

# A PROTEST AND A WARNING.

## The Appeal of an Englishman to the Honor of his Countrymen. — A Nation Betrayed and Deceived.

By ARTHUR H. VOLLAND.

More than once has the Continental Times given space to expressions of opinion by Englishmen in direct and even intense opposition to the official attitude of the British Government. From the very beginning of the war such men as Lord Curzon, John Burns, Sir Roger Casement, E. D. Morel, C. H. Worman, Ramsey MacDonald and others whose courage may yet save their land from utter ruin and ignominy—as ten just men might have saved London—refused to be deceived by the self-righteous utterances of the Cabinet or the furious ravings of a commercialized press.

Only he who knows, as I do, the intensity of the storms of blind hatred and madness that swept and still sweep over the British and guiding of the British press can realize the courage that is necessary to make a stand for truth, reason and justice under such conditions. The valour of these men is heroic and will yet become historic. It is to their voices that England must listen to-day. For the Great Mistake of a Camarilla of four men—Grey, Asquith, Churchill and Lloyd George—has become the Great Crime, not only against Germany, but against all Europe, including their own country. It is for this reason, too, that I, as an American writer with a firm and implicit faith in the justice of Germany's cause, have not ceased to maintain that the British people, which in itself had cherished no ill-will against Germany, were as much the victims and the dupes of diplomatic trickery as the unfortunate Belgians. Their hate is something artificially engendered and their roots and rancour have their roots in an unfortunistic and wide spread ignorance of a great people. Their very virtues were cunningly played upon in order to enlist their sympathies in an evil cause. The greatest enemies of England to-day are those into whose dishonest and tricky hands she confided her destiny and prosperity and the lives of millions of her sons—and now these men—presuming and arrogantly—frantically—desperately to pile wrong upon wrong in order to hide the horrible initial blunder.

Surely if the will of the people be still a power in England, or if Parliament be still infused with the spirit of liberty and free speech, the hour has come for England to pause and ask: WHY and WHITHER?

The Continental Times is proud to publish the following brilliant and thoughtful article by an Englishman, Mr. Arthur H. Volland, and its sole regret is that a copy of it cannot be placed into the hands of every man and woman in the British Empire.—K. L. Oshelle.

German danger, which the Yellow Press had been for years engaged in incantating in the public nervous system,—the belief that the only possible salvation of Europe lay in the annihilation of the power of German militarism,—the conviction that the "overseas" nations of the "Entente" looked to the "Entente" for their help in the crisis, the tyranny of their German master, Sloggar, tank-masters, the naive belief that they were Crusaders marching to vindicate the honor of their country and the liberty of Europe; these were the main motives that induced the upper and middle classes of Great Britain to enter with an intoxicated enthusiasm into a struggle wotantly provoked by a score of professional and assistant diplomats who were guided solely by the flattering prospect of exterminating a dangerous competitor.

The "German danger" consisted in the determination of Germany to claim a "place in the sun,"—the right to develop her resources on the basis of that equality which has always been one of Great Britain's most cherished watchwords. The "danger" has never been a national one,—merely the risk of lower dividends and less profit incurred by a small minority of industrial capitalists whose monopoly of certain world-markets was threatened by the enormous progress made of late years by their German competitors. This is the real reason why the whole of Europe has been set on fire. The world-war, so far as Great Britain is concerned, is purely and simply a business war. The Yellow Press, which is entirely devoted to serving the interests of the business world, unfortunately for itself, let the cat out of the bag before the war was a week old. It instituted a campaign for the capture of German trade. The timely watchwords with which the British nation had been caajoled into approval of the action of the Government were for the moment forgotten. The naked commercialism of the enterprise was exposed to view. Unfortunately, however, the British public did not grasp the situation. The road leading to the shambles continued to be thronged by stalwart youths. Britain failed to comprehend that the millionaire had once more asserted himself. Was it in vain that the great, pure-minded Laureate cried:

"Tho' many a light shall darken, and many shall weep  
For those that are crushed in the  
clash of jarring claims,  
Yet God's just wrath shall be  
wreaked on a giant liar!"

Yes, for Britain today is in league with "the giant liar" and the "giant liar" is the spirit of Gain, marches relentlessly towards his goal over the dead bodies of the flower of British manhood.

"Made in Germany"—that is the key to the present struggle. The foreign policy of Great Britain has become the plaything of commercialism: national interests have been subordinated to the momentary interests of a Northcliffe or a Churchill. Remove that bauble!—this war has given British Parliamentarism the coup de grace and threatens to dig the grave of British democracy.

"Peace setting under her olive, and slurring the days gone by,  
When the poor are hoveled and hustled together, each sex like swine,  
When only the ledger lives, and when only not all men lie;  
Peace in her vineyard—yes!—but a company forges the wine!"

Was the consciousness of the rottenness of the social fabric in any way responsible for the final resolve of those who hesitated to plunge their country into the unfathomable abyss of a conflict as unreasonable as it was unprovoked? Were they conscious of the anomaly of the social order prevailing in Great Britain? And the alliance with the "giant liar" has it so infected the British character with the poison of political unscrupulousness that the glory of British manhood is driven to the shambles to conceal the festering sores of social injustices? Was this one of the subordinate aims pursued by those who resolved to plunge Europe into the horrors of a fratricidal war?

The history of the world-conflict provoked by the short-sighted rashness of an opportunist Cabinet has yet to be written but the questions I have asked must be answered now—before it is too late. The answers must be given by the only forum entitled thereto—the British public. If the answers are in the affirmative, the system responsible for the present critical position of the British people must be swept away, to make room for one which shall regard private interests as entirely subordinate to national welfare.

The British statesmen who allowed their country to be plunged into the whirlpool of a struggle the consequences of which—whether regarded from the moral or the political point of view—are incalculable, based their calculations on knowledge which has proved to be the most astounding ignorance. We have only to recall the hostful words—not distinguished by any particular evidence of good taste—uttered last August by the British Bonapartes—Mr. Winston Churchill, Mr. Lloyd George and Mr. Asquith—who furnished an admirable compass. We were told that the Russian "steam roller" would soon clear the way for the allies to dictate terms of peace to the vanquished Germans—in Berlin,—that the poor "ramshackle Empire" (Austria-Hungary) would soon fall to pieces,—that the non-German and non-Magyar elements of this

unfortunate monarchy were longing for the stroke of the Russian "steam-roller." There was a good deal more similar nonsense delivered by those spouters of a millimim in the reality of which they themselves believed least of all. To-day we see things in a different light. Those of us who know the truth could not help smiling at the criticism of ministerial incoherence, but the majority of the newspaper-reading public took it all as gospel. Even now probably very few realize that the failure of the non-Magyar nations of Hungary to echo the oft-repeated war cry of "nationality" demotes the collapse of the moral basis upon which the "Entente" Press laid the foundations of their political campaign. The British public is unaware that it has been victimized by—babblers!

"I myself have often babbled doubtless of a foolish past!"

Babble, babble: sur of old England may go down his babble at last. The Government did not comprehend the greatness of German organization; they did not understand the spirit of the German people. The same short-sightedness which underacted the strength of the German empire dimmed the vision and warped the judgment of those emissaries of British commercialism who had been entrusted with the work of sounding the possibilities of political trouble in the Dual Monarchy. They failed to fathom the depths of public feeling, and took the fables of political adventurers as representatives of universal ambitions. They refused to learn the lessons of history, and on their ignorance of the past and present built up fantastic visions of the future favourable to the ambitions of their political leader. Events have proved clearly enough the absurdity of the visions of that architect "Secutus Viator": the unswerving loyalty of the Croatian nation and the prowess of the Croatian soldiers has been one of the outstanding features of the present European conflict.

Hungary was chosen as the classical instance of a state in which the principle of "nationality" professed by the "Entente" (—on paper, of course)—is in reality each member of the "Entente" is a living denial of that principle! was consistently trampled under foot. Today it is Hungary that the "Entente" appeals for aid, while admitting—that everybody knows—that a strong Hungary is a European necessity. The appeal certainly involves a suggestion that Hungary should enter the path reserved in history for the one country whose code of political morality is in harmony with the secret aims of the Triple Entente. But the appeal has been made, and that fact of itself is sufficient to discount at its proper value the political programme with the aid of which the "Entente" endeavoured to blind the neutral world as to its true object.

We were told that the Central Powers were doomed to starvation,—that the iron ring with which they were encircled would soon bring these famished nations to their knees. The moral objection that such a policy involved, the suffering of innocent civilians was waived aside with a sophistry as damaging to the prestige of Britain as it is characteristic of the politician who invented it. And the result? Today food is cheaper in Berlin than it is in London; while the inhabitants of Austria and Hungary have not only sufficient for their own needs, but are able to give over a million Russian prisoners of war the time of their lives. The policy of starvation—based upon an entirely one-sided and immoral interpretation of the code of international political morality—has proved as complete and lamentable a failure as the attack on the Dardanelles. Curiously enough, both feaks of arbitrary diktatium can boast the same parentage; and this may prove the salvation of Britain's prestige.

The political disintegration of the Dual Monarchy having failed to come off as prophesied by the self-appointed arbiters of British public opinion,—the "walk-over" to Constantinople having cost Britain more men, more money and more loss of prestige than the "giant liar" will be worth as a commercial effect— even a hundred years hence,—the "silver bullets" threatening to become articles of import,—the "Entente" (for this step Great Britain will have to bear the financial responsibility before the tribunal of history) has recourse to—dishonour! True, the dishonour is that of a third party; but you cannot mix with thieves without incurring the risks usually run by accomplices,—especially if the gang is run on funds supplied by you. Italy's part in the present war is that of the assassin hired to strike from behind; and nothing will ever cleanse the good name of Britain from the stain imparted to it by this association with a nation devoid of the very elements of political decency.

"The sanctity of treaties"? Why the "Entente" has shown that the sole object of an international treaty is to enable easy-going members of an alliance to intrigue with impunity with the enemy! In their despair at the utter failure of their military plans, and not possessed of the moral courage to confess that failure, the political bankrupts of Europe had recourse to the drowning man's straw—the debris of infamy and eternal disgrace floating on the ocean of moral and political degeneration.

This "forlorn hope" of those political desperados who have not hesitated to bring Great Britain to the brink of political and moral bankruptcy, reminds us of an in-

vention that arose in origin to the common situation created by the divergence between the proposed and the real aims of the Triple Entente,—the establishment of a "neutral standard of political morality." La generalisato "neutrality" is the term used by the "Entente" in its moral campaign, it is the statement of the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of the world. The question of whether the man who had an scrapie about plunging Europe into the horrors of a devastating war have the moral courage to open the way to a lasting peace. It is the moral position of the Triple Entente, as it stands in the eyes of