



# LABOR FEDERATION IN ROW OVER CARPENTERS

### Builders Charged With Encroaching Upon Jurisdiction Awarded Machinists—Union With 200,000 Members May Be Forced Out of National Organization.

San Francisco, Nov. 22.—The American Federation of Labor, in the closing sessions of its 35th annual convention today, face the problem of attempting to heal a breach in its ranks that developed at the session last night, which, in the language of prominent officers and delegates, threatened disruption of the organization.

The trouble arose over consideration of a resolution that, had it been adopted, would have meant the loss of 200,000 members of the federation. The resolution, offered by the adjustment committee, called for the suspension of the United Brotherhood of Carpenters & Joiners, the second largest international union in America.

The resolution to withdraw the carpenters' union was the result of the failure of the organization to refrain from alleged encroaching upon jurisdiction awarded the machinists, coupled with the carpenters' union's attitude toward the federation.

**Gompers Fears Split.**

In the course of the debate, Samuel Gompers, president of the federation, said: "We are not safe from disintegration and failure of organization if the ideals of human brotherhood."

John B. Lennon, opposing the expulsion of the carpenters, said: "We are not safe from disruption."

Andrew Furuseth, secretary of the sailors' union of the Pacific coast, declared his belief that the carpenters' union was deliberately seeking to compel the federation to withdraw the charter.

During the hour in which charges were hurled at them and speaker after speaker taunted them with bad faith the big delegation of carpenters sat silent.

The carpenters' union was accused of repairing, dismantling and setting up machinery in buildings in various cities and with claiming the right to do such work, in defiance of the express commands of the federation convention in Philadelphia last year. Furuseth in his speech said: "I prefer the charge that the claim put forth by the carpenters is put up scientifically and purposely to bring about expulsion."

**Appeal to Carpenters.**

George L. Berry, president of the Printing Pressman's union, offered a substitute for the adjustment committee's resolution. He moved that a committee of five representatives of international unions with President Gompers be selected to attend the convention of the carpenters and try to get them to recede from the stand they had taken. Berry's substitute was carried.

The program today called for the presentation of more than 50 resolutions and for the election of officers. It was not anticipated that any candidate would be named in opposition to President Gompers for reelection.

## BULL MOOSERS WILL BE IN RACE, HE SAYS

### George W. Perkins Declares Party Will Have Ticket In 1916 Campaign.

Kansas City, Mo., Nov. 22.—The future of the progressive party in Missouri probably will depend on the action to be taken at a meeting of party leaders from all parts of the state to be held here today. Delegates began arriving last night and parties from St. Louis, St. Joseph, Joplin, Springfield and several other of the larger cities arrived this morning.

An all day conference including a luncheon in honor of George W. Perkins, of New York, chairman of the national executive committee, has been arranged.

In Chicago yesterday, Mr. Perkins, conferring with local leaders of the progressive party, said that "the progressive party must and will have a national ticket in the field in 1916."

## MUNDAY CONVICTED OF LOOTING BANK

### Partner of Ex-Senator Lorimer Given Five Years' Sentence—\$2,500,000 Filched From Depositors.

Morris, Ill., Nov. 22.—Charles B. Munday, vice president of the LaSalle Street Trust & Savings bank of Chicago, of which William Lorimer was president, was found guilty of conspiring to wreck the institution, and his punishment was fixed by a jury at five years' imprisonment.

The jury took five ballots. They disagreed on the penalty, nine standing at first for the maximum punishment, which would have been five years and a fine of \$2,000. Munday and his son, J. G. Munday, were in the court room. Munday's wife, his two daughters and son, the Rev. Father Joseph Munday, were not in court.

The LaSalle street bank was organized by Munday and, according to charges of the state's attorney in the present trial, the name "senator" was capitalized on one of the bank's assets. When the bank failed in June, 1914, there followed the collapse of nine other banks and trust companies of the so called Lorimer-Munday string.

Fourteen persons were indicted, Lorimer and Munday being the chief of them. The indictments charged them with looting the institution of almost \$2,500,000, and with violation of practically every banking law of the state. The state elected to try Munday first, and when he contended that his association with Mr. Lorimer precluded a fair trial in Chicago, a change of venue to this city was granted.

It was charged that assets of the La Salle street concern were stolen to organize the other banks of the chain, the checks had been "kited" and that the parent bank had been insolvent for some time prior to the collapse.

Munday's rise was spectacular. He went rapidly from manager of a small telegraph office to part ownership in 11 banks and a dozen large business concerns. He was born and raised in Litchfield, where his father was a justice of the peace.

Maclay Hoyle, state's attorney for Cook county, said the verdict was satisfactory. Lorimer and Henry W. Hutzig, of Muscatine, Ia., will go to trial after the first of next year. Hoyle said.

## WILSON TO CONFER WITH LEADING REPUBLICANS

Washington, Nov. 20.—President Wilson today asked Senator Gallinger and Representative Mann, republican leaders of the Senate and House, respectively, to confer with him before the opening of congress on legislation for national defense. The time of the conference will be arranged to suit the two leaders.

The president sent the invitations in accordance with his announced plan of making a fight for military preparedness along nonpartisan lines.

Just before the opening of congress the president will confer with republican members of the Senate and House military and naval committees.

## MOVIE VILLAIN KILLED.

Santa Barbara, Cal., Nov. 20.—A leap from a stage coach whose horses were supposed to be running away cost the life today of Leslie Reed, a moving picture actor, used 25. Reed made the jump while impersonating a villain.

Belgian industries look to the United States for considerable industrial patronage.

## IMMIGRANT FRAUD PLOTS UNEARTHED

### Government Orders Investigation of Alleged Conspiracy to Smuggle Chinese Into America.

San Francisco, Nov. 22.—A sweeping investigation of the federal immigration service on the Pacific coast has been instituted on authority of Secretary of Labor John E. Densmore, made public here today. Densmore is in personal charge of the inquiry into the many charges that have been preferred against the immigration service in California.

The present investigation is said to have resulted directly from the exposure of the Mongolian-Chinese smuggling conspiracy, following the arrest of 86 Chinese brought to this port on the last voyage of the steamship Mongolia and the alleged intention of smuggling them ashore.

Secret service operatives of the United States government, it is said, are now on their way from Washington, D. C., to this city under instructions to report to Densmore.

## JUSTICE LAMAR MAY RETIRE FROM COURT

### Congress Expected to Pass Special Act—Wade Mentioned as Successor.

Washington, Nov. 22.—President Wilson is likely soon to have the appointment of a new associate justice of the supreme court. Plans are afoot to put through congress a special act to retire Justice Lamar, of Georgia, because of ill health.

Members of the judiciary commissions of congress have been sounded and are willing to report the measure. If he is retired there will be a swarm of candidates. Some friends of Judge Wade, of Iowa, think his name might be considered, but in view of his recent appointment this is by no means certain.

## PAGE LEARNS FACTS ABOUT ANCONA CASE

### Said to Have Obtained Information Showing Responsibility of Plunger.

Rome, Nov. 22.—The American ambassador, Thomas Nelson Page, has collected additional evidence concerning the sinking of the steamship Ancona.

This evidence has been given from several official sources as well as from survivors. It is understood the ambassador has established beyond doubt the principal circumstances attending the disaster, including the degree of responsibility of the submarine which sank the vessel.

The Italian government also informed Ambassador Page that it has made no contention that the submarine was German.

## STEAMER RISKED TORPEDO TO REACH SINKING ANCONA

Washington, D. C., Nov. 20.—The Italian foreign office has notified American Ambassador Page, at Rome, that it has no reason to believe that the submarine which sank the liner Ancona with the loss of several Americans, was other than an Austrian. This with the Austrian government's acknowledgment of the act, clears up the last possibility that it might have been a German boat.

New York, Nov. 20.—Fresh and dramatic details of what happened in the Mediterranean on the morning of November 7, when the Ancona was torpedoed by a submarine flying the Austrian flag, were brought to New York today by the new Italian steamer, Giuseppe Verdi, here on her maiden voyage.

The Verdi heard the Ancona's wireless death cry, flashed it on to shore stations which the sinking steamer could not reach and then rushed to the Ancona's aid, her boats swung outward, her passengers lined on the deck, and her officers momentarily expecting a death blow themselves from submarines known to be lurking nearby. The Verdi steamed as near as she dared to the spot where the Ancona went down, but her lookouts saw nothing. Then, turning swiftly, she fled for Gibraltar, and security.

## HOW TWO BRANCHES OF CONGRESS STAND ON PREPAREDNESS

Here is a table showing how the Senate stands in brief on the preparedness issue, as shown by a poll taken by a New York newspaper:

	Dems.	Reps.	Progs.	Total
For	41	30	1	72
Against	1	1	2	4
Noncommittal	15	7	22	44

The following table shows the vote in House of Representatives:

	Dems.	Reps.	Progs.	Total
For	95	118	1	213
Against	4	11	15	30
Noncommittal	121	79	200	200

## DOCTOR VINDICATED BY CORONER'S JURY

### Chicago Physicians Uphold Stand of Fellow Practitioner in Baby Case.

Chicago, Nov. 20.—Six persons, composing a coroner's jury, held that Dr. H. J. Halseiden, who permitted an infant, Allan John Bollinger, to die when an operation might have saved him to a life of unhappiness as a defective, was morally and ethically justified in refusing to perform the operation which his conscience did not sanction.

Dr. Halseiden, in his testimony, said he did not reach his final decision not to operate until he had consulted a practicing physician, four of whom approved his course of letting the little life expire, he said. The mother of the child, wife of a well to do workman, mother of three other children, all physically and mentally normal, also agreed that the baby would be better off dead, he declared.

## WICHITAN HAS PRIZE TAX DODGING SYSTEM

Wichita, Kan., Nov. 20.—The prize way to beat paying taxes has been evolved by a Wichita man in the following manner:

He deeded nine inches of the home place to a fictitious person and let the tax title lapse, no one caring to buy such a small plot. The deal appears as if the whole property is involved and the man has been living on the whole site peacefully and without paying taxes.

An unearthing of fraud in tax title sales, aggregating \$80,000, upon which the county realized only \$9,000, revealed the cheat and the owner has been compelled, like the others, to disgorge.

## PIANIST DIVORCED FEW DAYS AGO, WEDS AGAIN

San Francisco, Nov. 20.—The marriage of Tina Lerner, Russian pianist, to Vladimir Shavitch, of New York, was announced here today. Miss Lerner was granted a divorce Wednesday, at Reno, from Louis J. Bachner, of Berlin. She and her new husband were music students together in Berlin 10 years ago. The marriage took place here yesterday before a justice of the peace, Miss Lerner giving her age as 26 years.

## ASSASSINS' CLUB IS DISCOVERED IN TOKIO

Tokio, Nov. 20.—Recent attempted assassination of Chiang Shih-I, an emissary of President Yuan Shai Kai of China, has revealed the existence of an assassins' club among the revolutionary Chinese students of Tokio. It is alleged the members are solemnly sworn to take the life of prominent workers for the monarchial movement in China.

## CHECK UP EVIDENCE IN CHARGE AGAINST CONSUL

Washington, D. C., Nov. 19.—The department of justice today is making further inquiry into the activities of Austrian Consul General Von Nuber and his associates. An announcement said information had been received which would probably lead to further indictments for passport frauds. The investigation is the outcome of a conference in New York on Wednesday between A. Bruce Bielski, chief of the bureau of investigation of the department of justice and Dr. Gorlick.

Much timber in New Zealand having been found highly suitable for the manufacture of wood pulp, the government is fostering the establishment of the industry.

## PLUNGER CHASING ITALIAN STEAMER

### Wireless Dispatch States Liner Verona Is Being Pursued By Giant Enemy Submarine.

Madrid, Nov. 22.—(via London).—A telegram received here today gives a wireless message said to have been sent out by the Italian Verona stating that she was being pursued by a large submarine with two periscopes.

According to this telegram the submarine is accompanied by a steamer. The position of the Verona was given latitude 40 longitude 6, off Cabopalo.

The telegram was received from the town of Solier, on Majora island in the Mediterranean off the Spanish coast 110 miles south of Barcelona.

The Verona was scheduled to sail from Genoa November 9, touching at Naples November 10 and Palermo November 11 on her way to New York. No word of her sailing, however, has been received.

Latitude 40, longitude 6, is a point in the western Mediterranean about 110 miles west of Sardinia.

The Verona is owned by the Italian Societa Di Navigazione al Vapore, which also owned the Ancona, sunk recently by a submarine on the Mediterranean. She has been engaged for several years in passenger service between New York and Italian ports. She is 8,261 tons gross, 482 feet long and was built in Belfast in 1908.

## PLANS TO UNIONIZE UNORGANIZED LABOR

### American Federation Hopes to Take In 3,000,000 So-Called Migratory Workers.

San Francisco, Nov. 22.—Initial steps toward organizing the unemployed men of the United States were taken today by the American Federation of Labor, in convention here.

Organizers, acting under direction of local central bodies of various parts of the country, are to undertake the work in conjunction with the executive council of the federation.

The plan was announced by the executive council, which recommended that the task be started as soon as practicable. The convention approved the council's recommendation.

Announcing the plans and recommendations, T. W. McCullough, of Omaha, chairman of the executive council, said that it was estimated there were more than 3,000,000 "migratory workers" in the United States at this time. Where strikes occur, he said, some of these men usually appear and are taken on as strike breakers under promise of bettering themselves as time goes along, and when the strike is eventually at an end these men find themselves again among the ranks of the unemployed.

"By organization under the central labor bodies," said McCullough, "we can put some hope and some system to the struggle these men are making for existence."

## MADISON BLEACHERS FALL; MANY INJURED

### 2,500 Spectators Drop When Grandstand Collapses—None Fatally Hurt.

Madison, Wis., Nov. 20.—A section of the north bleachers fell at the beginning of the second quarter of the Minnesota-Wisconsin game here this afternoon, carrying with it nearly 2,500 spectators. Several persons were injured, but no fatalities occurred.

The game stopped as doctors and many other persons hastened to assist the fallen crowd.

## DISCOVER NUDE BODY OF AN UNKNOWN MAN

Boston, Nov. 20.—Charles Wagner, of Boston, whose card was found in a trunk picked up in the Willamette, containing the body of a nude man, could give no clue today as to his identity. Wagner, who is engaged in the clothing business here, failed to recall any customer or person who might have gone west with his card.

## IMPlicate BOY-ED IN PROVISION PLOT

### German Naval Attache Planned to Provision Commerce Raid-Ports, Is Charge.

New York, Nov. 22.—In an announcement made public last night, H. Snowden Marshall, United States district attorney, declared that attorneys representing officials of the Hamburg-American steamship company who are to be placed on trial Monday for alleged violations of the customs laws, had filed concessions with him which will tend to facilitate the trial. These concessions name Captain Karl, Boy-Ed, naval attache of the German embassy, as one of those who discussed sending coal and food supplies from United States ports to German warships raiding enemy commerce in southern waters at the beginning of the war.

In conceding the chartering of the Berwind-Lorenzo, Fram and Sommerstad, the defendants claim that the entries at the customs houses concerning the destinations of the vessels and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to defraud the United States, but to deceive the enemies of Germany. With this object in view, the defendants claim that the alleged violations of the customs laws and the filing of manifests complained of in the indictment as irregular, were not done with intent to