

THE AMERICAN PUBLISHED WEEKLY.

Entered at the P. O. as Second Class Matter... THOMPSON & KELLEY, Proprs.

Subscription, per Year... CLUB RATES... Single Copies...

JOHN C. THOMPSON, Editor. W. C. KELLEY, Business Manager.

OMAHA, FRIDAY, JULY 22, 1892.

THE AMERICAN IS THE CHAMPION OF ALL PARTISAN ORDERS—THE ORIGIN OF NONE.

TREASURERIEY'S STATEMENT.

On pages 6 and 7 will be found the semi-annual statement of H. B. Irey, county treasurer of Douglas county.

That report is one of the best endorsements any Douglas county treasurer has ever received.

On the first day of January, 1891, Adam Snyder reported there was on hand \$195,741.87.

He, like others in the state, seems to fear opposition from Mr. Rosewater.

That our life has been a humble one, and that it may continue to be so, we must admit.

NO NEED OF PATRIOTS. A large meeting of Irish citizens was held at Fitzgerald's hall yesterday afternoon.

PLACE THE BLAME. The members of the American Protective Association might as well look matters squarely in the face.

What we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

What we prefer. In another column will be found a letter from a friend in Brownville, Neb.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

for about fifteen or twenty young christian gentlemen and their friends who had heard Rev. J. G. White lecture, had read history and compared it with the notions of the Roman church of today.

Probably you, like a great many others, do not know what this A. O. H. is. It is made up of the recruits of the Hibernian Rifles—the leading military organization of the Roman church.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

Some weeks ago Rev. J. D. Fulton in a speech in Music Hall, in Boston, said:

When Cleveland became president, he had a wire run from the White House to the Cardinal's palace, and placed a Roman Catholic at the head of every division of the fifteen thousand employees in the departments.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

You know republicans are ungrateful, and that they who do the most are often the most poorly rewarded.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Agate I warn those hot heads to keep cool, as it may result in a very bad state of affairs.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.

Our friend asks us whether certain men would not support some man who was less outspoken in his opposition to Romanism.

That we are in a district where a man is measured by a standard of wealth, is true to a certain extent.

That we are ready to cross swords with any Roman, or any sympathizer who may wish to argue on the questions of bigotry and un-Americanism.