributions would be received from corporations, that no individual would be allowed to contribute more than \$10,000, and that all contributions above \$100 would be made public beburse themselve, out of the pockets of fore the election-those received before 2 tober 15 to be made public on or before that day, those received afterward to be made public on the day when received, and no such contributions to be accepted within three days of the election. The expenditures are to be published after election. Here is a plan which is complete and effective.

Popular Election of Senators.

Next to the corrupt use of money. the present method of electing United States senators is most responsible for hopes and expectations of reformers prosecution of wrong-doers than the unit that party passes through a per the obstruction of reforms. For one designed by the fathers of the consti-

the constitution, the demand for the popular election of senators, while finding increased expression, did not become a dominant sentiment. A conattentional amendment had from time to time been suggested and the matter had been more or less discussed in a few of the states, but the movement had not reached a point where it manifeeted itself through congressional action. In the Fifty-second congress, however, a resolution was reported from a house committee proposing the necessary constitutional amendment. was practically unanimous. In the Fifty-third congress a similar resolugresses were Democratic. The Republicans gained control of the house as a result of the election of 1894 and in tion died in committee. As time went on, however, the sentiment grew among the Democrats, and then another and another Republican congress acted favorably. State after state has endorsed this reform, until nearly two-thirds of its favor. The United States sepate. however, impudently and arrogantly gized congress and nominated as the obstructs the passage of the resolution, notwithstanding the fact that the voters of the United States, by an overwhelming majority, demand it. And this refusal is the more significant house of representatives shall again when it is remembered that a number of sepators owe their election to great corporate interests. Three Democratic sentatives, and not by the speaker." national platforms-the platforms of and is pledged to adopt "such rules 1900, 1904 and 1908-specifically call and regulations to govern the house for a change in the constitution which will put the election of senators in the jority of its members to direct its debands of the voters, and the proposition has been endorsed by a number of the smaller parties, but no Republican national convention has been willing to champion the cause of the people on this subject. The subject was ignored by the Republican national convention in 1900; it was ignored in 1904, and the proposition was explicitly repudiated in 1908 for the recent Republican national convention, by a such a revision of the rules as will vote of 866 to 114, rejected the plank bring the popular branch of the federal tors-and this was done in the conven- ideas of those who framed our constidelegates from his own state voting for the plank.

Personal Inclination Not Sufficient. In his notification speech, the Republican candidate, speaking of the election of senators by the people, eays: "Personally, I am inclined to favor it. but it is hardly a party question." question? When the Democratic convention endorses a proposition by a vote of seven to one, does it not become an issue between the parties? Mr. Taft can not remove the question from the arena of politics by expressing a personal inclination toward the Democratic position. For several years be has been connected with the administration. What has be ever said or done to bring this question before the public? What enthusiasm has be shown in the reformation of the senate? What influence could be exert in behalf of a reform which his party has openly and notoriously condemned in its convention, and to which he is attached only by a belated expression of personal inclination?

The Gateway to Other Reforms.

"Shall the people rule?" Every remedial measure of a national character must run the gauntlet of the senate. The president may personally incline toward a reform; the house may consent to it; but as long as the senate obstructs the reform, the people must wait. The president may beed a popular demand; the house may yield to public opinion; but as long as the senate is defiant, the rule of the people is defeated. The Democratic platform very properly describes the popular election of senators as "the gateway to other national reforms." Shall we open the gate, or shall we allow the exploiting interests to bar the way by the control of this branch of the federal legislature? Through Democratic victory, and through a Democratic victory only, can the people secure the popular election of senators. The smaller parties are unable to secure this reform; the Republican party, under its present leadership, is resolutely opposed to it; the Democratic party stands for it and has boldly demanded it. If I am elected to the presidency, those who are elected upon the ticket with me will be, like myseif, pledged to this reform, and I shall convene congress in extraordinary session immediately after inauguration, and ask, among other things, for the fulfillment of this platform pledge.

House Rules Despotic.

The third instrumentality employed to defeat the will of the people is found in the rules of the house of representatives. Our platform points out that "the house of representatives was

bundred years after the adoption of tution, to be the popular branch of our government, responsive to the public will," and adds:

NUMBER 33

"The house of representatives, as controlled in recent years by the Republican party, has ceased to be a deliberative and legislative body, responsive to the will of a majority of the members, but has come under the absolute domination of the speaker, who has entire control of its deliberations, and powers of legislation.

"We have observed with amasement the popular branch of our federal government helpless to obtain either the consideration or enactment of measures desired by a majority of its memberg."

This arraignment is fully justified. The reform Republicans in the house of representatives, when in the minority in their own party, are as helpless to obtain a bearing or to secure a vote upon a measure as are the Democrats. In the recent session of the present congress, there was a considerable element to the Republican party favorable to remedial legislation; but a few leaders, in control of the organization, despotically suppressed these members, and thus forced a real majority in the house to submit to a well organized minority. The Republican national convention, instead of rebuking this attack upon popular government, eulo-Republican candidate for vice president one of the men who shared in the responsibility for the coercion of the bouse. Our party demands that "the become a deliberative body, controlled by a majority of the people's repreof representatives as will enable a matiberations and control legislation."

"Shall the people rule?" They can not do so unless they can control the house of representatives, and through their representatives in the house, give expression to their purposes and their desires. The Republican party ta committed to the methods now in vogue in the house of representatives; the Democratic party is pledged to tution and founded our government.

Other Issues Will Be Discussed Later-"Shall the people rule?" I repeat, is declared by our platform to be the overshadowing question, and as the campaign progresses, I shall take occusion to discuss this question as it manifests itself in other issues; for whether What is necessary to make this a party we consider the tariff question, the trust question, the railroad question, the banking question, the labor quesunanimous vote, and the Republican tion, the question of imperialism, the convention rejects the proposition by a development of our waterways, or any other of the numerous problems which press for solution, we shall find that the real question involved in each is, whether the government shall remain a mere business asset of favor seeking corporations or be an instrument in the hands of the people for the advancement of the common west.

> Democratic Party Has Earned Confidence.

If the voters are satisfied with the record of the Republican party and with its management of public affairs we can not reasonably ask for a change in administration; if, bowever. the voters feel that the people, as a whole, have too little influence in shaping the policies of the government; if they feel that great combinations of capital bave encroached upon the rights of the masses, and employed the instrumentalities of government to secure an unfair share of the total wealth produced, then we have a right to expect a verdict against the Republican party and in favor of the Democratic party; for our party has risked defeatuye, suffered defeat-in its effort to arouse the conscience of the public and to bring about that very awakening to which Mr. Taft bas referred.

Only those are worthy to be entrusted with leadership in a great cause who are willing to die for it, and the Democratic party has proven its worthiness by its refusal to purchase victory by delivering the people into the hands of those who have despolled them. In this contest between Democracy on the one side and plutocracy on the other, the Democratic party has taken its position on the side of equal rights, and invites the opposition of those who use politics to secure special privileges and governmental favoritism. Gauging the progress of the nation, not by the happiness or wealth or refinement of a few, but "by the prosperity and advancement of the average man," the Democratic party charges the Republican party with being the promoter of present abuses, the opponent of necessary remedies and the only bulwark of private monopoly. The Democratic party affirms that in this campaign it is the only party, having a prospect of success, which stands for justice in government and for equity in the divislop of the fruits of industry.

(concluded on fourth page.)

which it has conferred upon me. Having twice before been a candidate for the presidency, in campaigns which ended in defeat, a third nomination, the outed growth in the principles and pocles for which I, with a multitude of others, have contended. As these principles and policies have given me whatever political strength I possess, the action of the convention not only renews my faith in them, but strengthens my attachment to them. A Platform Is Binding. I shall, in the near future, prepare a more formal reply to your notification, and, in that letter of acceptance, will deal with the platform in detail. It is sufficient, at this time, to assure you that I am in hearty accord with both the letter and the spirit of the platform. I endorse it in whole and in declarations as binding upon me. And. I may add, a platform is binding as to what it emits as well as to what it contains. According to the democratic

idea, the people think for themselves and select officials to carry out their wishes. The voters are the sovereigns; the officials are the servants, employed for a fixed time and at a stated salary to do what the sovereigns want done. and to do it in the way the sovereigns want it done. Platforms are entirely in harmony with this democratic idea. A platform announces the party's position on the questions which are at issue; and an official is not at liberty to was nominated not only contains nothing from which I dissent, but if

Republican Challenge Accepted.

speech: "The strength of the Republican cause in the campaign at hand is the fact that we represent the policies essential to the reform of known abuses. to the continuance of liberty and true prosperity, and that we are determined. as our platform unequivocally declares. to maintain them and carry them on." In the name of the Democratic party, I accept the challenge, and charge that the Republican party is responsible for all the abuses which now exist in the

part, and shall, if elected, regard its

been submitted to the voters for their approval. If one is nominated upon a platform which is not satisfactory to awakening." him, he must. if candid, either decline the nomination, or, in accepting it, propose an amended platform in lieu of the one adopted by the convention. No such situation, however, confronts your

specifically outlines all the remediat legislation which we can hope to secure during the next four years.

The distinguished statesman who received the Republican nomination for president said, in his notification

federal government, and that it is im- they not been enacted? With a Repotent to accomplish the reforms which | publican president to recommend, with are imperatively needed. Further, I a Republican senate and house to carry can not concur in the statement that out his recommendations, why does the the Republican platform unequivocally Republican candidate plead for further declares for the reforms that are nec | time in which to do what should have | tory as an endorsement of their conessary; on the contrary, I affirm that it been done long ago? Can Mr Taft