

The Frontier

Published by D. H. CRONIN.
ROMAINE SAUNDERS, Assistant editor
and Manager.

11 50 the Year 75 Cents Six Months
Official paper of O'Neill and Holt county.

ADVERTISING RATES:

Display advertisements on pages 4, 5 and 8 are charged for on a basis of 50 cents an inch one column width per month; on page 1 the charge is \$1 an inch per month. Local advertisements, 5 cents per line each insertion. Address the office or the publisher.

REPUBLICAN CANDIDATES.

COUNTY TICKET
For county attorney, W. E. Scott of Atkinson
For representatives, S. W. Green of Ewing
and D. M. Stuart of Stuart.
State senator, F. W. Phillips of Holt

STATE TICKET

Governor, Geo. L. Sheldon of Cass
Lieut. Governor, M. H. Hopewell of Burr
Sec. State, G. C. Junkin of Gosper
Auditor, E. M. Searl (incumbent)
Treasurer, L. J. Brain of Boone
Attorney General, W. T. Thompson of Hall
Railway Commissioners
M. J. Wignett of Lancaster
Robert Coywell of Douglas
J. A. Williams of Pierce
Land Commissioner, H. M. Eaton (incumbent)
Superintendent, J. L. McFrein (incumbent)
U. S. Senator, Norris Brown of Buffalo

CONGRESSIONAL TICKET

Congressman 6th dist., M. P. Kinkaid, M. C.

Political quotations show a sharp decline in the Bryan presidential boom.

Its remarkable number of editors now claiming discipleship to Edward Rosewater.

Others are of the same opinion as "Uncle Joe." Roosevelt is the probable successor of himself as president.

From democratic sources the information is vouchsafed that the Hon. Dick Johnson of Stuart contests the right of Ed Whelan to the nomination for county attorney.

Mr. Bryan appeals for the dignity of the American laboring man. It will be remembered that he voted for a tariff reduction bill that drove some hundreds of thousands of American laboring men to the free soup counters.

The pledges made by Omaha democrats previous to the municipal election last spring are still on the list to be redeemed. Great things were going to happen when Omaha went democratic—but they haven't happened.

A few months ago our esteemed contemporary went into spasms because The Frontier published the advertisement of an out of town mercantile concern. Last week the Independent contained a large advertisement of a Sioux City firm. The Independent's "local patriotism" is of the same brand as its political reform.

The democratic papers of the Sixth district manifest a strange lookwariness toward their candidate for congress, G. L. Shumway of Scotts Bluff county. The Sixth had a long experience with worthless congressmen before Judge Kinkaid redeemed the district and the voters are not willing now to swap off their active and able representative.

Martin Sanders of Ewing is the fusion nominee for state senator. He is the cleanest candidate from the ranks of fusion in this county put up in a long while. The corrupt gang of populist bosses want to make a show of decency once more and have been forced into taking a man of honor and good standing into their circles.

Norfolk must be striving to regain a lost reputation for lawlessness. A tragedy occurred there the other day which the executive authority of the town, with a little effort, might have averted. There is a continual cry for the enactment of some new law, while the greatest need is men of decency in places of authority who will enforce the statutes as they are.

Our flighty editorial sister on the west jumps at conclusions without a shadow of fact to substantiate her. She is very mad at the Holt county republican delegation for voting as it did and lays it all to Dickson. The action of the Holt county delegation needs no explanation or apology. They voted as their best judgment dictated. Mr. Dickson was not at the state convention, but The Frontier happens to know that his judgment with respect to a candidate for United States senator was to divide the county delegation somewhere near equally between the leading candidates. The Ledger editor has the right to favor

any candidate she sees fit—and it is probably neither here nor there with the candidate—but she has no right to allow a dense ignorance of political things to make herself out a falsifier.

PHILLIPS FOR SENATOR.

The nomination of Frank W. Phillips for senator by the republicans of the Thirteenth district is in strict accord with the popular demand for clean and capable men in official positions. The Frontier knows of no man it could recommend more highly to the voters of the district. Mr. Phillips is not a flighty, impulsive or oily politician, but a practical, conscientious citizen who has a large fund of more than ordinary good sense.

Mr. Phillips has served the taxpayers of Holt county for a number of years in the capacity of chairman of the board of supervisors and has been of real service to the county in his careful and business-like way of doing things. He was reelected to the board last year from the Second district, carrying all but one of the six precincts in the district and getting all but two votes in one precinct.

Mr. Phillips has served as chairman of the republican county central committee for the past two years and every voter in the county is familiar with his name.

He has never sought political honors and consented to become a candidate for the senate only on the solicitation of friends after Dr. Gilligan decided that he would not be able to accept the nomination on account of business matters. Mr. Phillips will be a credit to his party, county and district if elected.

SULLIVAN TO BRYAN.

Illinois Committeeman "Goes After" the Peerless.

New York, Sept. 7.—Roger C. Sullivan, member from Illinois of the democratic committee, tonight issued a lengthy statement in which he replies to the recent attacks made upon him by Mr. Bryan. The following is an abstract of Mr. Sullivan's statement:

"In his Jefferson club banquet speech at Chicago Tuesday evening William J. Bryan again saw fit to make me the excuse for expelling his new ambition to convert the democratic party of the United States into an autocracy with himself on the dictator's throne. I regret that he has done so, as I would regret an incident or circumstance tending toward discord in the democratic party.

"If portions of Mr. Bryan's speech mean anything, they mean that he would rather have his own way than have the democrats elected to congress or any other office. He has invited all Illinois democrats that agree with him in his opposition to me to bolt their ticket. If there are any democrats in Illinois who are disposed to act on this typically Bryanesque advice, many of them unfortunately will be found in congressional districts which are close, but in which, with united effort, we have a good chance to elect democratic congressmen. If these districts send republicans to the next house of representatives the democratic party of the nation will have Mr. Bryan to thank. As a democrat I regret that Mr. Bryan's rule-or-ruin disposition has led him to make such misuse of his influence. But insofar as Mr. Bryan's banquet speech applies to me personally, to my character, to my business, to my associates, to my democracy and to my political acts, I welcome the issue, and on that issue I challenge Mr. Bryan to the proof of his truthfulness, his honesty and his sincerity—that sincerity which he boasts is his political asset. I yield to no man in my adherence to democratic principles as laid down by the great founder, Thomas Jefferson. Judged by that standard, I invite comparison of my democracy with Mr. Bryan's."

Continuing, Mr. Sullivan scores Mr. Bryan for the "company he keeps" and attacks particularly the characters of Theodore Nelson, Judge Owen P. Thompson, Millard Fillmore Dunlap and Henry T. Rainey. These are the men endorsed by Mr. Bryan in his Paris interview. Mr. Sullivan describes them as Mr. Bryan's confidential agents in the purification of Illinois politics, and asks:

"Is Mr. Bryan an exception to the rule that a man is known by the company he keeps?"
Mr. Sullivan then continues:
"Mr. Bryan has said by innuendo that I, as an official of the Ogden Gas company of Chicago, have secured government favor and profit by illegal or corrupt means. He harps on my connection with the Ogden Gas company as if that connection were disreputable. The public press will show that the only offense this corporation ever committed was to reduce the price of gas. Among my associates in that company were Governor John P. Altgeld and Judge Thomas A. Moran of Chicago.

Does Mr. Bryan dare impugn their memories?"

"Mr. Bryan was not honest and he was not sincere when he commissioned Nelson, Thompson, Dunlap and Rainey to 'purify' Illinois politics and claimed morality as his motive. He was not truthful when he stood up in Chicago Tuesday evening to explain his position.

"The first paragraph in Mr. Bryan's speech on me and on the Illinois situation contains a deliberate untruth. Practically every succeeding paragraph contains either a deliberate untruth, or an equivocation of the kind, that we expect only from the shifty, word-juggling pettifogger. Mr. Bryan says he wrote me a letter asking me to resign in the interests of the democratic party." That is not true. Mr. Bryan knew it was not true when he said it. He never wrote me a letter. He did write a letter to Judge O. P. Thompson in which he told the judge to tell me that he (Bryan) wanted me to resign from the democratic national committee."

Mr. Sullivan then declares that Mr. Bryan's assertion that he held his seat on the national committee by fraud was refuted two years ago at St. Louis by the national convention itself and that he (Sullivan) had not asked for an endorsement from the state convention instead of resigning in "the interests of the party," as Mr. Bryan said he had. He continued:

"Mr. Bryan says: 'I examined into his (Sullivan's) conduct of the Springfield convention before I took part in the attempt to unseat him, and at the St. Louis convention I had in writing a request for his repudiation signed by more than half the delegates to the convention.' That statement is not true. Mr. Bryan knew it was not true when he made it.

"Mr. Bryan's statement that he had in writing a request signed by more than half the delegates to the Springfield convention is a statement that has been made before. It was made at the St. Louis convention and its truth was there challenged. Mr. Bryan has never substantiated it with evidence.

"Mr. Bryan and his associates in the contest at St. Louis made the statement that some 500 delegates in the Springfield convention had signed petitions or letters repudiating the action of the convention. They never presented to the committee on credentials of the national convention any such number. They presented some. The other side at the same time presented telegrams and affidavits certifying that nearly all of the signatures presented by Mr. Bryan and his associates were forgeries.

"The discussion of that Springfield convention and its action for Mr. Bryan's side is always coupled with the innuendo that the elements of the party with which I was connected had perpetrated a fraud by unseating hundreds of legally elected delegates. That innuendo is false in inspiration and false in suggestion it conveys."

Mr. Sullivan then reviews the St. Louis contests, again accusing Mr. Bryan of untruthfulness and repeating that he did not seek endorsement at the hands of that convention and saying he did not attempt to link his

name with that of Mr. Bryan. He accuses Mr. Bryan with having advised his particular friends in Illinois to the democratic ticket, and asks:

"Is this the measure of Mr. Bryan's party fealty? Is this the way Mr. Bryan proposes to help elect a democratic congress?"

Mr. Bryan says: "My political asset is the confidence the people have in my sincerity." Mr. Bryan has twice led the democratic party to defeat—the second a worse defeat than the first. If he is proud of that evidence of the people's confidence in his sincerity he is welcome to it. But his boast of sincerity merits further consideration. He insinuates that I make money out of politics and his sincerity therefore compels him to oppose my participation in democratic affairs. The plain inference is that Mr. Bryan thinks it wrong to make money out of politics. This boast of his puts the stamp of insincerity all over him. If Mr. Bryan thinks it wrong to make money out of politics, he should quit making money. Mr. Bryan has not one dollar that he ever made out of anything but politics. He tried to be a lawyer, he was a failure at it. He tried to be a newspaper editor, he was a failure at that.

"He is now a man of property. As fortunes go he is a rich man. He made every dollar of his fortune out of politics as a stepping stone to the lecture platform. Mr. Bryan discovered many years ago that he could make his political prominence pay. He is a shrewd advertiser and in his way a clever business man. He has discovered that so long as he is a candidate for president and a possible nominee, gifted with the ability to weave flowing sentences into well rounded periods the public will come to hear him at so much a head. He is in politics because it helps the gate receipts. Like the actresses who have discarded the stolen diamond dodge for the greatest publicity of a divorce suit, Mr. Bryan will quit running for president and will quit politics just as soon as he discovers that some other form of advertising will bring more dollars to the box office when he is announced to appear on the stage.

"Mr. Bryan has said that I owed my election to the national committee to fraud in the Springfield convention of two years ago and that the Peoria convention of this year which refused to do his bidding was 'asphyxiated' by me. Both statements, as I have said before, are untrue.

"Mr. Bryan's fraud issue was before the convention frankly and fully. The question at issue, namely, Mr. Bryan's desire that I resign from the national committee was presented to the convention in plain terms. The roll call was 1,038 against Mr. Bryan's request to 570 for it. This result throws a little more light on Mr. Bryan's sincerity when he talks about 'asphyxiation.' This result ought to be, I think, in the view of any sober-minded person, enough to settle Mr. Bryan's 'issue' as to me. He seems not willing to accept it.

"To test Bryan's boasted sincerity a little, I challenge him to this: If I hold my position on the democratic national committee to fraud, I ought to resign. If Mr. Bryan has falsely accused me of profiting by fraud, then he ought to quit running for president, because no man is fit to be a candidate for the high office who goes out in public and lies about any member of his party. Therefore, I purpose that Mr. Bryan and I put the issue as between us up to the men who sat as delegates in the Springfield convention of two years ago.

"Those delegates are still living in

(Continued on page 5)

That's it!
LUXUS
The BEER you Like

No other beer like this. One trial—just one—that's all we ask of you. You will then realize the solid, delicious enjoyment there is in each and every bottle of it, for every bottle is the same—just like the first one you drink. You will then be very careful to call for it—to insist on having it each and every time. You will never forget how good it tasted. You will never forget its delightful, exhilarating effects.

Purity. Purity. Purity. That's our watchword. That's our battle cry. That's what we work for and fight for all the time—every day, every hour. That's what we have and we will have nothing else.

LUXUS Beer is the embodiment of purity. Brewed from the best hops grown in Bohemia—the best that money will buy—and from malt made from the best barley in the world. The rice is imported from India and over a year is consumed in preparing it. The water is from our wonderful Artesian spring, the purest and most sparkling of nature's products.

LUXUS Beer is made right.
LUXUS Beer is fully aged in the most perfect manner known to the master brewer's art. Not a heavy, sticky beer, but pale, light, exhilarating, strengthening and wholesome. That's it. That's LUXUS.

Try it today—just once. You will never need the second invitation.

Order a case for your home today. If your dealer cannot supply you send your order direct to us, and we will supply you promptly.

Fred. Krug Brewing Company Omaha, Nebraska

Every Niece and Nephew of Uncle Sam

should be deeply interested in what he has said about soda crackers, because they are the one food with which all of them are familiar.

Uncle Sam has given out figures showing that soda crackers are richer in nutriment and body-building elements, properly proportioned, than any food made from flour.

This is saying much for common soda crackers, and much more for **Uneeda Biscuit**, because they are soda crackers of the best quality. They are baked better—more scientifically. They are packed better—more cleanly. The damp, dust and odor proof package retains all the goodness and nutriment of the wheat, all the freshness of the best baking, all the purity of the cleanest bakeries.

Your Uncle Sam has shown what food he thinks best for his people. His people have shown that they think **Uneeda Biscuit** the best of that food, nearly 400,000,000 packages having already been consumed.

Uneeda Biscuit
NATIONAL BISCUIT COMPANY **5¢**