

BROKEN REST.

A Back That Aches All Day Disturbs Sleep at Night.

Thomas N. McCullough, 231 So. Weber St., Colorado Springs, Colo. says: "Attacks of backache and kidney trouble began to come on me, lasting often for three weeks at a time, and I would be unable to turn in bed. The urine was much discolored, containing sediment, and my rest was broken at night. Relief from these troubles came soon after I started taking Doan's Kidney Pills, and continued treatment entirely freed me from kidney trouble. The cure has been permanent."

Sold by all dealers. 50 cents a box. Foster-Milburn Co., Buffalo, N. Y.

BRINGING HIM OUT.



Asker—How is it you never speak to Duffy? I'm sure he's a diamond in the rough.

Miss Trimm—Yes; I think so, too—that's why I'm cutting him.

IN AGONY WITH ECZEMA.

Whole Body a Mass of Raw, Bleeding, Torturing Humors—Hoped Death Would End Fearful Suffering.

In Despair; Cured by Cuticura.

"Words cannot describe the terrible eczema I suffered with. It broke out on my head and kept spreading until it covered my whole body. I was almost a solid mass of sores from head to foot. I looked more like a piece of raw beef than a human being. The pain and agony endured seemed more than I could bear. Blood and pus oozed from the great sore on my scalp, from under my finger nails, and nearly all over my body. My ears were so crusted and swollen I was afraid they would break off. Every hair in my head fell out. I could not sit down, for my clothes would stick to the raw and bleeding flesh, making me cry out from the pain. My family doctor did all he could, but I got worse and worse. My condition was awful. I did not think I could live, and wanted death to come and end my frightful sufferings. In this condition my mother-in-law begged me to try the Cuticura Remedies. I said I would, but had no hope of recovery. But oh, what blessed relief I experienced after applying Cuticura Ointment. It cooled the bleeding and itching flesh and brought me the first real sleep I had had in weeks. It was as grateful as ice to a burning tongue. I would bathe with warm water and Cuticura Soap, then apply the Ointment freely. I also took Cuticura Resolvent for the blood. In a short time the sores stopped running, the flesh began to heal, and I knew I was getting well again. Then the hair on my head began to grow, and in a short time I was completely cured. I wish I could tell everybody who has eczema to use Cuticura. Mrs. Wm. Hunt, 135 Thomas St., Newark, N. J., Sept. 28, 1905."

The announcement that Austria had decided to pay the sum of \$10,000,000 or thereabouts to Turkey as compensation, whatever they were in theory, Bosnia and Herzegovina were provinces of Austria-Hungary, and Bulgaria was an independent principality. Undoubtedly the irresistible racial ambitions of the peoples, referred to in a previous paragraph, are the most potent influences that have been at work. These instincts are finding frank and open expression at this juncture.

Bulgaria has been a powerful empire in the past, possessing something of a glorious history. Many of the greatest victories and conquests of Turkey were due to the devoted and reckless courage of her Bulgarian soldiers, worthy descendants of Alexander the Great, conquered the world. The revolt of the Bulgarians and the Bulgarian massacres following them, brought on the last Russo-Turkish war, which was closed provisionally by the treaty of San Stefano. That treaty created Greater Bulgaria, to include Rumania and Macedonia, those parts of Turkey in which Bulgarians formed the majority of the inhabitants. The treaty of San Stefano was revised by the powers at the congress of Berlin and Bulgaria had the two sub-territories taken away from her. She regained Rumania, but not Macedonia. The Bulgarians are the strongest element among the inhabitants of Macedonia, and Bulgaria has been intent upon regaining the province. The recent Turkish revolution, and especially the intention of the Young Turk party to immediately reform and strengthen the neglected army, appeared to stimulate Bulgaria to action.

Great Powers Involved. Bulgaria has two mighty neighbors, and to conquer and hold Macedonia she had to have the backing of a first-class power. For that support she turned to Austria-Hungary, having lost the support of Russia when she rejected Russia's tutelage, refused to Russianize her army and to convert Bulgaria into a Russian protectorate. There are those who believe that a Turko-Bulgarian quarrel would be only one act in a great European struggle for the control of Constantinople. It is for Constantinople that Russia has fought nearly all her greatest wars since the time of Peter the Great and Catherine II, and some critics fore-see a combination of Russia and Turkey, supported by Great Britain, against Bulgarian aggression, which they say, would be supported by Austria and Germany. Great Britain is concerned for her control of the Bosphorus and the Dardanelles, and as well as her border interests as well as her entente with Great Britain to consider.

The sovereign houses of the Balkan states have furnished the melodrama, if not the tragedy, of present-day history. The most powerful and most universally respected of the Balkan rulers to-day is King Charles of Rumania. He is nearly 70 years old, and in poor health. In his 40 years' reign he has developed the country into the most prosperous and powerful of the Balkan states. His wife is "Carmen Sylva." The melodrama of this royal house was furnished by Prince Couza the present king's predecessor, whose profligacies shocked his people so much that they compelled him to abdicate. King Charles was a German prince and did not take the title of king until after the Russo-Turkish war of 1877, when he aided the Muscovite forces.

Three Monarchs Interested. Greece comes into the present mix-up on account of her Macedonian interests, and also because the declara-

tion of Cretan independence gave annoyance to Turkey at a very critical moment. King George is one of the most interesting figures of Southern Europe. His government has been wise and sober, and he and Queen Olga are immensely popular.

King Peter of Serbia has been called "the boycotted monarch," because, with the exception of Emperor Francis Joseph, all the rulers of Europe have refused to visit him or to accept visits from him. This because King Peter has refused to dissociate himself from the assassin of King Alexander and Queen Draga. The assassins, indeed, in spite of the protests of foreign powers, are among those who still hold high office in the kingdom, a fact that lends some color to the rumor that the present king was privy to the horrible crime of the palace. Nevertheless, Serbia has had the support of the western powers in her claims for compensation from Austria. The Crown Prince George is a wild, harum-scarum youth, whose chief faculty is that of getting into scrapes which distress the Serbian authorities.

Prince Nicholas of Montenegro succeeded to the throne in 1860, after the murder of Danilo I. His court is

with Austria's recent concession to Turkey.

There are critics who trace the origin of the present European trouble mainly to the aspirations of Francis Joseph's heir, the Archduke Franz Ferdinand, whose aim is believed to be the partly Federalist—the change of the dual monarchy into a federal system, of which a Slav state will form an important constituent—partly Clerical at home and abroad. This would mean pushing Austria's rule forward into the Balkans in the spirit and with the aim of a twentieth-century Catholic crusade. Other critics conclude that while the act of Bulgaria in putting her foot through the treaty of Berlin when she declared her independence in October last and Austria's formal incorporation of Bosnia and Herzegovina, may have been dramatic, nobody in Europe considered Bulgaria or Bosnia and Herzegovina parts of the Turkish empire except in name. Austria created order in the two provinces and administered and civilized them for 30 years and probably no historian ever will say that Europe contemplated their being handed back to Turkey without protest. For all practical pur-

poses, whatever they were in theory, Bosnia and Herzegovina were provinces of Austria-Hungary, and Bulgaria was an independent principality. Undoubtedly the irresistible racial ambitions of the peoples, referred to in a previous paragraph, are the most potent influences that have been at work. These instincts are finding frank and open expression at this juncture.

Bulgaria has been a powerful empire in the past, possessing something of a glorious history. Many of the greatest victories and conquests of Turkey were due to the devoted and reckless courage of her Bulgarian soldiers, worthy descendants of Alexander the Great, conquered the world. The revolt of the Bulgarians and the Bulgarian massacres following them, brought on the last Russo-Turkish war, which was closed provisionally by the treaty of San Stefano. That treaty created Greater Bulgaria, to include Rumania and Macedonia, those parts of Turkey in which Bulgarians formed the majority of the inhabitants. The treaty of San Stefano was revised by the powers at the congress of Berlin and Bulgaria had the two sub-territories taken away from her. She regained Rumania, but not Macedonia. The Bulgarians are the strongest element among the inhabitants of Macedonia, and Bulgaria has been intent upon regaining the province. The recent Turkish revolution, and especially the intention of the Young Turk party to immediately reform and strengthen the neglected army, appeared to stimulate Bulgaria to action.

Great Powers Involved. Bulgaria has two mighty neighbors, and to conquer and hold Macedonia she had to have the backing of a first-class power. For that support she turned to Austria-Hungary, having lost the support of Russia when she rejected Russia's tutelage, refused to Russianize her army and to convert Bulgaria into a Russian protectorate. There are those who believe that a Turko-Bulgarian quarrel would be only one act in a great European struggle for the control of Constantinople. It is for Constantinople that Russia has fought nearly all her greatest wars since the time of Peter the Great and Catherine II, and some critics fore-see a combination of Russia and Turkey, supported by Great Britain, against Bulgarian aggression, which they say, would be supported by Austria and Germany. Great Britain is concerned for her control of the Bosphorus and the Dardanelles, and as well as her border interests as well as her entente with Great Britain to consider.

The sovereign houses of the Balkan states have furnished the melodrama, if not the tragedy, of present-day history. The most powerful and most universally respected of the Balkan rulers to-day is King Charles of Rumania. He is nearly 70 years old, and in poor health. In his 40 years' reign he has developed the country into the most prosperous and powerful of the Balkan states. His wife is "Carmen Sylva." The melodrama of this royal house was furnished by Prince Couza the present king's predecessor, whose profligacies shocked his people so much that they compelled him to abdicate. King Charles was a German prince and did not take the title of king until after the Russo-Turkish war of 1877, when he aided the Muscovite forces.

Three Monarchs Interested. Greece comes into the present mix-up on account of her Macedonian interests, and also because the declara-

tion of Cretan independence gave annoyance to Turkey at a very critical moment. King George is one of the most interesting figures of Southern Europe. His government has been wise and sober, and he and Queen Olga are immensely popular.

King Peter of Serbia has been called "the boycotted monarch," because, with the exception of Emperor Francis Joseph, all the rulers of Europe have refused to visit him or to accept visits from him. This because King Peter has refused to dissociate himself from the assassin of King Alexander and Queen Draga. The assassins, indeed, in spite of the protests of foreign powers, are among those who still hold high office in the kingdom, a fact that lends some color to the rumor that the present king was privy to the horrible crime of the palace. Nevertheless, Serbia has had the support of the western powers in her claims for compensation from Austria. The Crown Prince George is a wild, harum-scarum youth, whose chief faculty is that of getting into scrapes which distress the Serbian authorities.

Prince Nicholas of Montenegro succeeded to the throne in 1860, after the murder of Danilo I. His court is

with Austria's recent concession to Turkey.

There are critics who trace the origin of the present European trouble mainly to the aspirations of Francis Joseph's heir, the Archduke Franz Ferdinand, whose aim is believed to be the partly Federalist—the change of the dual monarchy into a federal system, of which a Slav state will form an important constituent—partly Clerical at home and abroad. This would mean pushing Austria's rule forward into the Balkans in the spirit and with the aim of a twentieth-century Catholic crusade. Other critics conclude that while the act of Bulgaria in putting her foot through the treaty of Berlin when she declared her independence in October last and Austria's formal incorporation of Bosnia and Herzegovina, may have been dramatic, nobody in Europe considered Bulgaria or Bosnia and Herzegovina parts of the Turkish empire except in name. Austria created order in the two provinces and administered and civilized them for 30 years and probably no historian ever will say that Europe contemplated their being handed back to Turkey without protest. For all practical pur-

poses, whatever they were in theory, Bosnia and Herzegovina were provinces of Austria-Hungary, and Bulgaria was an independent principality. Undoubtedly the irresistible racial ambitions of the peoples, referred to in a previous paragraph, are the most potent influences that have been at work. These instincts are finding frank and open expression at this juncture.

Bulgaria has been a powerful empire in the past, possessing something of a glorious history. Many of the greatest victories and conquests of Turkey were due to the devoted and reckless courage of her Bulgarian soldiers, worthy descendants of Alexander the Great, conquered the world. The revolt of the Bulgarians and the Bulgarian massacres following them, brought on the last Russo-Turkish war, which was closed provisionally by the treaty of San Stefano. That treaty created Greater Bulgaria, to include Rumania and Macedonia, those parts of Turkey in which Bulgarians formed the majority of the inhabitants. The treaty of San Stefano was revised by the powers at the congress of Berlin and Bulgaria had the two sub-territories taken away from her. She regained Rumania, but not Macedonia. The Bulgarians are the strongest element among the inhabitants of Macedonia, and Bulgaria has been intent upon regaining the province. The recent Turkish revolution, and especially the intention of the Young Turk party to immediately reform and strengthen the neglected army, appeared to stimulate Bulgaria to action.

Great Powers Involved. Bulgaria has two mighty neighbors, and to conquer and hold Macedonia she had to have the backing of a first-class power. For that support she turned to Austria-Hungary, having lost the support of Russia when she rejected Russia's tutelage, refused to Russianize her army and to convert Bulgaria into a Russian protectorate. There are those who believe that a Turko-Bulgarian quarrel would be only one act in a great European struggle for the control of Constantinople. It is for Constantinople that Russia has fought nearly all her greatest wars since the time of Peter the Great and Catherine II, and some critics fore-see a combination of Russia and Turkey, supported by Great Britain, against Bulgarian aggression, which they say, would be supported by Austria and Germany. Great Britain is concerned for her control of the Bosphorus and the Dardanelles, and as well as her border interests as well as her entente with Great Britain to consider.

The sovereign houses of the Balkan states have furnished the melodrama, if not the tragedy, of present-day history. The most powerful and most universally respected of the Balkan rulers to-day is King Charles of Rumania. He is nearly 70 years old, and in poor health. In his 40 years' reign he has developed the country into the most prosperous and powerful of the Balkan states. His wife is "Carmen Sylva." The melodrama of this royal house was furnished by Prince Couza the present king's predecessor, whose profligacies shocked his people so much that they compelled him to abdicate. King Charles was a German prince and did not take the title of king until after the Russo-Turkish war of 1877, when he aided the Muscovite forces.

Three Monarchs Interested. Greece comes into the present mix-up on account of her Macedonian interests, and also because the declara-

tion of Cretan independence gave annoyance to Turkey at a very critical moment. King George is one of the most interesting figures of Southern Europe. His government has been wise and sober, and he and Queen Olga are immensely popular.

King Peter of Serbia has been called "the boycotted monarch," because, with the exception of Emperor Francis Joseph, all the rulers of Europe have refused to visit him or to accept visits from him. This because King Peter has refused to dissociate himself from the assassin of King Alexander and Queen Draga. The assassins, indeed, in spite of the protests of foreign powers, are among those who still hold high office in the kingdom, a fact that lends some color to the rumor that the present king was privy to the horrible crime of the palace. Nevertheless, Serbia has had the support of the western powers in her claims for compensation from Austria. The Crown Prince George is a wild, harum-scarum youth, whose chief faculty is that of getting into scrapes which distress the Serbian authorities.

Prince Nicholas of Montenegro succeeded to the throne in 1860, after the murder of Danilo I. His court is

with Austria's recent concession to Turkey.

There are critics who trace the origin of the present European trouble mainly to the aspirations of Francis Joseph's heir, the Archduke Franz Ferdinand, whose aim is believed to be the partly Federalist—the change of the dual monarchy into a federal system, of which a Slav state will form an important constituent—partly Clerical at home and abroad. This would mean pushing Austria's rule forward into the Balkans in the spirit and with the aim of a twentieth-century Catholic crusade. Other critics conclude that while the act of Bulgaria in putting her foot through the treaty of Berlin when she declared her independence in October last and Austria's formal incorporation of Bosnia and Herzegovina, may have been dramatic, nobody in Europe considered Bulgaria or Bosnia and Herzegovina parts of the Turkish empire except in name. Austria created order in the two provinces and administered and civilized them for 30 years and probably no historian ever will say that Europe contemplated their being handed back to Turkey without protest. For all practical pur-

poses, whatever they were in theory, Bosnia and Herzegovina were provinces of Austria-Hungary, and Bulgaria was an independent principality. Undoubtedly the irresistible racial ambitions of the peoples, referred to in a previous paragraph, are the most potent influences that have been at work. These instincts are finding frank and open expression at this juncture.

Bulgaria has been a powerful empire in the past, possessing something of a glorious history. Many of the greatest victories and conquests of Turkey were due to the devoted and reckless courage of her Bulgarian soldiers, worthy descendants of Alexander the Great, conquered the world. The revolt of the Bulgarians and the Bulgarian massacres following them, brought on the last Russo-Turkish war, which was closed provisionally by the treaty of San Stefano. That treaty created Greater Bulgaria, to include Rumania and Macedonia, those parts of Turkey in which Bulgarians formed the majority of the inhabitants. The treaty of San Stefano was revised by the powers at the congress of Berlin and Bulgaria had the two sub-territories taken away from her. She regained Rumania, but not Macedonia. The Bulgarians are the strongest element among the inhabitants of Macedonia, and Bulgaria has been intent upon regaining the province. The recent Turkish revolution, and especially the intention of the Young Turk party to immediately reform and strengthen the neglected army, appeared to stimulate Bulgaria to action.

Great Powers Involved. Bulgaria has two mighty neighbors, and to conquer and hold Macedonia she had to have the backing of a first-class power. For that support she turned to Austria-Hungary, having lost the support of Russia when she rejected Russia's tutelage, refused to Russianize her army and to convert Bulgaria into a Russian protectorate. There are those who believe that a Turko-Bulgarian quarrel would be only one act in a great European struggle for the control of Constantinople. It is for Constantinople that Russia has fought nearly all her greatest wars since the time of Peter the Great and Catherine II, and some critics fore-see a combination of Russia and Turkey, supported by Great Britain, against Bulgarian aggression, which they say, would be supported by Austria and Germany. Great Britain is concerned for her control of the Bosphorus and the Dardanelles, and as well as her border interests as well as her entente with Great Britain to consider.

The sovereign houses of the Balkan states have furnished the melodrama, if not the tragedy, of present-day history. The most powerful and most universally respected of the Balkan rulers to-day is King Charles of Rumania. He is nearly 70 years old, and in poor health. In his 40 years' reign he has developed the country into the most prosperous and powerful of the Balkan states. His wife is "Carmen Sylva." The melodrama of this royal house was furnished by Prince Couza the present king's predecessor, whose profligacies shocked his people so much that they compelled him to abdicate. King Charles was a German prince and did not take the title of king until after the Russo-Turkish war of 1877, when he aided the Muscovite forces.

Three Monarchs Interested. Greece comes into the present mix-up on account of her Macedonian interests, and also because the declara-

tion of Cretan independence gave annoyance to Turkey at a very critical moment. King George is one of the most interesting figures of Southern Europe. His government has been wise and sober, and he and Queen Olga are immensely popular.

King Peter of Serbia has been called "the boycotted monarch," because, with the exception of Emperor Francis Joseph, all the rulers of Europe have refused to visit him or to accept visits from him. This because King Peter has refused to dissociate himself from the assassin of King Alexander and Queen Draga. The assassins, indeed, in spite of the protests of foreign powers, are among those who still hold high office in the kingdom, a fact that lends some color to the rumor that the present king was privy to the horrible crime of the palace. Nevertheless, Serbia has had the support of the western powers in her claims for compensation from Austria. The Crown Prince George is a wild, harum-scarum youth, whose chief faculty is that of getting into scrapes which distress the Serbian authorities.

Prince Nicholas of Montenegro succeeded to the throne in 1860, after the murder of Danilo I. His court is

with Austria's recent concession to Turkey.

There are critics who trace the origin of the present European trouble mainly to the aspirations of Francis Joseph's heir, the Archduke Franz Ferdinand, whose aim is believed to be the partly Federalist—the change of the dual monarchy into a federal system, of which a Slav state will form an important constituent—partly Clerical at home and abroad. This would mean pushing Austria's rule forward into the Balkans in the spirit and with the aim of a twentieth-century Catholic crusade. Other critics conclude that while the act of Bulgaria in putting her foot through the treaty of Berlin when she declared her independence in October last and Austria's formal incorporation of Bosnia and Herzegovina, may have been dramatic, nobody in Europe considered Bulgaria or Bosnia and Herzegovina parts of the Turkish empire except in name. Austria created order in the two provinces and administered and civilized them for 30 years and probably no historian ever will say that Europe contemplated their being handed back to Turkey without protest. For all practical pur-

poses, whatever they were in theory, Bosnia and Herzegovina were provinces of Austria-Hungary, and Bulgaria was an independent principality. Undoubtedly the irresistible racial ambitions of the peoples, referred to in a previous paragraph, are the most potent influences that have been at work. These instincts are finding frank and open expression at this juncture.

Bulgaria has been a powerful empire in the past, possessing something of a glorious history. Many of the greatest victories and conquests of Turkey were due to the devoted and reckless courage of her Bulgarian soldiers, worthy descendants of Alexander the Great, conquered the world. The revolt of the Bulgarians and the Bulgarian massacres following them, brought on the last Russo-Turkish war, which was closed provisionally by the treaty of San Stefano. That treaty created Greater Bulgaria, to include Rumania and Macedonia, those parts of Turkey in which Bulgarians formed the majority of the inhabitants. The treaty of San Stefano was revised by the powers at the congress of Berlin and Bulgaria had the two sub-territories taken away from her. She regained Rumania, but not Macedonia. The Bulgarians are the strongest element among the inhabitants of Macedonia, and Bulgaria has been intent upon regaining the province. The recent Turkish revolution, and especially the intention of the Young Turk party to immediately reform and strengthen the neglected army, appeared to stimulate Bulgaria to action.

Great Powers Involved. Bulgaria has two mighty neighbors, and to conquer and hold Macedonia she had to have the backing of a first-class power. For that support she turned to Austria-Hungary, having lost the support of Russia when she rejected Russia's tutelage, refused to Russianize her army and to convert Bulgaria into a Russian protectorate. There are those who believe that a Turko-Bulgarian quarrel would be only one act in a great European struggle for the control of Constantinople. It is for Constantinople that Russia has fought nearly all her greatest wars since the time of Peter the Great and Catherine II, and some critics fore-see a combination of Russia and Turkey, supported by Great Britain, against Bulgarian aggression, which they say, would be supported by Austria and Germany. Great Britain is concerned for her control of the Bosphorus and the Dardanelles, and as well as her border interests as well as her entente with Great Britain to consider.

The sovereign houses of the Balkan states have furnished the melodrama, if not the tragedy, of present-day history. The most powerful and most universally respected of the Balkan rulers to-day is King Charles of Rumania. He is nearly 70 years old, and in poor health. In his 40 years' reign he has developed the country into the most prosperous and powerful of the Balkan states. His wife is "Carmen Sylva." The melodrama of this royal house was furnished by Prince Couza the present king's predecessor, whose profligacies shocked his people so much that they compelled him to abdicate. King Charles was a German prince and did not take the title of king until after the Russo-Turkish war of 1877, when he aided the Muscovite forces.

Three Monarchs Interested. Greece comes into the present mix-up on account of her Macedonian interests, and also because the declara-

tion of Cretan independence gave annoyance to Turkey at a very critical moment. King George is one of the most interesting figures of Southern Europe. His government has been wise and sober, and he and Queen Olga are immensely popular.

King Peter of Serbia has been called "the boycotted monarch," because, with the exception of Emperor Francis Joseph, all the rulers of Europe have refused to visit him or to accept visits from him. This because King Peter has refused to dissociate himself from the assassin of King Alexander and Queen Draga. The assassins, indeed, in spite of the protests of foreign powers, are among those who still hold high office in the kingdom, a fact that lends some color to the rumor that the present king was privy to the horrible crime of the palace. Nevertheless, Serbia has had the support of the western powers in her claims for compensation from Austria. The Crown Prince George is a wild, harum-scarum youth, whose chief faculty is that of getting into scrapes which distress the Serbian authorities.

Prince Nicholas of Montenegro succeeded to the throne in 1860, after the murder of Danilo I. His court is

with Austria's recent concession to Turkey.

There are critics who trace the origin of the present European trouble mainly to the aspirations of Francis Joseph's heir, the Archduke Franz Ferdinand, whose aim is believed to be the partly Federalist—the change of the dual monarchy into a federal system, of which a Slav state will form an important constituent—partly Clerical at home and abroad. This would mean pushing Austria's rule forward into the Balkans in the spirit and with the aim of a twentieth-century Catholic crusade. Other critics conclude that while the act of Bulgaria in putting her foot through the treaty of Berlin when she declared her independence in October last and Austria's formal incorporation of Bosnia and Herzegovina, may have been dramatic, nobody in Europe considered Bulgaria or Bosnia and Herzegovina parts of the Turkish empire except in name. Austria created order in the two provinces and administered and civilized them for 30 years and probably no historian ever will say that Europe contemplated their being handed back to Turkey without protest. For all practical pur-

poses, whatever they were in theory, Bosnia and Herzegovina were provinces of Austria-Hungary, and Bulgaria was an independent principality. Undoubtedly the irresistible racial ambitions of the peoples, referred to in a previous paragraph, are the most potent influences that have been at work. These instincts are finding frank and open expression at this juncture.

Bulgaria has been a powerful empire in the past, possessing something of a glorious history. Many of the greatest victories and conquests of Turkey were due to the devoted and reckless courage of her Bulgarian soldiers, worthy descendants of Alexander the Great, conquered the world. The revolt of the Bulgarians and the Bulgarian massacres following them, brought on the last Russo-Turkish war, which was closed provisionally by the treaty of San Stefano. That treaty created Greater Bulgaria, to include Rumania and Macedonia, those parts of Turkey in which Bulgarians formed the majority of the inhabitants. The treaty of San Stefano was revised by the powers at the congress of Berlin and Bulgaria had the two sub-territories taken away from her. She regained Rumania, but not Macedonia. The Bulgarians are the strongest element among the inhabitants of Macedonia, and Bulgaria has been intent upon regaining the province. The recent Turkish revolution, and especially the intention of the Young Turk party to immediately reform and strengthen the neglected army, appeared to stimulate Bulgaria to action.

Great Powers Involved. Bulgaria has two mighty neighbors, and to conquer and hold Macedonia she had to have the backing of a first-class power. For that support she turned to Austria-Hungary, having lost the support of Russia when she rejected Russia's tutelage, refused to Russianize her army and to convert Bulgaria into a Russian protectorate. There are those who believe that a Turko-Bulgarian quarrel would be only one act in a great European struggle for the control of Constantinople. It is for Constantinople that Russia has fought nearly all her greatest wars since the time of Peter the Great and Catherine II, and some critics fore-see a combination of Russia and Turkey, supported by Great Britain, against Bulgarian aggression, which they say, would be supported by Austria and Germany. Great Britain is concerned for her control of the Bosphorus and the Dardanelles, and as well as her border interests as well as her entente with Great Britain to consider.

The sovereign houses of the Balkan states have furnished the melodrama, if not the tragedy, of present-day history. The most powerful and most universally respected of the Balkan rulers to-day is King Charles of Rumania. He is nearly 70 years old, and in poor health. In his 40 years' reign he has developed the country into the most prosperous and powerful of the Balkan states. His wife is "Carmen Sylva." The melodrama of this royal house was furnished by Prince Couza the present king's predecessor, whose profligacies shocked his people so much that they compelled him to abdicate. King Charles was a German prince and did not take the title of king until after the Russo-Turkish war of 1877, when he aided the Muscovite forces.

Three Monarchs Interested. Greece comes into the present mix-up on account of her Macedonian interests, and also because the declara-

tion of Cretan independence gave annoyance to Turkey at a very critical moment. King George is one of the most interesting figures of Southern Europe. His government has been wise and sober, and he and Queen Olga are immensely popular.

King Peter of Serbia has been called "the boycotted monarch," because, with the exception of Emperor Francis Joseph, all the rulers of Europe have refused to visit him or to accept visits from him. This because King Peter has refused to dissociate himself from the assassin of King Alexander and Queen Draga. The assassins, indeed, in spite of the protests of foreign powers, are among those who still hold high office in the kingdom, a fact that lends some color to the rumor that the present king was privy to the horrible crime of the palace. Nevertheless, Serbia has had the support of the western powers in her claims for compensation from Austria. The Crown Prince George is a wild, harum-scarum youth, whose chief faculty is that of getting into scrapes which distress the Serbian authorities.

Prince Nicholas of Montenegro succeeded to the throne in 1860, after the murder of Danilo I. His court is

with Austria's recent concession to Turkey.

There are critics who trace the origin of the present European trouble mainly to the aspirations of Francis Joseph's heir, the Archduke Franz Ferdinand, whose aim is believed to be the partly Federalist—the change of the dual monarchy into a federal system, of which a Slav state will form an important constituent—partly Clerical at home and abroad. This would mean pushing Austria's rule forward into the Balkans in the spirit and with the aim of a twentieth-century Catholic crusade. Other critics conclude that while the act of Bulgaria in putting her foot through the treaty of Berlin when she declared her independence in October last and Austria's formal incorporation of Bosnia and Herzegovina, may have been dramatic, nobody in Europe considered Bulgaria or Bosnia and Herzegovina parts of the Turkish empire except in name. Austria created order in the two provinces and administered and civilized them for 30 years and probably no historian ever will say that Europe contemplated their being handed back to Turkey without protest. For all practical pur-

poses, whatever they were in theory, Bosnia and Herzegovina were provinces of Austria-Hungary, and Bulgaria was an independent principality. Undoubtedly the irresistible racial ambitions of the peoples, referred to in a previous paragraph, are the most potent influences that have been at work. These instincts are finding frank and open expression at this juncture.

Bulgaria has been a powerful empire in the past, possessing something of a glorious history. Many of the greatest victories and conquests of Turkey were due to the devoted and reckless courage of her Bulgarian soldiers, worthy descendants of Alexander the Great, conquered the world. The revolt of the Bulgarians and the Bulgarian massacres following them, brought on the last Russo-Turkish war, which was closed provisionally by the treaty of San Stefano. That treaty created Greater Bulgaria, to include Rumania and Macedonia, those parts of Turkey in which Bulgarians formed the majority of the inhabitants. The treaty of San Stefano was revised by the powers at the congress of Berlin and Bulgaria had the two sub-territories taken away from her. She regained Rumania, but not Macedonia. The Bulgarians are the strongest element among the inhabitants of Macedonia, and Bulgaria has been intent upon regaining the province. The recent Turkish revolution, and especially the intention of the Young Turk party to immediately reform and strengthen the neglected army, appeared to stimulate Bulgaria to action.

Great Powers Involved. Bulgaria has two mighty neighbors, and to conquer and hold Macedonia she had to have the backing of a first-class power. For that support she turned to Austria-Hungary, having lost the support of Russia when she rejected Russia's tutelage, refused to Russianize her army and to convert Bulgaria into a Russian protectorate. There are those who believe that a Turko-Bulgarian quarrel would be only one act in a great European struggle for the control of Constantinople. It is for Constantinople that Russia has fought nearly all her greatest wars since the time of Peter the Great and Catherine II, and some critics fore-see a combination of Russia and Turkey, supported by Great Britain, against Bulgarian aggression, which they say, would be supported by Austria and Germany. Great Britain is concerned for her control of the Bosphorus and the Dardanelles, and as well as her border interests as well as her entente with Great Britain to consider.

The sovereign houses of the Balkan states have furnished the melodrama, if not the tragedy, of present-day history. The most powerful and most universally respected of the Balkan rulers to-day is King Charles of Rumania. He is nearly 70 years old, and in poor health. In his 40 years' reign he has developed the country into the most prosperous and powerful of the Balkan states. His wife is "Carmen Sylva." The melodrama of this royal house was furnished by Prince Couza the present king's predecessor, whose profligacies shocked his people so much that they compelled him to abdicate. King Charles was a German prince and did not take the title of king until after the Russo-Turkish war of 1877, when he aided the Muscovite forces.

Three Monarchs Interested. Greece comes into the present mix-up on account of her Macedonian interests, and also because the declara-

tion of Cretan independence gave annoyance to Turkey at a very critical moment. King George is one of the most interesting figures of Southern Europe. His government has been wise and sober, and he and Queen Olga are immensely popular.

King Peter of Serbia has been called "the boycotted monarch," because, with the exception of Emperor Francis Joseph, all the rulers of Europe have refused to visit him or to accept visits from him. This because King Peter has refused to dissociate himself from the assassin of King Alexander and Queen Draga. The assassins, indeed, in spite of the protests of foreign powers, are among those who still hold high office in the kingdom, a fact that lends some color to the rumor that the present king was privy to the horrible crime of the palace. Nevertheless, Serbia has had the support of the western powers in her claims for compensation from Austria. The Crown Prince George is a wild, harum-scarum youth, whose chief faculty is that of getting into scrapes which distress the Serbian authorities.

STAGE SEEMS TO BE SET FOR EUROPEAN WAR IN THE SPRING

LONDON, Eng.—If Europe is to be saved from a general international convulsion in the coming spring, her chief statesmen will deserve the praise of history and the thanks of the whole world. It is in the spring that things happen in the Balkan region—that present center of the continent wherein may be struck the vital spark of European flame. The Balkans are extremely mountainous, and the country, difficult of communication in most parts at any time, is impassable in the winter, when snow and drift and glacier block the rugged way, writes A. Francis Walker in the New York Press.

It is a country of unrest, of strife and turmoil. Year after year the world has been told each winter "There will be war in the Balkans in the spring." The world has been fooled so many times by this rumor that it has become skeptical, but the time for skepticism is past. If ever the rumor is going to find development in actual fact, it surely will find it in the spring of 1909. According to Sir Edward Grey, the British minister for foreign affairs, the present Balkan troubles have caused "the greatest diplomatic complications of modern history." Never were so many international interests involved, nor did so many territorial complications ever arise at one juncture. The Serb states seem bent upon fight; Bulgaria, in her ambitions toward Macedonia, no longer feels her interests bound up with Austria; Russia cannot afford to disregard Slav feeling, however much she may cry peace; and whichever way the pendulum swings, it is an outbreak should occur. Austria and Turkey must find themselves involved. Germany has promised to stand by Austria and France and England are busy safeguarding their status quo.

All Signs Point to War. The announcement that Austria had decided to pay the sum of \$10,000,000 or thereabouts to Turkey as compensation, whatever they were in theory, Bosnia and Herzegovina were provinces of Austria-Hungary, and Bulgaria was an independent principality. Undoubtedly the irresistible racial ambitions of the peoples, referred to in a previous paragraph, are the most potent influences that have been at work. These instincts are finding frank and open expression at this juncture.

Bulgaria has been a powerful empire in the past, possessing something of a glorious history. Many of the greatest victories and conquests of Turkey were due to the devoted and reckless courage of her Bulgarian soldiers, worthy descendants of Alexander the Great, conquered the world. The revolt of the Bulgarians and the Bulgarian massacres following them, brought on the last Russo-Turkish war, which was closed provisionally by the treaty of San Stefano. That treaty created Greater Bulgaria, to include Rumania and Macedonia, those parts of Turkey in which Bulgarians formed the majority of the inhabitants. The treaty of San Stefano was revised by the powers at the congress of Berlin and Bulgaria had the two sub-territories taken away from her. She regained Rumania, but not Macedonia. The Bulgarians are the strongest element among the inhabitants of Macedonia, and Bulgaria has been intent upon regaining the province. The recent Turkish revolution, and especially the intention of the Young Turk party to immediately reform and strengthen the neglected army, appeared to stimulate Bulgaria to action.

Great Powers Involved. Bulgaria has two mighty neighbors, and to conquer and hold Macedonia she had to have the backing of a first-class power. For that support she turned to Austria-Hungary, having lost the support of Russia when she rejected Russia's tutelage, refused to Russianize her army and to convert Bulgaria into a Russian protectorate. There are those who believe that a Turko-Bulgarian quarrel would be only one act in a great European struggle for the control of Constantinople. It is for Constantinople that Russia has fought nearly all her greatest wars since the time of Peter the Great and Catherine II, and some critics fore-see a combination of Russia and Turkey, supported by Great Britain, against Bulgarian aggression, which they say, would be supported by Austria and Germany. Great Britain is concerned for her control of the Bosphorus and the Dardanelles, and as well as her border interests as well as her entente with Great Britain to consider.

The sovereign houses of the Balkan states have furnished the melodrama, if not the tragedy, of present-day history. The most powerful and most universally respected of the Balkan rulers to-day is King Charles of Rumania. He is nearly 70 years old, and in poor health. In his 40 years' reign he has developed the country into the most prosperous and powerful of the Balkan states. His wife is "Carmen Sylva." The melodrama of this royal house was furnished by Prince Couza the present king's predecessor, whose profligacies shocked his people so much that they compelled him to abdicate. King Charles was a German prince and did not take the title of king until after the Russo-Turkish war of 1877, when he aided the Muscovite forces.

Three Monarchs Interested. Greece comes into the present mix-up on account of her Macedonian interests, and also because the declara-

tion of Cretan independence gave annoyance to Turkey at a very critical moment. King George is one of the most interesting figures of Southern Europe. His government has been wise and sober, and he and Queen Olga are immensely popular.

King Peter of Serbia has been called "the boycotted monarch," because, with the exception of Emperor Francis Joseph, all the rulers of Europe have refused to visit him or to accept visits from him. This because King Peter has refused to dissociate himself from the assassin of King Alexander and Queen Draga. The assassins, indeed, in spite of the protests of foreign powers, are among those who still hold high office in the kingdom, a fact that lends some color to the rumor that the present king was privy to the horrible crime of the palace. Nevertheless, Serbia has had the support of the western powers in her claims for compensation from Austria. The Crown Prince George is a wild, harum-scarum youth, whose chief faculty is that