

THE OMAHA DAILY BEE
 FOUNDED BY EDWARD ROSEWATER.
 VICTOR ROSEWATER, EDITOR.
 BEE BUILDING, FARNAM AND 17TH.
 Entered at Omaha postoffice as second-class matter.
TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION:
 Sunday Bee, one year, \$1.50
 Daily Bee, one year, \$1.00
 Daily Bee without Sunday, one year, 60c
 Daily Bee and Sunday, one year, 60c
DELIVERED BY CARRIER:
 Evening and Sunday, per month, 25c
 Evening, without Sunday, per month, 25c
 Daily Bee, including Sunday, per mo., 50c
 Daily Bee, without Sunday, per mo., 45c
 Address all complaints or irregularities in delivery to City Circulation Dept.
REMITTANCES:
 Remit by draft, express or postal order, payable to The Bee Publishing Company. Only 2-cent stamps received in payment of small accounts. Personal checks, except on Omaha and eastern exchange, not accepted.
OFFICES:
 Omaha—The Bee building.
 South Omaha—328 N. Street.
 Council Bluffs—10 North Main street.
 Lincoln—25 Linn building.
 Chicago—191 Marquette building.
 Kansas City—Reliance building.
 New York—41 West Twenty-third.
 St. Louis—42 Price building.
 Washington—25 Fourteenth St. N. W.
CORRESPONDENCE:
 Communications relating to news and editorial matter should be addressed Omaha Bee, Editorial Department.
OCTOBER CIRCULATION
51,898
 State of Nebraska, County of Douglas, ss: Dwight Williams, circulation manager of The Bee Publishing Company, being duly sworn, says that the average daily circulation for the month of October, 1912, was 51,898. DWIGHT WILLIAMS, Circulation Manager.
 Subscribed in my presence and sworn to before me this 1st day of November, 1912. ROBERT HUNTER, Notary Public.
 Subscribers leaving the city temporarily should have The Bee mailed to them. Address will be changed as often as requested.
 Nebraska is the typical autumn resort.
 Boost for Omaha early and often and all the time.
 Yes, and the Omaha beautiful will not be disfigured with ugly billboards.
 Our ex-attorney-general-for-a-little-while likes to hear himself talk in print.
 Every person who lives in Omaha will have at least one cause for thanksgiving.
 Where is that Wyoming man who predicted the earliest and severest winter on record?
 Gunning for human game will probably become less popular sport in New York now.
 The age of the gunman seems to have developed some mighty fine jurors in New York.
 It is supposed, however, that the people of Danville will permit Uncle Joe to live and die there.
 Vincent Astor has a dandy chance now to show us to how a youth reduced to \$68,000,000 should act.
 Reports say Mexico has been shaken by an earthquake that killed many. Somebody trying to be funny?
 Still, if they should supersede Mr. Gompers in office he could not fairly say he had not been honored by his party.
 Is there a state in the union without a receptive candidate for the cabinet willing to take the office if tendered?
 That Los Angeles maniac with a bundle of dynamite concealed in a grind organ was prepared to play a funeral dirge.
 A New York paper asks if the "bad man of the west" is passing away? Evidently he passed to New York a long time ago.
 Do not be afraid to trust the boy. He is as safe and big as was his father and grandfather. His development depends on his elders.
 Conundrum—If the pay of legislative members has been doubled, will the quality of their handiwork improve proportionately?
 Nevada must have resolved to brace up and be a man, judging from its announced intention of going out of the easy-divorce business.
 A lot of fool laws encumber our Nebraska statute books which would not be there if our law-makers had the courage of their convictions.
 Pink teas may be barred from our new Commercial club rooms, but we have no doubt a business woman's league would meet a hearty welcome.
 As usual, the problem of the Albert law is to be solved by those supposed to have the least practical experience with the evils to be cured.
 It will be remembered that the greenbackers, the populists and the silver republicans also set to work "permanently organizing" as soon as the returns were in.
 It is not impossible for Mr. Bryan to get into the senate by way of holding a cabinet position. This might eventuate if President Taft's suggestion were adopted of having the executive represented in each house of congress by his cabinet officers.

The Court House Settlement.
 The county board and court house contractors are reaching the point where settlement of claims and other claims growing out of the erection of the building must be taken up.
 In this matter the members of the board should realize that they are representing the interests of the taxpayers and are expected to safeguard and protect those interests just the same as if they were footing the bills with money out of their own pockets instead of out of the county treasury.
 They should understand, too, that the contractors' threats of lawsuits have no terror for the people of the county—if it comes to a choice of conceding unwarranted demands or resort to the courts, the county board would be fully backed up in refusal to settle on the contractors' terms.
 One thing further should also be kept in mind—that whatever negotiations may proceed, no keep-it-dark game can be played without inviting suspicions that would best be avoided.

Looking Backward This Day in Omaha
 COMPILED FROM BEE FILES NOV. 21.
Forty Years Ago—
 The Sans Ceremonie club gave its opening ball at the Millard, the first private party to be given in that hostelry.
 The Philomathean club has been reorganized with the following membership: S. Hoffman, first violin; A. Rohrs, second violin; Martin Cahn, viola; Zerkowski, cello; George F. Meyer, piano; and Julius Meyer, flute, and will give a series of concerts during the winter.
 The city council has raised the salaries of stokers and drivers of the fire department and of the city janitor to \$70 a month each.
 The Jolla Hunt dramatic troupe, billed to appear at Boyd's tonight, has gone up the flume and the engagement is cancelled.
 W. L. Hawks succeeds Robert C. Jordan as agent for the Travelers Life for Omaha and vicinity. He was officiating with Andy Borden, 1412 Farnam street. Colonel Floyd is able to be about again after a tedious confinement in his rooms.
 It is computed that the paving of Tenth street will require 14,000,000 pounds of Sioux Falls granite.
 Superintendent Bob Law, now of the Burlington, was in the city.

A BALKAN NAPOLEON
Characteristics and Career of Michel Savoff, Bulgar General.
 "Speed is trump" is the current English version of the motto of General Savoff, commander of the victorious Bulgarian army operating before Constantinople. The words express the dominant characteristic of a remarkable campaign and their spirit is understood in the results. In a few short weeks the speed attained by the Bulgarian army overthrew Ottoman rule in Europe, smashed the prophecies of military critics, revised the map of the near east, reared a new nation in the cradle of war and caused the great powers to sit up and take stock of the future.
 Very properly the spotlight is turned on the hitherto unknown military leader, whose achievements put him in the class of great warriors of history. To his own soldiers General Savoff is a Napoleon; to those of other nationalities he seems a Kitchener or a Von Moltke.
 General Savoff was the man chosen by Bulgaria's great premier, Stambouloff, to take the post of minister of war in 1901 and make over the army from a loose assortment of tattered militia regiments into a fighting machine of the first order such as that which, having stormed its way thither, now grimly awaits the onslaught under the walls of Adrianople and Constantinople. Savoff introduced the Mannlicher repeating rifle and evicted the obsolete cannon for Krupp ordnance of the most modern type. He was no cabinet philosopher in military science, relates the Philadelphia Ledger; as a mere youthful captain, in 1886, he had commanded the left wing of the Bulgarian army at Silivritza, with a general's responsibility. Always a student of tactics, he was a singular combination of the patient scholar and the daring leader, and from the first he had the gift that most great warriors have had, of inspiring unflinching confidence and blind allegiance in the rank and file.
 As chief of the military academy at Sofia—Bulgaria's West Point—he had a task that appealed to his imagination and infected by his own contagious zeal and earnestness. The men who are fighting at his shoulder now in Turkey are those who were his pupils in Sofia. He has only to tell his commanders of companies

JOYOUS JARS.
 "I walk at least two miles a day to keep in training," said Mr. Meekton.
 "Doctor's orders?"
 "No, Henrietta's. She says that hereafter she will allow me to represent her in the suffragette parades."—Washington Star.
 "Why did she divorce her first husband?"
 "He couldn't keep his money."
 "And why is she, think her present husband for divorce?"
 "He's such a tightwad."—Houston Post.
 "I never see Jane at church any more."
 "No, since she is wearing her new gown she goes to a church where they stand instead of kneeling."—Detroit News.
 "Flub!" The man who loves a woman can't help being elevated.
 "Dub—And the man who loves more than one is apt to be sent up, too."—Judge's Library.
 "Blinks—An eastern man married as the result of an election bet."
 "Jinks—One of the losers, eh?"—Cleveland Plain Dealer.
 "He always says 'Good mornin' instead of 'Good day'."
 "Well, perhaps, he knows something about mornin'. He is a weather man, you know."—Spokane Spokesman Review.
 "This section," remarked the traveler, as he watched the clothes fluttering in the breeze as the train passed settlement

after settlement, "shows evidence like those of a great flood."
 "I can't see any," answered his puzzled companion. "What are they?"
 "Why, don't you see the washouts all along the line?"—Baltimore American.
LEGEND OF INDIAN SUMMER.
 New York Sun.
 The latest lingering bird of summer fled from autumn's rainy blast, Seeking the rosy lands of far away. When gaily summer passed, And as above the fallow fields he sped, He croaked loud and long. In glair expectancy—and went astray. Forgetful in his song. So fluttering round and round, he flew again. By chance to alden bowers, And summer haunts—ah, where had gone the bloom. The trees were gray and tarnished was the plain, While in the garden close There dwelt a silent, reminiscent gloom. For fallen was the rose, And the lost bird with many a plaintive trill Bemoaned the sombre view—When lo, the enchanted sky the time forgot. And dawned his fairest blue, While fleeing summer paused upon the hill. Where fires of sumac burned, And hearing the familiar song she thought, 'Twas June—and so returned.

Better Stock Raising Methods.
 If the high prices for meat have the effect, as suggested by a Sioux county stockman in an interview in The Bee, of inspiring ranchers to better methods of stock raising productive of larger herds and improved breeds, they have a compensatory value generally overlooked. This stockman predicts that within the next decade "the west is going to see a great change in all the cattle-raising industry," adding that "improved methods are rapidly coming in and in a few years we will be raising as much beef as ever."
 Of course, the subdivision of the great ranges has had the temporary effect of curtailing the live stock supply, but it would be idle to imagine that an industry so profitable would not recover from a setback. This is but one of the changes that have come with the recurring transformations of the west, all of which have meant progress and development. If the smaller farmer goes into cattle-raising now on a more scientific basis than formerly pursued by the big rancher better bred stock and more of it should come in the course of a very few years, for the same principle of intensive production is applicable to live stock as to products of the soil.

Hotels and Living Cost.
 The Missouri Hotel association has been discussing high prices with a view of relief to its members, more than to their patrons. So far as reports go, the proprietors did not discuss ways and means of helping a guest keep down the size of his bill. They did not take up the matter of tips, extorted from the patron as a part—and often a very large part—of the wages of waiters and other employees. What vexed the hotel men was the burden of high prices of what they had to buy, which burden is heavy, yet no heavier, proportionately, upon them than others. One of the prime factors in the successful conduct of a hotel is economy in buying and selling—always has been and always will be from the very nature of the business. No class of men, perhaps, is closer to this acute problem, yet the scale will never be revised downward for the hotel man without making the hotel guest also a beneficiary of a few reductions that will reach over to him.

Wireless Waves Making Records
 Remarkable Radius of Government's New Station.
 Cincinnati Enquirer.
 The new wireless station at Arlington Heights, Va., is not yet working at its maximum efficiency, but is only being adjusted by experimental tests in order to ascertain the potentiality of the plant at various distances and under different atmospheric conditions.
 It has already, however, been fully demonstrated that in this governmental station the world has its most efficient wireless installation, and the future demonstrations as to distances that can be reached will be watched with great interest by scientists, public officials, and the world of business, for its success bids fair to bring fine results in many directions.
 Communication has been established between Arlington and the station at Cliff Den, Ireland, a distance of 2,500 miles over the ocean, and between Arlington and the Mare Island plant in San Francisco bay, a distance of 3,000 miles over the land.
 Key West has caught the messages to the south, and that Panama can be placed in constant touch with the plant at the capital of the republic is not doubted by those in management there.
 These remarkable achievements in wireless transmission of intelligence have been accomplished with but fractions of the power which the plant possesses, and our readers need not be surprised if the great pulsator on the Arlington Heights will prove itself able to call up Rome, Berlin, Paris and Vienna when it is put in complete condition and under full working power.
 Its work is sure to attract the attention of the advanced thinkers of all nations, as it is regarded with world-wide confidence in its ability to accomplish wonders in its line of work and to control a sphere of action far beyond that of any other station in existence.
 Later on tests will be made as to the distance communications can be successfully made with our naval vessels, and it is very probable that the installations of wireless upon them can be changed so that the radius of operation can be materially lengthened and that every battleship, cruiser and supply ship can be kept in touch with headquarters at Washington for distances in the thousands of miles.

PERNICIOUS PREACHINGS
 Gospel of Laziness, Vanity and Selfishness Expounded.
 Washington Post.
 Simon Nelson Patten, distinguished professor of political economy in the University of Pennsylvania, author of many books and treatises, has some very curious ideas about social as well as political economy. He it was who urged that a dutiful wife should rise at 6:30 in the morning, prepare breakfast in fifteen minutes, get the children off to school, and then go to work and earn at least three-fifths as much as her husband, so that she might contribute to the support of the family.
 Now he is at it again. He says that a girl earning \$7 or \$8 a week should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her parents. Such a girl, he said, is more respected than one who spends 50 cents on a hat and gives the rest to her parents. Amplifying his idea, the professor added:
 "The family has no claim upon a woman who earns \$10 a week. She should buy 300 hats, use all her income for herself, and borrow more money for adornment instead of sharing her earnings with her