## The New York World's "Lesson"

McClellan in 1864 polled 433,568 conjecture. As The World intimated more votes than Douglas in 1860. Seymour in 1868 polled 900,890 more votes than McClellan in 1864. Greeley in 1872 polled 124,464 more votes than Seymour in 1868.
Tilden in 1876 polled $1,450,806$ more votes than Greeley in 1872.
Hancock in 1880 polled 157,150 more votes than Tilden in 1876. Cleveland in 1884 polled 468,972 more votes than Hancock in 1880 . votes than Hancock in 1880.
Cleveland in 1888 polled 627,216 more votes than C:eveland in 1884 . more votes than C:eveland in 1884 .
Cleyeland in 1892 polled 18,685 more Cleveland in 1892 polled 18,68
votes than Cleveland in 1888.
votes than Cleveland in 1888 .
Bryan in 1896 polled 946,007 more Bryan in 1896 polled 946,007
yotes than Cleveland in 1892 . Bryan in 1900 polled 144,792 fewer votes than Bryan in 1896.
Parker in 1904 polled about 1,250 , 000 fewer yotes than Bryan in 1900 nearly $1,500,000$ fewer votes than Bryan in 1896, about 437,000 fewer votes than Cleveland in 1892, about 418,000 fewer votes than Cleveland in 1888, and only 200,000 more votes than Cleveland in 1884.
A paltry 200,000 votes mark twenty years of Democratic progress, while fioosevelf in 1904 polled $2,379,000$ more votes than Blaine in ,1884s,
From 1860 to 1900 the Democratic party gained votes, in everery national election. In 1876, in 1884, in 1888 and in 1892 it polled a piurality of the popular vote, and in 1880 Hancock fel only 7,000 behind Garfield. The ${ }^{22}$ Republican party may have lositcone eleetion byrefasing to yield to its Populistic elementsers The Democratic party by yielding to these elements has lost three shicessive elections, and finds itself, in the year o its alleged re-organization with the worst beaten candidate in its history sible for this disaster is a matter o
conjecture, As The World intimated more than once, the Judge as a can-
didate for President left something didate for President left something
to be desired. In spite of any clamor against a third term, it is apparent that Mr . Cleveland would have made a much better run. He would have polled thousands of independent and Republican votes in the east which Judge Parker did not get, and he could hardly have made a worse showing in the west.
In addition to Judge Parker's own limitations, the character of some of his advisers made a heavy load of excess baggage for a tired and demoralized party to carry, but beyond all this was the inexorable law of political retribution.
The slump really began in 1896, when the Democratic party openly surrendered to the Silver Republicans, the Populists and the advocates of wild-cat money in general. By their temporary help Bryan succeeded in sustaining the record of thirty-two years by polling more votes than his predecessor, but his gain was hardly $1,000,000$ in an unprecedented total vote, while McKiniley polled nearly $2,000,000$ more than Harrison This relative docise relative decine became real in 1900, when the Democratic vote showed an 1860. The tragical route of 1904 since 1860. The tragical route of 1904 folowed as a matter of course.
For years the Democratic party has been playing with rotten moneyi as a child plays with matches, Until 1896 its leaders had been able to hold the party somewhat in check whenever the issue became acute, but when the Chicago convention stampeded to free silver the way was opened for the avalanche of last November.
By refusing to indorse unequivocally the gold standard in the St. Louis
enough to allenate its Populistic allies, but not far enough to convince independents of its returning sanity The economic sins of a whole generaion of greenbackers and free-silverites have been visited upon Judge Parker, Strangely enough, with this lesson written so plainly that its meaning is unmistakable, there are Democrats who listen seriously to the demagogic counsel that the only way the Democratic party can win is to become crazier than it ever was before.-The New York World.

## Burn's on Labor and Driak

Mr. John Burnes, M. P., addressed large meeting in Manchester on "Labor and Drink." The lecture was one of the Lees and Roper memorial lectures.
ectures.
Mr. Burns said that the drinking habits of the poorer classes had conributed to their political dependence, industrial bondage, civic inferiority, and domestic mistry. As one bred to the matter as a county councillor and a legislator, he was convinced on the subject. He described the public house as the ante-chamber of the workhouse, the chapel of ease to the asylum, the rendezvous forcthe gambler, and the gathering groutid for the goal. There was no comparison in drink. Dealing with the 'figures of the money, spent in drink, rwhile deploring the immense amaunt spent in every working family, he answered recent critic by pointing out that wo-thirds of the drink bill was spent only half the amount population, and only half the amount per Yhead was taken by the working classes as was taken by the classes above them. But whether the expenditure swas four pounds per family or fifteen pounds per family, working people could not afford it As to drink and trade, he said that they were promised from 2 to would tax bread from abroad. Why
not save 5 s. per week by eer. Our expenditure handieapped of In the trade battle with Germped us America, because our larger consumd tion prevented us from spending pmuch money per head in other dis tions, and per head
He concluded a vigorons education. against drink as the source of all evils by denying that poverty caused drink as much as drink caused erty, and by declaring himself dovagainst municipalization as a remedy It would cause drinking to become civil virtue, and to be regarded as local patriotism.-London Times.

## Split Electoral Votes

The national election was so onesided this year that much attention has been attracted to the fact that in one state the electoral vote was split between Roosevelt and Parker The incident has been discussed al most as a novelty, and yet it is in fact a common occurence for a state to have its electoral vote divided. One newspaper writer who has been looking the matter up, at least so far as recent elections are concerned, has found that in 1896 Bryan was successful in securing one electoral vote out of the Kentucky landslide, and also ceived one from California.

But in 1892 split electoral delegationsirwere quite common, five states being found on common, five state oeing round on the electoral fence onio gave one vote fop cleveland and twenty-two for Harrison. California gavenelght for Cleveland and one for Harrison. Michigan gave five fo Cleveland and nite for Harrison. Tk Oriegben gave three for Harrison and one for the popalist presideptial candidate, General JV. B. Weaver of Iotud.ाe North Dakota's vote was evenigutdivided $5: 3$ among the thre tickéts, one each for Cleveland, Har rison and Weaver.
The fact that states so frequently split their electoral delegations seems
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