

# PHANTOM SHIP

OR—

## The Flying Dutchman.

—BY CAPTAIN MARRYAT.

### CHAPTER XII.—(Continued.)

"There are twenty men on deck to tell the story," replied the captain, "and the old Catholic priest, to boot, for he stood by me the whole time I was on deck. The men said that some accident would happen; and in the morning watch, on sounding the well, we found four feet of water. We took to the pumps, but it gained upon us, and we went down, as I have told you. The mate says that the vessel is well known—it is called the Flying Dutchman."

Philip made no remarks at the time, but he was much pleased at what he had heard. "If," thought he, "the Phantom Ship of my poor father appears to others as well as to me, and they are sufferers, my being on board can make no difference. I do but take my chance of falling in with her, and do not risk the lives of those who sail in the same vessel with me. Now my mind is relieved, and I can prosecute my search with a quiet conscience."

The next day Philip took an opportunity of making the acquaintance of the Catholic priest, who spoke Dutch and other languages as well as he did Portuguese. He was a venerable old man, apparently about sixty years of age, with a white flowing beard, mild in his demeanor, and very pleasant in his conversation.

When Philip kept his watch that night, the old man walked with him, and it was then, after a long conversation, that Philip confided to him that he was of the Catholic persuasion.

"Indeed, my son, that is unusual in a Hollander."

"It is so," replied Philip; "nor is it known on board—not that I am ashamed of my religion, but I wish to avoid discussion."

"You are prudent, my son. Alas! if the reformed religion produces no better fruit than what I have witnessed in the East, it is little better than idolatry."

"Tell me, father," said Philip—"they talk of a mysterious vision—of a ship not manned by mortal men. Did you see it?"

"I saw what others saw," replied the priest; "and certainly, as far as my senses would enable me to judge, the appearance was most unusual—I may say supernatural; but I had heard of this Phantom Ship before, and more-over that its appearance was the precursor of disaster."

The Batavia waited a few days at St. Helena, and then continued her voyage. In six weeks Philip again found himself at anchor in the Zuyder Zee, and having the captain's permission, he immediately set off for his own home, taking with him the old Portuguese priest Mathias, with whom he had formed a great intimacy, and to whom he had offered his protection for the time he might wish to remain in the Low Countries.

Again he was united to his dear Amine.

### CHAPTER XIII.

About three months later Amine and Philip were seated upon the mossy bank which we have mentioned, and which had become their favorite resort. Father Mathias had contracted a great intimacy with Father Seysen, and the two priests were almost as inseparable as were Philip and Amine. Having determined to wait a summons previous to Philip's again entering upon his strange and fearful task, and, happy in the possession of each other, the subject was seldom revived: Philip, who had, on his return, expressed his wish to the directors of the company for immediate employment, had, since that period, taken no further steps, nor had any communication with Amsterdam.

All at once Philip felt something touch his shoulder, and a sudden chill ran through his frame. In a moment his ideas reverted to the probable cause; he turned round his head, and to his amazement, beheld the (supposed to be drowned) pilot of the Ter Schilling, the one-eyed Schriften, who stood behind him with a letter in his hand. The sudden appearance of this malignant wretch induced Philip to exclaim:

"Merciful Heavens! is it possible?" Amine, who had turned her head round at the exclamation of Philip, covered up her face, and burst into tears. It was not fear that caused this unusual emotion on her part, but the conviction that her husband was never to be at rest but in the grave.

"Philip Vanderdecken," said Schriften, "he! he! I've a letter for you; it is from the company."

"I thought," said he, "that you were drowned when the ship was wrecked in False Bay. How did you escape?"

"How did I escape?" replied Schriften. "Allow me to ask how did you escape?"

"I was thrown up by the waves," replied Philip; "but—"

"But," interrupted Schriften, "he! he! the waves ought not to have thrown me up."

"And why not, pray? I did not say that."

"No! but I presume you wish it had been so; but, on the contrary, I escaped in the same way that you did—"

pear when saved? where could he have been? What think you, Amine?"

"What I have long thought, Philip. He is a ghoul with an evil eye, permitted for some cause to walk the earth in human form, and is certainly, in some way, connected with your strange destiny. If it requires anything to convince me of the truth of all that has passed, it is his appearance—the wretched Afrit! Oh, that I had my mother's powers—but I forget, it displeases you, Philip, that I ever talk of such things, and I am silent."

Philip replied not; and, absorbed in their own meditations, they walked back in silence to the cottage. Although Philip had made up his own mind, he immediately sent the Portuguese priest to summon Father Seysen, that he might communicate with them and take their opinion as to the summons he had received. Having entered into a fresh detail of the supposed death of Schriften, and his reappearance as a messenger, he then left the two priests to consult together, and went upstairs to Amine. It was more than two hours before Philip was called down, and Father Seysen appeared to be in a state of great perplexity.

### CHAPTER XIV.

"My son," said he, "we are much perplexed. We had hoped that our ideas upon this strange communication were correct, and that, allowing all that you have obtained from your mother and have seen yourself to have been no deception, still that it was the work of the Evil One, and, if so, our prayers and masses would have destroyed this power. We advised you to wait another summons, and you have received it. The letter itself is of course nothing, but the reappearance of the bearer of the letter is the question to be considered. Tell me, Philip, what is your opinion on this point? It is possible he might have been saved—why not as well as yourself?"

"I acknowledged the possibility, father," replied Philip; "he may have been cast on shore and have wandered in another direction. It is possible, although anything but probable; but since you ask me my opinion, I must say candidly that I consider he is no earthly messenger; nay, I am sure of it. That he is mysteriously connected with my destiny is certain. But who he is and what he is, of course I cannot tell."

"Then, my son, we have come to the determination, in this instance, not to advise. You must act now upon your own responsibility and your own judgment. In what way soever you may decide, we shall not blame you. Our prayers shall be that Heaven may still have you in its holy keeping."

"My decision, holy father, is to obey the summons."

"Be it so, my son; something may occur which may assist to work out the mystery—a mystery which I acknowledge to be beyond my comprehension, and of too painful a nature for me to dwell upon."

Philip said no more, for he perceived that the priest was not at all inclined to converse. Father Mathias took this opportunity of thanking Philip for his hospitality and kindness, and stated his intention of returning to Lisbon by the first opportunity that might offer.

(To be continued.)

### Strange Underground Chapel.

Prayer meetings are often held in the underground galleries of Great Britain's colliery districts, but there is probably only one mine where an apartment has been excavated and set aside exclusively as a place of worship. This strange sanctuary is to be found in the Myndd Newydd Colliery, about three miles out of the town of Swansea. The underground chapel dates back for more than half a century, and every morning since its inauguration the workers in the colliery have assembled together in this remarkable and novel edifice to worship God. The chapel is situated close to the bottom of the shaft so that the miners, on descending the pit, can go to worship before they proceed to their various stations. The apartment is strangely lacking in ornamentation and adornment. The pillars and the beams which support the roof are of rough wood, and a disused coal trolley turned on end, does duty as a pulpit. The only light in the sanctuary is given by a Davy safety lamp hung by a rope from the ceiling. The miners sit upon rough wooden forms placed across the chapel from side to side, and the oldest workman at the colliery performs the duties of pastor. He reads a chapter from the Bible, and then offers up a prayer, asking God to be with the men in their daily labors. The service generally concludes with some grand old Welsh hymn, sung heartily by all the worshippers, with out instrumental aid. The Bible, from which the daily portion is read, is kept especially for chapel use, and is placed during the day in a covered box fixed inside the upturned trolley.

### Moaning at a Wedding.

A mountain wedding took place near Batesville, Va., a few days ago, when Miss Estelle Clemmons became Mrs. Ben Luthers. About 100 guests were present. A rejected suitor of Miss Clemmons was among the guests and he wore a broad band of crape on his arm. During the ceremony the jilted man and his sympathizers expressed their sense of bereavement by low, sorrowful moaning.

It sometimes happens when a pretty girl poses before the mirror that she believes she can never love another.

## THE SHARE OF LABOR.

### PROSPERITY HAS REACHED THE WORKING PEOPLE.

Industrial Establishments Announce Increases of Wages That Will Affect About 350,000 Mechanics and Artisans—Other Advances Sure to Follow.

The advance of wages announced in the current issue of the American Economist is a natural development of the conditions of returning prosperity which set in directly upon the inauguration of President McKinley two years ago. Following the enactment of the Dingley tariff came a marked revival of business activity, which at the close of 1893 had in many ways passed the high-water mark of 1892, the year in which the benefits of the McKinley tariff were at their zenith. The first quarter of 1899 has witnessed a continuation and increase of "good times"—Dingley tariff times—and a new set of the commercial records indicative of exceptional prosperity have been established.

Now comes the wage-earner's period of prosperity. He has not been without some share in the bettered condition of things, for, even though his wages were not largely increased, he was the gainer by increased and certain employment. So long ago as March, 1898, as shown by the Industrial census of the American Protective Tariff league, a vast increase had taken place in the gross sum of money disbursed among work people, as compared with the month of March, 1895, when the disastrous tariff tinkering of a free-trade administration had begun its deadly work upon American labor and industry. It will be recalled that this census, reporting comparative conditions in nearly 2,500 laboring establishments, showed for March, 1898, eight months after the passage of the Dingley law, a gain of 31.65 per cent in the number of hands employed, a gain of 44.05 per cent in the gross sum of wages paid and a gain of 11.56 per cent in the average wage rate per capita.

These gains, important and significant though they were, had not at that time reached the more populous centers of employment, the large average being helped by exceptional increases in regions of smaller population. For example, phenomenal gains were shown in the states of the Pacific coast, the far West, the middle West, and the Northwest. Longer time was needed to bring about a general advance in the heavy producing districts of the middle and eastern states. Enormous overstocks of foreign goods brought in just prior to the higher duties of the Dingley tariff had depressed home production in many important lines, and until these stocks had been absorbed by consumption the "lean" period must perforce continue.

The advent of the "fat" period so confidently anticipated as the natural outcome of the policy of protection is obviously at hand. The reports printed in the current issue of the American Economist show a general advance in earnings of cotton mill operatives of about 10 per cent, of iron and steel workers generally 10 per cent, in tin plate and sheet workers from 5 to 10 per cent, of coal miners about 10 per cent, and in several other departments of labor fully 10 per cent. The reports thus far indicate that nearly 250,000 workers have been affected by the advance in wages. Other establishments which were forced to reduce wages in times of free-trade tariff depression and which are now experiencing the benefits of revived activity are certain to join in the increase of wages.

There is in the situation, as it exists today, and as it promises to develop from this time, a guarantee of good business for the entire country. As is well remarked by Dun's Review of March 11: "Traders and manufacturers can only sell when wage-earners can buy, and in proportion to their buying power." \* \* \* Those who fail to comprehend what such a change may mean have only to consider that a tenth increase in the wages of all labor would put into the markets a new buying demand, in amount about three-quarters of the entire value of exports to all countries.

Protection is keeping its word with the American workingman. The Dingley tariff is carrying out its contract to revive business and increase wages. The "advance agent of prosperity" proves to have been acting with knowledge and authority. The fulfillment is in a fair way to make good the forecast, and more, too.

### WHO WOULD WIN?

Will Free Traders Dare to Make the Tariff an Issue in 1900?

During the last presidential campaign, when so strong an effort was made by many to make the currency question the test issue of the campaign, we pointed out, without entering into the merits of the currency question in any way, that the real issue was still the old question of protection or free trade, and we drew attention to the fact that under the McKinley law and under the protective tariff law in force previous to the enactment of that law, our financial policy had been the same as that which then existed in conjunction with the free-trade Wilson-Gorman law, yet that the country had enjoyed immeasurable prosperity. The present administration has left our financial policy untouched, but it has repealed the odious industry-destroying Wilson-Gorman law and substituted in its place the Dingley law, which is based on the American policy of giving protection to American industries and to American labor.

Under this policy the country has

sprung back again to its old unrivaled prosperity. There has been no lack of money in the country ever since American factories have been reopened and American workmen have been again employed at good wages. There have been no bank failures because of an "inelastic currency" since American products have supplied the American market and have gone out to the markets of the world, thus rolling up a big balance of trade in our favor. Surely it has been proved to the satisfaction of all in the country that a change in our financial system was not a necessary preliminary to the return of prosperity.

Whether or not any change in our financial system is desirable, or, if any, what change is desirable, we do not attempt to say; but we do hold that it has been proved beyond the shadow of a doubt that while prosperity is possible without any change in our financial system, prosperity is impossible without a protective tariff.

### An Active Propaganda.

Referring to the recent bulletin of the Massachusetts bureau of labor statistics, which shows a marked advance in the rate of wages for 1898 paid in Boston and in twelve other cities of the United States as compared with the wage rate of 1870, and which draws a contrast between the American and British standard of living, the Des Moines Register remarks:

"Knowing all of these things, it is strange how an American laborer can be induced to vote for free trade."

And yet the entire fraternity of free-trade editors and writers were never more insistent than now upon what they characterize as the evils of protection and the blessings of unrestricted competition alike in labor, in the products of labor, and in the kind of money that labor shall be paid. If the American laborer shall refuse to repeat the horrible mistake of 1892, it will not be because the lessons of that mistake remain fresh in his mind to the exclusion of the senseless sophistries of free-trade advocates. It will not be for lack of strenuous efforts on the part of these false guides to lead him into another political pitfall.

### Two Views of the Same Subject.



Free-traders are tickled because they imagine that the abandonment of protection was foreshadowed by President McKinley in his Boston speech, when he said: "We have quit discussing the tariff and have turned our attention to getting trade wherever it can be found."



Protectionists are pleased because they know precisely what President McKinley meant when he said in his Boston speech: "It will be a long time before any change can be had or any change desired in our fiscal policy, except to strengthen it. The differences on this question which existed have disappeared. We have turned from academic theories to trade conditions, and are seeking our share of the world's markets."

### Achievement of Protection.

A prominent manufacturer in a recent speech said: "The United States is no longer in the world's market—the whole world is now our salesroom." Every one who has even glanced at the figures of our foreign trade for 1898 and for the first month of 1899, and who has noticed, as every one must have noticed, the comparative extent of our import and our export trade, must feel the truth of this remark. And recognizing its truth, they must surely admit, if not blinded by prejudice, that the protective tariff policy has been justified by its fruits. The remark quoted above puts in a nutshell the achievements of protection. Through it we have secured to the American producer the American market, the best market in the world; we have given employment to American labor; we have developed our home industries and have cheapened the cost of production until now we can, in a large and constantly growing number of cases, undersell all competitors and are in a fair way to capture the markets of the world.

### Needs Investigation.

Whether the bounty system is the best way to bring about the desirable results of American shipbuilding expansion is too deep a question to be answered right off. It is a subject that will bear thoughtful investigation.—*Wilmington (Del.) Herald.*

## SAD AND COMICAL.

### Woes of Free Trade Aristocrats Because of the Personal Baggage Laws.

One of the saddest sights ever witnessed in New England is that of the fallen nether jaw of the free trader as he reads or listens to the reports of a general raise of wages among the eastern cotton mills, and especially when times under the Dingley tariff are contrasted with the awful depression under that unalloyed swindle rightly called the Robber Wilson bill, because it robbed at least one million wage-earners of their jobs. The comical side of the picture is when the fallen jaw begins to oscillate in the attempt to say that, while it is true Dingley protection has brought back the prosperity which the Wilson bill drove away, we should kick out protection which has accomplished this glorious work, and usher in the wage-earner and business man's enemy, free trade.

Again the free trader's nether jaw sags pathetically when reminded of the fact that under the Wilson tariff people lost faith in our government's financial ability, and millions on millions of greenbacks were rushed in and gold demanded therefor, while under protection people's confidence in Uncle Sam is complete, and instead of demanding gold, business men and banks petition for greenbacks in lieu of gold.

But perhaps the most touching phase of protection is the fact that under the Dingley tariff those men and women of vast wealth who annually spend one hundred million dollars in Europe are compelled to pay duty on the costly fabrics they bring back from abroad, just as we common people, including wage-earners, are compelled to pay. And the howls and wails of free traders attain great altitudes, ascending as a testimony against the injustice of treating millionaires precisely as we would wage earners. Tariffs, virtually pleads the free trader, should be formulated to furnish duds, professors and the fat-salaried with cheap foreign fabrics, regardless of how many mills are shut down or how many wage earners are deprived of jobs.

We are pained to state, however, that the unfortunate free trader receives little sympathy among Americans in 1899. On the contrary, the people lack interest in the revival of the carcass of free trade, preferring its speedy burial. And we fear that the mournful obsequies would generate few tears save from anti-Americans who, like the managers of such papers as the *New York Evening Post*, oppose American prosperity, which is but another name for work for everybody and everybody at work.

### British Profits on American Products.

Lord Charles Beresford, in the course of an interview held with him during his recent visit to this country, is represented to have said:

"The trade and commerce of England affects the whole world. The extent is very hard to determine, because we trade with and carry out only our own manufactures and the colonies' products, but those of other nations. For example, we buy our cotton and carry it in our bottoms to China, where we sell it. Our merchants make the profits, whereas America counts the sales in her exports of cotton."

While the facts will not bear Lord Beresford out in his easy assumption that the British shipowners make all the profits on American export sales through their monopoly of the ocean carrying trade, yet it is painfully evident that a large proportion of the profits made on American export trade does go to the British shipowner. Lord Beresford's remark is full of suggestion to every American. It not only touches upon the humiliating position which this country occupies in being obliged to depend on British ships for its carrying trade, but it also suggests the great financial loss which this entails.

Under the system which was in force in this country in the earlier part of the century, our carrying trade was not done by British ships, and we were possessed of an American merchant marine without calling upon the government for any outlay for its maintenance.

### Reads Like an Old Story.

Reports received by the New York state factory inspector from his deputies show that in the course of 9,600 inspections made by them during the months of December, 1898, and January and February, 1899, they found that 373 new firms had gone into business and that 100 old firms which had formerly closed up had resumed business. It was found also that 1,119 firms had increased the number of their employes. It appears that the total increase in the number of employes from all causes is 13,352. The reports show that 27 firms are working overtime and 20 working double time, and that the extra number of hours worked were 11,268.

This is pretty good testimony to the labor-supplying qualities of the Dingley tariff law. The report reads like those to which we grew accustomed when the McKinley law was in force, and it tells of an industrial situation strangely different from that which prevailed during the intervening years of "Tariff Reform" and Clevelandism.

### Has Reached the Wage Earners.

The reports of advances in wages come from all parts of the country and include all important branches of trade—cotton mills, iron and steel mills, lumber mills, coal mines, copper mines and skilled workers of all kinds. One of the most gratifying features of the situation is that prosperity has reached the wage earners.—*Indianapolis (Ind.) Journal.*