

Highest of all in Leavening Power.—Latest U. S. Gov't Report

# Royal Baking Powder

ABSOLUTELY PURE

### CITY BREVITIES.

**SATURDAY.**  
The republican state convention meets in Lincoln, Wednesday, July 1.  
Will Ruffner and wife are down from Havelock today for a Sunday visit with relatives.  
Joe Messersmith and wife of Havelock came down today to spend Sunday with relatives.  
Mrs. Holmes is down today from Havelock looking after her property interests in this city.  
N. H. Meeker cashier of the First National Bank at Greenwood is in the city on business today.  
Mrs. Oliver of Lincoln is enjoying a visit with her daughters Mrs. Eads and Mrs. D. C. Morgan.  
Elijah Godwin is the well pleased father of a nine pound boy that arrived at his house this morning.  
Mrs. Ed Vannatta of Cripple Creek Colorado is here visiting her parents Mr. and Mrs. Henry Cooper.  
Billy Lehnhoff is down from College Hill for a few days' visit with his cousins, George and Fred Lehnhoff.  
John J. Ingalls will operate at the Beatrice Chautauqua grounds July 4 and we believe everybody ought to hear him.  
Henry Hempel and family went out to Robert Troops this afternoon to spend Sunday and enjoy a day in the country.  
Chris. Jenson, wife and little son, came in this morning from Creston. They will visit relatives in the city for a few days.  
The M. P. base ball nine played a game with the B. & M. shop team last evening which resulted in a score of 8 to 7 in favor of the M. P. boys.  
George Dovey and family are having a delightful time on the Santa Catalina islands off the coast from Los Angeles. They expect to leave there for home in a few days.  
The weather bureau predicts showery weather for this evening and tomorrow, and it is to be hoped that Uncle Sam's prognosticator knows what he is talking about.  
Mr. and Mrs. James Higley have the sincere sympathy of their many friends for the loss of their infant babe, which died the first of the week, after being with them but a few days.  
B. & M. Supply Agent D. S. Guild is home from Rochester, Wyo. where the great tie yards of the company are located. They have a flume there from the mountains nearly eight miles in length.  
The Boss washing machines are selling so rapidly that several dealers have had to replenish their stocks by buying of Weckbach who gets them in large quantities. They are great sellers because they do the work.  
Prof. Eugene May, of Washington, D. C., who has been lecturing at the Beatrice Chautauqua assembly, stopped over here today on his way home to visit his cousin, Mrs. Frank Richardson, and Mrs. George W. Thomas at Curtis Moore's.  
Do not forget that the state fair begins August 27 and continues to September 5. You will miss half your life if you miss the state fair which will eclipse all former expositions ever held in the west. It will be held at Omaha convenient for Cass county visitors.  
The forcible entry and detainer case between Fred Patterson and some parties at Rock Bluffs is on trial before Judge Archer today. This is the trouble which resulted in the shooting of the Byers boys not long since and quite a bit of bad feeling has been engendered on both sides.  
A political argument took place between two good fellows on upper Main street at a late hour last night and at the final windup each contestant attempted to make his side of the question more convincing by bringing his fists into requisition. No arrests were made and it is highly probable that they will be good friends again before a free silver democrat is elected to the presidency.

### MONDAY.

J. M. Craig is out at Norton, Kan. this week on business.  
Dr. E. W. Cook departed last evening for Chicago on professional business.  
We regret to note that John D. Tatt is reported quite ill with typhoid fever.  
George W. Goodwin and Miss Belle Hall were united in marriage today by Justice Archer.  
Walter Ellingson and his sister went out to Waverly this morning for a few days visit.  
Miss Bethel and Miss Carrie Gray of Greenwood spent Sunday in this city with Mrs. D. K. Barr and family.  
Mrs. James Robertson and children returned home this morning from a pleasant visit with relatives at Louisville.  
Mrs. Henry Hempel and children

## a health signal.

The baby's mission, its work in life, is growth. To that little bundle of love, half trick, half dream, every added ounce of flesh means added happiness and comfort. Fat is the signal of perfect health, comfort, good-nature, baby-beauty.

SCOTT'S EMULSION is the best fat-food baby can have, in the easiest form. It supplies what he cannot get in his ordinary food, and helps him over the weak places to perfect growth. For the growing child it is growth. For the full-grown, new life.

Scott & Bowne. New York. All Druggists. 50c. and \$1.

## "Well,—Santa Claus must have run out o' Soap when he left you."

Even the children recognize Santa Claus Soap as one of the good things of life—and why not? It keeps their home clean and makes their mother happy. Try it in your home. Sold everywhere. Made only by

The N. K. Fairbank Company, CHICAGO.

### AMONG THE EXCHANGES

The sixth annual convention of the Cass county W. C. T. U. will be held at Avoca next Wednesday and Thursday.

Miss Edith Quinton will engage in teaching the coming year, and we understand she has secured the school two miles northwest of town, where Miss Rhoda Banning has taught so successfully the last three or four years.—Nehawka Register.

Mrs. W. E. Paddelford has the assurance that she has been granted a pension of \$8 per month and back pension amounting to \$650.67. It was brought about through the efforts of Congressman Strode and her friends at this place. We are certainly glad that this good fortune has come to her.—Elmwood Echo.

Will Sutton, who has been failing for the past two or three years with that dread disease—consumption—died at 4:30 p. m. Wednesday at Nebraska City. The remains will be brought here on the 10:41 train this afternoon and taken to the M. E. church, where the funeral services will be held.—Nehawka Register.

Professor and Mrs. Killen leave us today, the professor to go to Kimball and Sidney for institute work and later to Lodge Pole, where he and his brother will hold their summer school. Mrs. Killen will visit with a brother at Elmwood. We are sorry to lose them. Mr. Killen has just finished his second year as principal of our school.—Greenwood Gazette.

**Rheumatism Cured in a Day.**  
"Mystic Cure" for Rheumatism and Neuralgia radically cures in one to three days. Its action upon the system is remarkable and mysterious. It removes at once the cause and the disease immediately disappears. The first dose greatly benefits, 75 cents. Sold by F. G. Fricke & Co., druggists, Plattsmouth, Neb.

**People's Party County Convention.**  
The people's independent party of Cass county is hereby called to meet in delegate convention at Louisville, Monday, July 13, 1896, for the purpose of electing fourteen delegates to the state delegate convention to be held at Grand Island on July 15, 1896, to elect fourteen delegates to the state convention to be held at Hastings for the purpose of nominating a people's independent party ticket; to elect fourteen delegates to the congressional convention for the first congressional district of Nebraska; to elect fourteen delegates to the float representative convention; to place in nomination a county ticket consisting of

One state senator,  
Two state representatives,  
One county attorney,  
And for the purpose of transacting such other business as may properly come before the convention.

It is recommended that the primaries be held on Saturday, July 11. It is also recommended that no proxies be allowed, but that the delegations present from each precinct or ward cast the full vote for the precinct or ward they represent. There being a large amount of business to be done the convention will be called at 10:30 in the morning; that the preliminary work may be gotten through with before noon, and that the convention may conclude its session at a reasonable hour.

The representation is based upon the vote cast for Samuel Maxwell for supreme judge, allowing one delegate for every ten votes or major fraction thereof, giving a representation as follows: Avoca, 2; Center, 2; Eight Mile Grove, 3; Elmwood, 7; Greenwood, 7; Liberty, 7; Louisville, 3; Mt. Pleasant, 4; Nehawka, 3; first district Rock Bluffs, 7; second district Rock Bluffs, 3; Salt Creek, 8; South Bend, 6; Stove Creek, 3; Tipton, 3; Weeping Water precinct, 4; Weeping Water city—First ward 1, third ward 1, Plattsmouth ward 1, second ward 2, third ward 1, fourth ward 1, fifth ward 2. M. S. BRIGGS, Chairman. ROBERT J. VASS, Secretary.

**Children Cry for Pitcher's Castoria.**  
**Children Cry for Pitcher's Castoria.**

English Spavin Liniment removes all Hard, Soft or Calloused Lumps and Blisters from horses, Blood Spavins, Curbs, Splints, Sweeney, Ring-Bone, Stiffes, Sprains, all Swollen Throats, Coughs, etc. Save \$50 by use of one bottle. Warranted the most wonderful Blemish Cure ever known. Sold by F. G. Fricke & Co., druggists, Plattsmouth.

**Pasture.**  
Persons wishing to fatten cattle and horses on blue grass and clover inquire of H. W. Weaver, Cedar Creek, Neb. Cattle 50 cents and horses \$1 a month for the season.

**Whips! Whips! Whips!**  
August Gorder has some surprising bargains in whips. He sells the common whip at two for twenty-five cents; a first-class rawhide center whip for twenty-five cents; one of the best for fifty cents, and the very best whip made for seventy-five cents. Come in and see them at old stand of Fred Gorder & Son, Plattsmouth, Neb.

### LEGAL ADVERTISEMENTS

#### In County Court.

**STATE OF NEBRASKA.**  
Case No. 1886.  
To all persons interested in the estate of John Holschuh, deceased:  
Notice is hereby given that on the 12th day of June, A. D. 1896, at the hour of 10 o'clock a. m., at the county judge's office, in Plattsmouth, in said county, the petition, asking for the appointment of Theodore Starkjohann, as administrator of said estate, will be heard and considered at which time and place all persons interested may appear and show cause, if any they have, why he should not be appointed as such administrator. Witness my hand and seal of said county court at Plattsmouth, this 12th day of May, A. D. 1896.  
GEORGE M. SPURLOCK, County Judge.

#### Notice of Sale.

In the district court of Cass county, Nebraska, in the matter of the estate of William W. Conon, deceased.  
Notice is hereby given that pursuant to an order of the Hon. Basil S. Ramsey, judge of the district court of Cass county, Nebraska, made on the 9th day of May, 1896, for the sale of the real estate hereinafter described, there shall be sold at the south door of the court house in Plattsmouth, Cass county, Nebraska, on the 29th day of June, 1896, at 10 o'clock a. m. of said day at public vendue to the highest bidder for cash, the following real estate, to-wit:  
Lot nineteen (19) first addition to the village of Greenwood, and lots 226, 227 and 261 in the village of Greenwood, all in Cass county, Nebraska. Said sale to remain open one hour.  
Dated this 19th day of May, 1896.  
Administrator of the Estate of William W. Conon, deceased.  
C. S. Polk, Attorney for Administrator.  
May 19, 1896.

#### Sheriff's Sale.

By virtue of an order of sale issued by George F. Houseworth, clerk of the district court within and for Cass county, Nebraska, and to be directed, I will on the 27th day of June, A. D. 1896, at 10 o'clock A. M. of said day at the south door of the court house in the city of Plattsmouth, Nebraska, together with the privileges and appurtenances thereunto in anywise connected, pertaining, the same being leased upon and taken as the property of John F. Polk, administrator of the estate of William W. Conon, deceased, to-wit: James, Elizabeth A. Abbott, Sarah J. Capp, K. S. Norval and William W. Conon, deceased, satisfy a judgment of said court recovered by H. L. Clapp, plaintiff, against said defendants.  
HARVEY HOLLOWAY, Sheriff, Cass county, Nebraska.  
C. S. Polk, Attorney for Plaintiff.

#### Legal Notice.

In the district court of Cass county, Nebraska, Kate Spencer, Plaintiff, vs. Frank Spencer, Defendant. Notice: Frank Spencer, defendant, will take notice that on the 28th day of March A. D. 1896, Kate Spencer, plaintiff, filed her petition in the district court of Cass county, Nebraska, against said defendant, the object and prayer of which was for a divorce from said Frank Spencer and for the care and custody of their children, said divorce being asked on the ground of desertion, grossly wanton and cruelly neglecting and refusing to support plaintiff and her children, etc. You are required to answer said petition on or before the 27th day of July, A. D. 1896.  
Dated June 17, 1896.  
KATE SPENCER, Plaintiff.  
By her attorneys, Byron Clark and C. A. Rawlin.

#### Legal Notice.

Samuel P. Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta will take notice as non-resident defendants that on the 6th day of April, 1896, J. H. Pettibone and S. E. Nixon commenced an action in the district court of Cass county, Nebraska, against said defendants, implored with First National Bank of Plattsmouth, at the object and prayer of which they sought to foreclose against said defendants, a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, by the treasurer of Cass county, Nebraska, for the delinquent taxes thereon for 1891, amounting to \$27.45, and additional delinquent taxes thereon for 1892, amounting to \$10.00, and interest thereon added on each of said sums from Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a mortgage against said bank, to-wit: a certificate of tax sale of said lots made Nov. 7, 1892, and for costs of suit, further, that on the same day the defendant, National Bank of Plattsmouth filed a cross-bill in said suit against said Vanatta and Eliza J. Vanatta, which cross-bill is for a