

## WOMAN IS DENIED DAMAGES IN SUIT AGAINST GOVERNOR

Jury Out 28 Minutes in Case of Stenographer Who Asked \$100,000 of Mississippi Executive.

Oxford, Miss., Dec. 11.—A verdict for the defendant was returned by a jury early tonight in the trial of Dr. Francis Nutsch, state auditor of Mississippi, for \$100,000 damages based on charges of malfeasance and other serious allegations.

The verdict, which came in the course of one of the most sensational law suits ever tried in a Mississippi court, was returned at 6:08, just 28 minutes after the arguments were concluded. Instructions given and the case submitted to the jury.

Neither of the principals was present in the court room when the jury presented the verdict, only Mrs. Russell, wife of Mrs. Russell, went to the home of his brother immediately after the trial ended.

The verdict contained only the result set out in the bill.

Yes, the jury found for the defendant.

James E. G. Barnes, who presided at the convened court as the jury had in their bill was read and within three minutes from the time the trial was presented court had been adjourned and the trial of the case delayed until a date in Mississippi when the bill had passed into law.

The verdict was returned by a jury composed of men ranging in age from 46 to 76 years. All are married.

## PLAN TO AMALGAMATE SIXTEEN RAIL UNIONS IN THE AIR

Chicago Conference Seeks Mergers by Chiefs. Referendum or Convener at an Early Date.

Chicago, Dec. 9.—An international amalgamation committee of 125 members, having for its purpose the amalgamation of the sixteen standard organizations of railway employees into one body, was voted here today at a conference of 125 delegates, including 100 members between 6,000 and 7,000 locals in the six railroads.

The membership of the committee is to be 125 each of the 125 delegates at the first meeting December 10. The committee is to consist of a representative of the Minnesota railroad chief's organization, two of the railroads whose September 16 national amalgamation committee chose to employ the services of the committee.

Ed Hartshorn, the new Omaha manager and first secretary, is the ninth new man required by the body.

### SUPREME COURT MAKES TWO LIQUOR RULINGS

Washington, Dec. 11.—Two cases against the government of meat tax and abortion in the enforcement of federal prohibition were decided by the Supreme Court in its session in the state of Washington, from which a statement of the action of the court in upholding the constitutionality of the meat tax amendment to the federal prohibition act follows:

In a decision from the state of Washington, the court held that the meat tax, which had been declared unconstitutional in the state of Washington, was constitutional because it imposed no tax on the sale of meat, but rather imposed a tax on the manufacturer or distributor of meat.

In another case, involving the question of the constitutionality of the meat tax amendment to the federal prohibition act, the court held that the tax was unconstitutional because it imposed no tax on the sale of meat, but rather imposed a tax on the manufacturer or distributor of meat.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.

The panel of five justices called for the reconsideration of the action of the court in upholding the meat tax.