

Moon & Callender,
—DEALERS IN—
General Merchandise,
Dry Goods,
Groceries,
Boots & Shoes,
HATS AND CAPS,
Drugs, Medicines,
Oils, Varnishes,
Faints Etc.
GLASSWARE, QUEENSWARE,
HARDWARE & HARNESS
ETC., ETC., ETC.
A full line of everything kept in a general store, at the lowest cash prices.
Respectfully,
Moon & Callender.
COWLES, NEBRASKA

DR. SHERER,
Proprietor of the
City Drug Store.
—AND DEALER IN—
Drugs, Medicines,
Paints, Oils
and Varnishes,
A full supply of
LAMPS, LAMP SHADES, WICKS,
COMBS BRUSHES & C.
Patrons solicited and thankfully received.
Prescriptions carefully compounded.
One door south of Garber's store,
RED CLOUD, NEB.

R. L. TINKER,
(Successor to G. A. Brown)
—DEALER IN—
**Parlor, Bedroom
AND KITCHEN
FURNITURE,**
BRACKETS, CHROMOS,
PICTURE FRAMES,
MATTRESSES, ETC.
Our stores on hand and on order on short notice. Prices as low as any in the Valley. Repairing of all kinds done promptly and satisfactorily.
Burial Robes furnished at reasonable rates.
RED CLOUD, - - - NEB.

Harness Shop,
—BY—
J. L. MILLER,
Keeps constantly on hand a full line of
HARNESSES, COLLARS, SADDLES,
HORSE-BLANKETS,
WHIPS, COMBS, BRUSHES,
HARNESSES OIL,
And everything usually kept in a first class shop.
TWO DOORS NORTH OF THE BANK.
The Highest Cash Price Paid for Hides and Furs.

**FLOUR & FEED
STORE,**
CHAS. E. PUTNAM, Prop.
DEALER IN
FLOUR & FEED
Corn, Meal, Bran, Chopped Feed and
GROCERIES,
Visit the Red Cloud Grocery, Feed and Provision store when you want supplies for man or beast.
Highest market price in cash paid for grain—All kinds of country produce taken in exchange for goods, fresh delivered to all parts of town free of charge.
Store south of Red's Flour Factory,
RED CLOUD, - - - NEBRASKA.

**"The Proof of the
Pudding is in the
Eating."**
You have only to call
on us and we can easily
convince you that we
do sell
HARDWARE
A little BELOW the
so-called "Bed rock prices"
advertised by other
firms.
Besley & Perkins.
212 1/2

THE CHIEF.
LOCAL MATTERS.
THURSDAY NOV. 3, 1881.
R. V. R. R. Time Table.
Taking effect Sunday, Oct. 17, 1880.
Eastward Passenger leaves...
Westward Passenger leaves...
EASTERN DIVISION.
Eastward Passenger leaves...
Westward Passenger leaves...
M. E. CHURCH.
S. S. at 10 a. m. and preaching by the Pastor every Sabbath morning and evening.
CONGREGATIONAL CHURCH.
Preaching every first and third Sabbath of each month at 10 a. m. and 7 p. m., also on 5th Sabbath when order is received.
Sabbath School every Sabbath morning at 9 o'clock. Prayer meeting every Wednesday evening.

Local advertisements inserted among the reading matter will be charged 10c. a line each insertion.
Henry Cook's Point.
Lead and Oils, at HENRY COOK'S.
Go to Newhouse's for a nobby hood.
Heaps of Over-Coats at
J. G. POTTER'S.
All wool hoods for sale at
MRS. NEWHOUSE'S.
Franklin square libraries, at
HENRY COOK'S.
"Still they come." The new goods at
F. NEWHOUSE'S.
A full supply of flannels of all kinds at
MRS. McBRIDE'S.
Headquarters for stylish hats and bonnets, at
MRS. McBRIDE'S.
The best suits for the money, is to be found at
J. G. POTTER'S.
Imperial mixed paint, at the Red Cloud Drug Store.
Go to Newhouse's store for dress goods of all kinds.
Cane kid gloves, and all kinds of nice Millinery goods, at
MRS. McBRIDE'S.
Whitebreast and Hard coal at Jones & Magee's Lumber Yard.
School supplies of all kinds at Henry Cook's Red Cloud Drug Store.
Hoods, Flannels and needle work; home manufacture, at NEWHOUSE'S.
Go to J. G. Potter's if you want to save money by buying your clothing.
A good variety of Misses and Childrens hats, just received, at
MRS. McBRIDE'S.
A large stock of Germantown wool, Zephyrs and stocking yarn, at
MRS. NEWHOUSE'S.
Go to the well known Lumber Yard of Jones & Magee, for Whitebreast and Hard coal.
Now offer at private sale all my personal property, including hay, live stock, and implements. Six miles south-west of Red Cloud.
JAS. M. WHITE.
Red Cloud, Oct. 27, 1881.

FOR REPRESENTATIVE.
CLOVERTON, NEB., Nov. 1st 1881.
To the voters of Webster County:
It having come to my knowledge within the past few days that my name was being used in different parts of the county in connection with the office of representative; and as many of my friends know that I have kept entirely out of politics since I became a resident of the county nearly ten years ago—have never attended a caucus or a convention—they are in doubt as to my acceptance, if elected. To set at rest this doubt, I make this public announcement:
If the voters of the county, select me as their representative I will accept the trust, and will endeavor to discharge every duty that trust imposes, in the best light of reason and of conscience.
And I may say further, that it will be my pleasure, as I deem it will be my duty, to use my voice and my vote in assisting to so shape legislation as to foster and protect the great farming and productive industries of the state, believing that upon the success of these classes our whole industrial structure rests.
Thanking my friends for, perhaps, their too kindly interest, I subscribe myself,
M. WILSON.
The Farmer's Candidate for Representative, subject to the decision of the voters of Webster county, on Tuesday next.

Some of Mr. Hawley's political enemies are making a special light upon that gentleman. His triumphal election would show them that they have taken the wrong course.
ANNOUNCEMENT.
I hereby announce myself as an independent candidate for the office of county clerk of Webster county, Nebraska, subject to the decision of the voters of said county at the election next Tuesday. OLIVER R. DOWNS.
The undersigned has for sale at Riverton, Nebraska, a hundred and twenty head of young cows and two hundred and fifty young ewes. Apply to
ROBERT COLVENS,
Riverton, Neb.
ANNOUNCEMENT.
I hereby announce myself as an independent candidate for the office of Superintendent of Public Instruction for Webster county Neb., subject to the approval of the voters at the November election.
E. M. PEARSON.
ANNOUNCEMENT.
I hereby announce myself as an independent candidate for the office of Sheriff of Webster county, Nebraska, subject to the approval of the voters at the November election.
C. F. KUEHN.
NOTICE.
The Republican Central Committee of each precinct in Webster county are requested to call on the chairman of the committee at the Chief office and obtain a supply of tickets for their respective precincts.

Scratch! scratch! scratch the tickets says the Argus.
Vote the straight republican ticket at the coming election.
See that the name of John P. Bayha is on your ticket and vote it.
Louis Albright rejoices because a fine boy has made his appearance at his house.
Do not be misled by false representations, but vote the straight republican ticket next Tuesday.
Mr. J. H. Helton returned from Indiana last week. He expresses himself as being very well satisfied with Nebraska since his return.
Vote for Edwin C. Hawley for county Judge, a man thoroughly educated in the law, one deserving of the office and who will attend to his business.
George and John Garber departed last Tuesday to spend a few months at Prof. Miller's Mercantile College, at Keokuk Iowa. The Chief supplied them with half membership tickets to the college.
Frank R. Gunop was summoned by telegraph to the bedside of his father, last week, who was lying at the point of death at his home in Ohio. We learn that Mr. Gunop had passed away before his son Frank reached home.
How in the name of all that christians profess to hold sacred, can ministers of the gospel and church members support for public office men who are so depraved as to look for their heartiest support from the whisky element.
Remember that a vote for the nominees of the republican convention, the straight ticket, means a settling up of the county's affairs and an expose of the fraud perpetrated on the tax-payers when that funding scheme was worked through several years ago.
Mr. V. Bierborer was nominated unanimously for District Attorney of the 5th Judicial District at the Judicial convention at Kearney last week. Mr. Bierborer has been an effective worker during the time that he has filled the office, and we consider that the convention did a wise thing in selecting him for another term.
A certain individual who is well known in this county has been heard to say that Al. Tulley must be elected county clerk again, and has made the boast that he could buy the votes of the people of the entire county for \$1500. Voters, tax-payers, will you permit this man to control your votes with a few dollars of money? We opine not. Then go to the polls and say by your votes that John P. Bayha must be elected.

Mr. M. Wilson of Cloverton announces himself in the Chief this week as candidate for representative. Mr. Wilson is an old newspaper man, and a good man for the place, consequently would receive our hearty support, were he the nominee of the republican party. As it is, we, of course cannot give him our support, especially since we have so good a man on the regular ticket as C. W. Kaley.
Tax payers of Webster county, consider well before casting your votes next Tuesday. If you desire a long list of delinquent taxes; if it is to the welfare of the county to permit parties to remove from the county with several hundred dollars of unpaid taxes standing against them—then vote for Jones the present incumbent. But if you desire honesty and square dealing, promptness and efficiency in office, then vote for Buschow, and the whole Republican ticket.
The law requires that the Co. Clerk shall in the discharge of his official duties receive certain specified fees. That these fees be charged is obligatory and not discretionary. The law also requires that he keep an exact record of the fees of his office and that he pay to the Co. Treasurer all fees in excess of \$1500 per year. Every time the clerk performs duties gratis he defrauds the tax-payers out of that sum. Citizens of Webster county, how often has the present incumbent defrauded you? Think of this next Tuesday and vote for Honesty and Reform.
Temperance men! Will you support for office men who have their headquarters at a saloon in Red Cloud, and who have enlisted in their behalf the whisky element and employed the drunken bunnymen to work for their unholy cause? J. A. Tulley and his clan have done this. If you profess to be temperance men, ministers of the gospel and christians, then vote and work for John P. Bayha for clerk. He would scorn to use a dollar illegally or to deal out one single drink of whisky to his fellow man even though he knew it would secure his election.
I understand that the report is being circulated that I burned the Democratic party in effigy last fall. That I used a coffin box for that purpose. I deny the charge and will state the facts.
After a public meeting at the court house the evening of Oct. 14th, at which Mr. Davis and myself were the speakers, a bonfire was kindled in celebration of the Ohio and Indiana election. Certain parties procured a coffin box and carried it to the fire, one of the principals in the transaction and who assisted in bearing the box, was the man now opposing me as an independent candidate, and I challenge him or any other man to deny that such are the facts. I make this statement in the hope that hereafter my opponent may be made to assume his own responsibilities, and that no one will seek to make me responsible for his acts.
EDWIN C. HAWLEY.

Vote for a man for county Superintendent that you know is qualified to fill the position creditably. C. W. Springer is the man for the place vote for him.
Mr. O. R. Downs, a farmer of Walnut Creek precinct and an old settler of the county, announces his name as a candidate for county clerk this week. Mr. Downs will no doubt poll a respectable vote, especially in his own precinct.
ED. CHIEF—I wish to ask a few questions about our taxes. I find on the assessment roll of Red Cloud precinct a number of steers assessed at \$1000.00. These cattle were in the hands of Wm. N. Richardson when assessed but were assessed as the property of other parties. Now Mr. Jones informs us that this tax is uncollectable. Why is this, can the people of the county, generally, avoid the payment of their taxes or is it the favored few. Does the fact of Mr. Richardson being a partner of our county clerk invalidate these taxes?
In connection with Treasurer Jones' independent candidacy for re-election we have this to say.
In March 1879 it was provided by statute that "a county Treasurer shall be ineligible to office for more than two consecutive terms." (Laws of '79, Sec. 10, page 244.) This law took effect Sept. 1st 1879. Mr. Jones was in office at that time and finished a term in office after the passage of that law, and after it had taken effect. He is now holding another term which will end next January.
Now as Mr. Jones finished a term after the '79 law went into effect the law will regard it as a full term and not as part of a term and such we understand is the opinion of the attorney general of this state, consequently Mr. Jones having held under the law two consecutive terms he is ineligible to a third. While we are opposed to Mr. Jones' independent candidacy upon good principles, what we have said is not intended as a personal drive at that gentleman but to bring before the minds of the people the fact that they should not throw away their votes by casting them for a candidate who cannot serve, under the law, if elected.
If Mr. Jones is elected Treasurer, the only way to settle the matter is by the courts. This will involve the county in an expensive litigation, can we afford it?
Judge Weaver has already said that should such a case come before him he should hold the incumbent ineligible.
In case of his election his bond will be given as treasurer and not as an individual, and if he is declared by the courts ineligible his bondsmen can not be held as sureties. It having been so decided we understand by the supreme court of this state. Again, if actions are commenced, and we can foresee very well how and why they will be, the county will be compelled to defend them for the county's protection. Cast your votes for the regular nominee thus settling these matters at once without expense, and to the people's satisfaction.
The old shell-bark democrat who published a democratic newspaper in Iowa a few years ago, and who came to this county three years ago to publish a republican (?) paper in the interest of a court house ring, for \$500, refuses to support the republican ticket which was nominated at the county convention. Mr. Kenney was heard to say on the street that he would support a part of the independent candidates in preference to the regular nominees. A nice republican, he is. Nothing better, however, could be expected of a man who has tagged at the coat tails of John P. Bayha in the shams of Iowa democracy for twenty years and only turned to be a republican, and a tool, when he saw \$500 in it.
The actual and necessary expense for the administration of our county affairs are less proportionally than any other county in the state. Why then are county warrants so depreciated and the county so irremediably in debt? Is it by the malfeasance of our county officials. The present incumbent in the treasury department has allowed hundreds of citizens to remove from the county with a long list of unpaid taxes standing against them, without the least effort on his part to collect the same.
Mr. Zeiss removed to this county in 1873, and died Jan. 1st, 1880, leaving behind him the following list of delinquent taxes for his administrator to pay:
Tax for 1874—\$13.69 paid Jan. 14 1880.
" " 1875—19.31, " " "
" " 1876—16.42, " " "
" " 1877—50.10, " " "
" " 1878—38.79, " " "
" " 1879—140.29, paid Dec. 27 1880.
Total, \$278.33.
Is this a proper administration of county affairs? Does not the law require that he shall on the 1st of Nov. of each year proceed to collect by levy and sale all delinquent taxes? A certain firm began business in Red Cloud in 1878 and closed out their business during the present year. During all this time they never paid one cent of taxes. There is now standing against them on the delinquent tax list nearly \$300.00. The county treasurer permitted them to close out their business without any effort to secure said taxes.
These are but a few instances out of the long list that can be brought against him, and yet this man has the brazen effrontery to bolt a Republican convention and ask the intelligent voters of Webster county to permit him to mismanage their business for another term of two years.

Vote for a man for county Superintendent that you know is qualified to fill the position creditably. C. W. Springer is the man for the place vote for him.
Mr. O. R. Downs, a farmer of Walnut Creek precinct and an old settler of the county, announces his name as a candidate for county clerk this week. Mr. Downs will no doubt poll a respectable vote, especially in his own precinct.
ED. CHIEF—I wish to ask a few questions about our taxes. I find on the assessment roll of Red Cloud precinct a number of steers assessed at \$1000.00. These cattle were in the hands of Wm. N. Richardson when assessed but were assessed as the property of other parties. Now Mr. Jones informs us that this tax is uncollectable. Why is this, can the people of the county, generally, avoid the payment of their taxes or is it the favored few. Does the fact of Mr. Richardson being a partner of our county clerk invalidate these taxes?
In connection with Treasurer Jones' independent candidacy for re-election we have this to say.
In March 1879 it was provided by statute that "a county Treasurer shall be ineligible to office for more than two consecutive terms." (Laws of '79, Sec. 10, page 244.) This law took effect Sept. 1st 1879. Mr. Jones was in office at that time and finished a term in office after the passage of that law, and after it had taken effect. He is now holding another term which will end next January.
Now as Mr. Jones finished a term after the '79 law went into effect the law will regard it as a full term and not as part of a term and such we understand is the opinion of the attorney general of this state, consequently Mr. Jones having held under the law two consecutive terms he is ineligible to a third. While we are opposed to Mr. Jones' independent candidacy upon good principles, what we have said is not intended as a personal drive at that gentleman but to bring before the minds of the people the fact that they should not throw away their votes by casting them for a candidate who cannot serve, under the law, if elected.
If Mr. Jones is elected Treasurer, the only way to settle the matter is by the courts. This will involve the county in an expensive litigation, can we afford it?
Judge Weaver has already said that should such a case come before him he should hold the incumbent ineligible.
In case of his election his bond will be given as treasurer and not as an individual, and if he is declared by the courts ineligible his bondsmen can not be held as sureties. It having been so decided we understand by the supreme court of this state. Again, if actions are commenced, and we can foresee very well how and why they will be, the county will be compelled to defend them for the county's protection. Cast your votes for the regular nominee thus settling these matters at once without expense, and to the people's satisfaction.
The old shell-bark democrat who published a democratic newspaper in Iowa a few years ago, and who came to this county three years ago to publish a republican (?) paper in the interest of a court house ring, for \$500, refuses to support the republican ticket which was nominated at the county convention. Mr. Kenney was heard to say on the street that he would support a part of the independent candidates in preference to the regular nominees. A nice republican, he is. Nothing better, however, could be expected of a man who has tagged at the coat tails of John P. Bayha in the shams of Iowa democracy for twenty years and only turned to be a republican, and a tool, when he saw \$500 in it.
The actual and necessary expense for the administration of our county affairs are less proportionally than any other county in the state. Why then are county warrants so depreciated and the county so irremediably in debt? Is it by the malfeasance of our county officials. The present incumbent in the treasury department has allowed hundreds of citizens to remove from the county with a long list of unpaid taxes standing against them, without the least effort on his part to collect the same.
Mr. Zeiss removed to this county in 1873, and died Jan. 1st, 1880, leaving behind him the following list of delinquent taxes for his administrator to pay:
Tax for 1874—\$13.69 paid Jan. 14 1880.
" " 1875—19.31, " " "
" " 1876—16.42, " " "
" " 1877—50.10, " " "
" " 1878—38.79, " " "
" " 1879—140.29, paid Dec. 27 1880.
Total, \$278.33.
Is this a proper administration of county affairs? Does not the law require that he shall on the 1st of Nov. of each year proceed to collect by levy and sale all delinquent taxes? A certain firm began business in Red Cloud in 1878 and closed out their business during the present year. During all this time they never paid one cent of taxes. There is now standing against them on the delinquent tax list nearly \$300.00. The county treasurer permitted them to close out their business without any effort to secure said taxes.
These are but a few instances out of the long list that can be brought against him, and yet this man has the brazen effrontery to bolt a Republican convention and ask the intelligent voters of Webster county to permit him to mismanage their business for another term of two years.

Vote for a man for county Superintendent that you know is qualified to fill the position creditably. C. W. Springer is the man for the place vote for him.
Mr. O. R. Downs, a farmer of Walnut Creek precinct and an old settler of the county, announces his name as a candidate for county clerk this week. Mr. Downs will no doubt poll a respectable vote, especially in his own precinct.
ED. CHIEF—I wish to ask a few questions about our taxes. I find on the assessment roll of Red Cloud precinct a number of steers assessed at \$1000.00. These cattle were in the hands of Wm. N. Richardson when assessed but were assessed as the property of other parties. Now Mr. Jones informs us that this tax is uncollectable. Why is this, can the people of the county, generally, avoid the payment of their taxes or is it the favored few. Does the fact of Mr. Richardson being a partner of our county clerk invalidate these taxes?
In connection with Treasurer Jones' independent candidacy for re-election we have this to say.
In March 1879 it was provided by statute that "a county Treasurer shall be ineligible to office for more than two consecutive terms." (Laws of '79, Sec. 10, page 244.) This law took effect Sept. 1st 1879. Mr. Jones was in office at that time and finished a term in office after the passage of that law, and after it had taken effect. He is now holding another term which will end next January.
Now as Mr. Jones finished a term after the '79 law went into effect the law will regard it as a full term and not as part of a term and such we understand is the opinion of the attorney general of this state, consequently Mr. Jones having held under the law two consecutive terms he is ineligible to a third. While we are opposed to Mr. Jones' independent candidacy upon good principles, what we have said is not intended as a personal drive at that gentleman but to bring before the minds of the people the fact that they should not throw away their votes by casting them for a candidate who cannot serve, under the law, if elected.
If Mr. Jones is elected Treasurer, the only way to settle the matter is by the courts. This will involve the county in an expensive litigation, can we afford it?
Judge Weaver has already said that should such a case come before him he should hold the incumbent ineligible.
In case of his election his bond will be given as treasurer and not as an individual, and if he is declared by the courts ineligible his bondsmen can not be held as sureties. It having been so decided we understand by the supreme court of this state. Again, if actions are commenced, and we can foresee very well how and why they will be, the county will be compelled to defend them for the county's protection. Cast your votes for the regular nominee thus settling these matters at once without expense, and to the people's satisfaction.
The old shell-bark democrat who published a democratic newspaper in Iowa a few years ago, and who came to this county three years ago to publish a republican (?) paper in the interest of a court house ring, for \$500, refuses to support the republican ticket which was nominated at the county convention. Mr. Kenney was heard to say on the street that he would support a part of the independent candidates in preference to the regular nominees. A nice republican, he is. Nothing better, however, could be expected of a man who has tagged at the coat tails of John P. Bayha in the shams of Iowa democracy for twenty years and only turned to be a republican, and a tool, when he saw \$500 in it.
The actual and necessary expense for the administration of our county affairs are less proportionally than any other county in the state. Why then are county warrants so depreciated and the county so irremediably in debt? Is it by the malfeasance of our county officials. The present incumbent in the treasury department has allowed hundreds of citizens to remove from the county with a long list of unpaid taxes standing against them, without the least effort on his part to collect the same.
Mr. Zeiss removed to this county in 1873, and died Jan. 1st, 1880, leaving behind him the following list of delinquent taxes for his administrator to pay:
Tax for 1874—\$13.69 paid Jan. 14 1880.
" " 1875—19.31, " " "
" " 1876—16.42, " " "
" " 1877—50.10, " " "
" " 1878—38.79, " " "
" " 1879—140.29, paid Dec. 27 1880.
Total, \$278.33.
Is this a proper administration of county affairs? Does not the law require that he shall on the 1st of Nov. of each year proceed to collect by levy and sale all delinquent taxes? A certain firm began business in Red Cloud in 1878 and closed out their business during the present year. During all this time they never paid one cent of taxes. There is now standing against them on the delinquent tax list nearly \$300.00. The county treasurer permitted them to close out their business without any effort to secure said taxes.
These are but a few instances out of the long list that can be brought against him, and yet this man has the brazen effrontery to bolt a Republican convention and ask the intelligent voters of Webster county to permit him to mismanage their business for another term of two years.

Vote for a man for county Superintendent that you know is qualified to fill the position creditably. C. W. Springer is the man for the place vote for him.
Mr. O. R. Downs, a farmer of Walnut Creek precinct and an old settler of the county, announces his name as a candidate for county clerk this week. Mr. Downs will no doubt poll a respectable vote, especially in his own precinct.
ED. CHIEF—I wish to ask a few questions about our taxes. I find on the assessment roll of Red Cloud precinct a number of steers assessed at \$1000.00. These cattle were in the hands of Wm. N. Richardson when assessed but were assessed as the property of other parties. Now Mr. Jones informs us that this tax is uncollectable. Why is this, can the people of the county, generally, avoid the payment of their taxes or is it the favored few. Does the fact of Mr. Richardson being a partner of our county clerk invalidate these taxes?
In connection with Treasurer Jones' independent candidacy for re-election we have this to say.
In March 1879 it was provided by statute that "a county Treasurer shall be ineligible to office for more than two consecutive terms." (Laws of '79, Sec. 10, page 244.) This law took effect Sept. 1st 1879. Mr. Jones was in office at that time and finished a term in office after the passage of that law, and after it had taken effect. He is now holding another term which will end next January.
Now as Mr. Jones finished a term after the '79 law went into effect the law will regard it as a full term and not as part of a term and such we understand is the opinion of the attorney general of this state, consequently Mr. Jones having held under the law two consecutive terms he is ineligible to a third. While we are opposed to Mr. Jones' independent candidacy upon good principles, what we have said is not intended as a personal drive at that gentleman but to bring before the minds of the people the fact that they should not throw away their votes by casting them for a candidate who cannot serve, under the law, if elected.
If Mr. Jones is elected Treasurer, the only way to settle the matter is by the courts. This will involve the county in an expensive litigation, can we afford it?
Judge Weaver has already said that should such a case come before him he should hold the incumbent ineligible.
In case of his election his bond will be given as treasurer and not as an individual, and if he is declared by the courts ineligible his bondsmen can not be held as sureties. It having been so decided we understand by the supreme court of this state. Again, if actions are commenced, and we can foresee very well how and why they will be, the county will be compelled to defend them for the county's protection. Cast your votes for the regular nominee thus settling these matters at once without expense, and to the people's satisfaction.
The old shell-bark democrat who published a democratic newspaper in Iowa a few years ago, and who came to this county three years ago to publish a republican (?) paper in the interest of a court house ring, for \$500, refuses to support the republican ticket which was nominated at the county convention. Mr. Kenney was heard to say on the street that he would support a part of the independent candidates in preference to the regular nominees. A nice republican, he is. Nothing better, however, could be expected of a man who has tagged at the coat tails of John P. Bayha in the shams of Iowa democracy for twenty years and only turned to be a republican, and a tool, when he saw \$500 in it.
The actual and necessary expense for the administration of our county affairs are less proportionally than any other county in the state. Why then are county warrants so depreciated and the county so irremediably in debt? Is it by the malfeasance of our county officials. The present incumbent in the treasury department has allowed hundreds of citizens to remove from the county with a long list of unpaid taxes standing against them, without the least effort on his part to collect the same.
Mr. Zeiss removed to this county in 1873, and died Jan. 1st, 1880, leaving behind him the following list of delinquent taxes for his administrator to pay:
Tax for 1874—\$13.69 paid Jan. 14 1880.
" " 1875—19.31, " " "
" " 1876—16.42, " " "
" " 1877—50.10, " " "
" " 1878—38.79, " " "
" " 1879—140.29, paid Dec. 27 1880.
Total, \$278.33.
Is this a proper administration of county affairs? Does not the law require that he shall on the 1st of Nov. of each year proceed to collect by levy and sale all delinquent taxes? A certain firm began business in Red Cloud in 1878 and closed out their business during the present year. During all this time they never paid one cent of taxes. There is now standing against them on the delinquent tax list nearly \$300.00. The county treasurer permitted them to close out their business without any effort to secure said taxes.
These are but a few instances out of the long list that can be brought against him, and yet this man has the brazen effrontery to bolt a Republican convention and ask the intelligent voters of Webster county to permit him to mismanage their business for another term of two years.

Vote for a man for county Superintendent that you know is qualified to fill the position creditably. C. W. Springer is the man for the place vote for him.
Mr. O. R. Downs, a farmer of Walnut Creek precinct and an old settler of the county, announces his name as a candidate for county clerk this week. Mr. Downs will no doubt poll a respectable vote, especially in his own precinct.
ED. CHIEF—I wish to ask a few questions about our taxes. I find on the assessment roll of Red Cloud precinct a number of steers assessed at \$1000.00. These cattle were in the hands of Wm. N. Richardson when assessed but were assessed as the property of other parties. Now Mr. Jones informs us that this tax is uncollectable. Why is this, can the people of the county, generally, avoid the payment of their taxes or is it the favored few. Does the fact of Mr. Richardson being a partner of our county clerk invalidate these taxes?
In connection with Treasurer Jones' independent candidacy for re-election we have this to say.
In March 1879 it was provided by statute that "a county Treasurer shall be ineligible to office for more than two consecutive terms." (Laws of '79, Sec. 10, page 244.) This law took effect Sept. 1st 1879. Mr. Jones was in office at that time and finished a term in office after the passage of that law, and after it had taken effect. He is now holding another term which will end next January.
Now as Mr. Jones finished a term after the '79 law went into effect the law will regard it as a full term and not as part of a term and such we understand is the opinion of the attorney general of this state, consequently Mr. Jones having held under the law two consecutive terms he is ineligible to a third. While we are opposed to Mr. Jones' independent candidacy upon good principles, what we have said is not intended as a personal drive at that gentleman but to bring before the minds of the people the fact that they should not throw away their votes by casting them for a candidate who cannot serve, under the law, if elected.
If Mr. Jones is elected Treasurer, the only way to settle the matter is by the courts. This will involve the county in an expensive litigation, can we afford it?
Judge Weaver has already said that should such a case come before him he should hold the incumbent ineligible.
In case of his election his bond will be given as treasurer and not as an individual, and if he is declared by the courts ineligible his bondsmen can not be held as sureties. It having been so decided we understand by the supreme court of this state. Again, if actions are commenced, and we can foresee very well how and why they will be, the county will be compelled to defend them for the county's protection. Cast your votes for the regular nominee thus settling these matters at once without expense, and to the people's satisfaction.
The old shell-bark democrat who published a democratic newspaper in Iowa a few years ago, and who came to this county three years ago to publish a republican (?) paper in the interest of a court house ring, for \$500, refuses to support the republican ticket which was nominated at the county convention. Mr. Kenney was heard to say on the street that he would support a part of the independent candidates in preference to the regular nominees. A nice republican, he is. Nothing better, however, could be expected of a man who has tagged at the coat tails of John P. Bayha in the shams of Iowa democracy for twenty years and only turned to be a republican, and a tool, when he saw \$500 in it.
The actual and necessary expense for the administration of our county affairs are less proportionally than any other county in the state. Why then are county warrants so depreciated and the county so irremediably in debt? Is it by the malfeasance of our county officials. The present incumbent in the treasury department has allowed hundreds of citizens to remove from the county with a long list of unpaid taxes standing against them, without the least effort on his part to collect the same.
Mr. Zeiss removed to this county in 1873, and died Jan. 1st, 1880, leaving behind him the following list of delinquent taxes for his administrator to pay:
Tax for 1874—\$13.69 paid Jan. 14 1880.
" " 1875—19.31, " " "
" " 1876—16.42, " " "
" " 1877—50.10, " " "
" " 1878—38.79, " " "
" " 1879—140.29, paid Dec. 27 1880.
Total, \$278.33.
Is this a proper administration of county affairs? Does not the law require that he shall on the 1st of Nov. of each year proceed to collect by levy and sale all delinquent taxes? A certain firm began business in Red Cloud in 1878 and closed out their business during the present year. During all this time they never paid one cent of taxes. There is now standing against them on the delinquent tax list nearly \$300.00. The county treasurer permitted them to close out their business without any effort to secure said taxes.
These are but a few instances out of the long list that can be brought against him, and yet this man has the brazen effrontery to bolt a Republican convention and ask the intelligent voters of Webster county to permit him to mismanage their business for another term of two years.

Vote for a man for county Superintendent that you know is qualified to fill the position creditably. C. W. Springer is the man for the place vote for him.
Mr. O. R. Downs, a farmer of Walnut Creek precinct and an old settler of the county, announces his name as a candidate for county clerk this week. Mr. Downs will no doubt poll a respectable vote, especially in his own precinct.
ED. CHIEF—I wish to ask a few questions about our taxes. I find on the assessment roll of Red Cloud precinct a number of steers assessed at \$1000.00. These cattle were in the hands of Wm. N. Richardson when assessed but were assessed as the property of other parties. Now Mr. Jones informs us that this tax is uncollectable. Why is this, can the people of the county, generally, avoid the payment of their taxes or is it the favored few. Does the fact of Mr. Richardson being a partner of our county clerk invalidate these taxes?
In connection with Treasurer Jones' independent candidacy for re-election we have this to say.
In March 1879 it was provided by statute that "a county Treasurer shall be ineligible to office for more than two consecutive terms." (Laws of '79, Sec. 10, page 244.) This law took effect Sept. 1st 1879. Mr. Jones was in office at that time and finished a term in office after the passage of that law, and after it had taken effect. He is now holding another term which will end next January.
Now as Mr. Jones finished a term after the '79 law went into effect the law will regard it as a full term and not as part of a term and such we understand is the opinion of the attorney general of this state, consequently Mr. Jones having held under the law two consecutive terms he is ineligible to a third. While we are opposed to Mr. Jones' independent candidacy upon good principles, what we have said is not intended as a personal drive at that gentleman but to bring before the minds of the people the fact that they should not throw away their votes by casting them for a candidate who cannot serve, under the law, if elected.
If Mr. Jones is elected Treasurer, the only way to settle the matter is by the courts. This will involve the county in an expensive litigation, can we afford it?
Judge Weaver has already said that should such a case come before him he should hold the incumbent ineligible.
In case of his election his bond will be given as treasurer and not as an individual, and if he is declared by the courts ineligible his bondsmen can not be held as sureties. It having been so decided we understand by the supreme court of this state. Again, if actions are commenced, and we can foresee very well how and why they will be, the county will be compelled to defend them for the county's protection. Cast your votes for the regular nominee thus settling these matters at once without expense, and to the people's satisfaction.
The old shell-bark democrat who published a democratic newspaper in Iowa a few years ago, and who came to this county three years ago to publish a republican (?) paper in the interest of a court house ring, for \$500, refuses to support the republican ticket which was nominated at the county convention. Mr. Kenney was heard to say on the street that he would support a part of the independent candidates in preference to the regular nominees. A nice republican, he is. Nothing better, however, could be expected of a man who has tagged at the coat tails of John P. Bayha in the shams of Iowa democracy for twenty years and only turned to be a republican, and a tool, when he saw \$500 in it.
The actual and necessary expense for the administration of our county affairs are less proportionally than any other county in the state. Why then are county warrants so depreciated and the county so irremediably in debt? Is it by the malfeasance of our county officials. The present incumbent in the treasury department has allowed hundreds of citizens to remove from the county with a long list of unpaid taxes standing against them, without the least effort on his part to collect the same.
Mr. Zeiss removed to this county in 1873, and died Jan. 1st, 1880, leaving behind him the following list of delinquent taxes for his administrator to pay:
Tax for 1874—\$13.69 paid Jan. 14 1880.
" " 1875—19.31, " " "
" " 1876—16.42, " " "
" " 1877—50.10, " " "
" " 1878—38.79, " " "
" " 1879—140.29, paid Dec. 27 1880.
Total, \$278.33.
Is this a proper administration of county affairs? Does not the law require that he shall on the 1st of Nov. of each year proceed to collect by levy and sale all delinquent taxes? A certain firm began business in Red Cloud in 1878 and closed out their business during the present year. During all this time they never paid one cent of taxes. There is now standing against them on the delinquent tax list nearly \$300.00. The county treasurer permitted them to close out their business without any effort to secure said taxes.
These are but a few instances out of the long list that can be brought against him, and yet this man has the brazen effrontery to bolt a Republican convention and ask the intelligent voters of Webster county to permit him to mismanage their business for another term of two years.

Vote for a man for county Superintendent that you know is qualified to fill the position creditably. C. W. Springer is the man for the place vote for him.
Mr. O. R. Downs, a farmer of Walnut Creek precinct and an old settler of the county, announces his name as a candidate for county clerk this week. Mr. Downs will no doubt poll a respectable vote, especially in his own precinct.
ED. CHIEF—I wish to ask a few questions about our taxes. I find on the assessment roll of Red Cloud precinct a number of steers assessed at \$1000.00. These cattle were in the hands of Wm. N. Richardson when assessed but were assessed as the property of other parties. Now Mr. Jones informs us that this tax is uncollectable. Why is this, can the people of the county, generally, avoid the payment of their taxes or is it the favored few. Does the fact of Mr. Richardson being a partner of our county clerk invalidate these taxes?
In connection with Treasurer Jones' independent candidacy for re-election we have this to say.
In March 1879 it was provided by statute that "a county Treasurer shall be ineligible to office for more than two consecutive terms." (Laws of '79, Sec. 10, page 244.) This law took effect Sept. 1st 1879. Mr. Jones was in office at that time and finished a term in office after the passage of that law, and after it had taken effect. He is now holding another term which will end next January.
Now as Mr. Jones finished a term after the '79 law went into effect the law will regard it as a full term and not as part of a term and such we understand is the opinion of the attorney general of this state, consequently Mr. Jones having held under the law two consecutive terms he is ineligible to a third. While we are opposed to Mr. Jones' independent candidacy upon good principles, what we have said is not intended as a personal drive at that gentleman but to bring before the minds of the people the fact that they should not throw away their votes by casting them for a candidate who cannot serve, under the law, if elected.
If Mr. Jones is elected Treasurer, the only way to settle the matter is by the courts. This will involve the county in an expensive litigation, can we afford it?
Judge Weaver has already said that should such a case come before him he should hold the incumbent ineligible.
In case of his election his bond will be given as treasurer and not as an individual, and if he is declared by the courts ineligible his bondsmen can not be held as sureties. It having been so decided we understand by the supreme court of this state. Again, if actions are commenced, and we can foresee very well how and why they will be, the county will be compelled to defend them for the county's protection. Cast your votes for the regular nominee thus settling these matters at once without expense, and to the people's satisfaction.
The old shell-bark democrat who published a democratic newspaper in Iowa a few years ago, and who came to this county three years ago to publish a republican (?) paper in the interest of a court house ring, for \$500, refuses to support the republican ticket which was nominated at the county convention. Mr. Kenney was heard to say on the street that he would support a part of the independent candidates in preference to the regular nominees. A nice republican, he is. Nothing better, however, could be expected of a man who has tagged at the coat tails of John P. Bayha in the shams of Iowa democracy for twenty years and only turned to be a republican, and a tool, when he saw \$500 in it.
The actual and necessary expense for the administration of our county affairs are less proportionally than any other county in the state. Why then are county warrants so depreciated and the county so irremediably in debt? Is it by the malfeasance of our county officials. The present incumbent in the treasury department has allowed hundreds of citizens to remove from the county with a long list of unpaid taxes standing against them, without the least effort on