nitude when considered by themselves. Only by comparison can the full significance of these results be appreciated.

The vast sum of interest accruing on Nebraska mortgages this year must either be paid out of the surplus products of the state, or it must be added to the principal and thus increase the existing debt.

I believe the latter is being done in a vast number of cases, and that this is one of the chief reasons why mortgage debts continue to increase so rapidly.

Now let us see how this annual interest drain compares in size with the annual products of the state from which it must be met. This is an agricultural state. It exports nothing of any consequence save grain, either in its original state or transformed into pork or beef.

According to the reports of our national department of agriculture, over which Uncle Jerry Rusk presides with so much dignity, the average annual product of grain in Nebraska for the past seven years has been:

16 million bushels. Wheat, 121 Corn, 28 Oats,

Now from these official estimates, the crop reports for the current year and the market reports, we may fairly estimate the crops for the present year and their value as follows:

BUSHELS. PRICE PER BU. VALUE. Wheat, 18 Mil. 50 cts. \$9,000,000 Corn, 130 " 20 .. 26,000,000 24 " 25 " Oats, 6,000,000 Total, \$41,000,000

Now by comparison we may see the startling magnitude of the interest bill the people of Nebraska have to pay this

Every bushel of wheat produced in the state this year sold at current prices would only pay three-fifths of our annual interest bill.

Every bushel of wheat and oats would be required to pay the year's interest.

But the comparison shou'd be made with the surplus and not with the entire crop. It is from the surplus that the producers actually send to market that the interest must by paid.

Let us suppose that the surplus for this year consists of one-half the wheat crop, three-fourths of the corm crop, and one-fourth of the oats crop. This includes the corn fed into hogs and cattle. Certainly the estimate is large enough.

This liberal estimate gives the state a surplus of corn, wheat and oats for the year 1892 worth \$25,500,000. Now let us suppose that the entire crop of barley, rye and flax is worth 4 million dollars, and three-fourths of that is marketed. Let us also suppose that there is a surplus of other miscellaneous products amounting to a million and a half. We will thus have a grand total surplus of \$30,000,000, and this is certainly a very high estimate. It should be remembered that the surplus includes all the grain produced over and above that which is actually consumed for food and feed in the state. It also includes the hogs and cattle exported.

Now by another comparison we are brought face to face with the appalling fact that one-half of this total surplus must go to pay the year's interest bill. Out of the other one-half the people of the state must pay for all the commodities imported into the state; the clothing, boots and shoes, the groceries, the lumber, the coal and the hundreds of other things that contribute to the life and comfort of Nebraska people.

The state of Nebraska is today as a

tenant who has to give half his crop to his landlord.

Is it possible that the state of Nebraska can devote half its surplus to the payment of interest? Does it as a matter of fact? No. A large part of the interest is being added to the principal from year to year.

If this conclusion be true, (and let him who denies it, disprove it) what is the prospect for the payment of the

debtor. I am taking a broader view. The people of the state are all bound together into a great commonwealth. Their interests are all bound up together. No individual producer can escape the blighting effects of these conditions simply because he may have no mortgage on his property.

PRODUCTION AND CONCENTRATION OF

WEALTH. Apologists for the present state of

TABLE NO. 2 SHOWING TOTAL MORTGAGES IN FORCE IN NEBRASKA DECEMBER 31, 1892, ETC., ETC.

	TOT	INC	TOT	TNI	MORTG	TNT
	PTAL REAL EST	INCREASE OF FROM JAN. DEC 3	TOTAL MORTGAGE FORCE DEC. 31,	INTEREST PAID GAGES IN	HTGAG	INTEREST
COUNTIES.	CE J	SO A.C	E DE	GAGES IN		FOR 1892.
COUNTIES.	AN.		SC. 33	N B	PORCE BI, '92.	1892
	1, 18	J	. E	1892.	PER C	
	TOTAL REAL ESTATE M'TGS IN FORCE JAN. 1, 1890.	TO	.02. KI 1830.	MORT-	C.	CAPITA
dams · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ 3,608,463 1,677,306 1,299	\$265,586 265,328 104	3,342'877 1,942.634 1,299	\$ 287,459 167,130	8 124 162 13	8 11 0 14 0 1 0
anner laine	116,078 52,542	11,316 10,325	127,394 62,867	11,442 6,016	42 50	4 00 5 00
oone ox Butte oyd	1,223,140 355,958	266,019 32,237 70,341	1,488,159 388,195 70,341	128.228 37.290 13.253	148 64 35	13 0 6 0 7 0
rown - ·	449,100 3,218,690	439 774,912	449,539 3,993,602	36,833 392,344	90 166	7 0 16 0
urt · · · · utler · · ·	1,235,157 1,829,592	394,903 361,469	1,630,060 2 199,061	163,066 188,085	125 122 77	12 0 10 0
ass edar hase	1,869,960 842,003 455,032	209,253 865,773 —17,345	2,079,213 1,707,776 437,657	186,900 164,452 35,809	190	7 0 18 0 6 0
herry · · ·	286,598 745,905	156,743 349,875	343,347 1,095,780	55,777 93,742	78 49 156	8 0
lay	2,065 165 1,166,752	-88,218 462,057	1.976,947 1.628,809	158,941 133,694	109 136	9 0
uming · · ·	1.386,759 2,190,611	896,671 911,677	2,283,430 3,102,288	209,067 306,556	163 129	15 0 13 0
akota awes	664,803 506,925 1,650,601	301,495 184,280 619,588	966,298 691,205 2,270,189	83,829 61,254 225,100	161 77	14 0 7 0
euel · ·	469,333 934,024	170,503 381,271	639,836 1,315,295	63,831 118,858	206 213 146	20 0 21 6 13 0
odge · · ·	1,862,023 27,064,041	812,498 8,688,765	2.674,526 35,752,806	239,106 3,040,303	121 217	11 0 18 0
undy	278,411 2,217,339	53.613 262 951	332,024 2,480,290	30,790 212,098	66 138	6 0 12 0
ranklin	1,147,025 472,869 1,326,045	-42,396 316,569	1,104,629 788,438	95,389 82,716	123 88	10 0 9 0
urnas age arfield	3,047,986 144,102	94,840 1,080,176 17,220	1,420,885 4,128,152 161,322	128 207 454,233 11,748	129 103	11 0 11 0 6 0
osper · · ·	613,922 5,078	108.616 37,047	722,538 42,125	67,491 7,280	81 144 84	13 0 14 0
reeley	903,005 2,190,272	80,694 1,450,325	983,699 3,640,597	80,982 306,797	168 202	13 0 17 0
amilton	2,053,871 1,264,499	177,508 206,575	2.131,379 1,471,074	206,329 128,147	133 163	13 0 14 0
layes	554,703 601,723 3,098,208	169,291 152,492 58,311	723,994 754,215 3,156,519	72,587 69,726	180 126	18 0 12 0 19 0
looker	7,212 1,340,174	4.180 327.437	11,392 1,667,611	284,870 1.342 148,732	210 23 152	3 0
efferson	1,714,735 848,023	245,516 224,987	1.960.251 1.072.110	160.080 96,853	122	10 0
earney	1,540,605 572,769	287,342 43,187	1,827,947 615,956	170,740 51,647	182 205	17 0 17 0
eya Paha - imball	431.355 265,743 1,131,147	2.705 18,069 857,500	434,060 283,812 1,988,647	38,407 23,764	87 283	8 0 23 0
nox ancaster incoln	9,172,266 1,759,059	1,258,860 625,419	10,431,126 2,387,478	186,853 880,463 194,927	199 123 199	18 0 10 0 16 0
ogan - · ·	167,477 110,835	103 3,809	167,580 114,635	13,936 9,803	84 57	7 0
ladison lcPherson -	1,756,681 19,433	781,885 21,743	2,538,566 41,186	227.878 5,460	269 82	15 0 10 9
lerrick	1,378,525 875,806	511 339 573,535	1.889,864 1,349,311	171.022 132.732	189 225	17 6 22 0
emaha uckolls toe	764,109 1,165,332 2,008,309	318,418 250,699 767,583	1.082,527 1.416,031 2,775,892	96,166 137,558 236,375	77 109	7 0 10 0 8 0
awnee · ·	786,273 517,010	141,458 246,155	927,731 763,165	81,033 69,685	96 77 127	7 0 12 0
helps	1,635,461 613,381	463,899 537,784	2,099,360 1,151,165	189.394 97,138	191 192	17 (16 (
olk	1,901,731 1,438,252	556,737 132,219	2.458,468 1,570,471	209,308 138,226	145 143	12 0 13 0
ed Willow - ichardson	1,394,724 1,259,387 307,549	-22,302 161,107 45,420	1.872,422 1,420,494	116,029 120,941	137 79	12 0 7 0
ock aline	2,171,364 980,123	45,439 774,560 824,698	352,988 2,945,924 1,804,821	35,125 266,349 150,143	88 128 258	9 0 11 0 21 0
aunders cotts Bluff -	2,180,512 68,628	412,774 92,986	2,593,286 161,616	216,239 14,991	108 80	9 0
eward heridan	1,742,826 340,635	73,016 230,499	2,815,842 571,134	157,495 60.151	157 57	9 0
herman · ·	1,035,201 95,462 654,579	254,244 -9,129 261,706	1,289,445 86,333	118,741 8,399	184 29	17 0 3 0
tanton hayer - homas	654,572 1,308,782 14,672	264,706 964,740 -2,740	919.278 2,273,522 11,932	83 398 203,534 1,200	153 163	14 0 15 0 2 0
hurston	50,996 953,560	216.921 11,201	267,916 964,761	36,413 81,170	24 67 120	9 0 10 0
Vashington -	1,201,437 1,107,263	65.051 1,283,825	1,266,488 2,391,098	109.843 244,456	98 341	8 0 35 0
Vebster Vheeler	1,542,521 300,481	76,627 2,786	1,618,148 303,267	150,594 27,650	135 153	13 0 13 0
ork Totals	2,423,031 8 132,902,322	\$ 36,213,236	\$ 169.115.558	\$ 15 045.036	146	8 13 0 12 7

NOTE: The minus sign (-) in the last column indicates a decrease

principal? If the people cannot spare enough of their surplus to pay the interest, when and how will they become able to pay the principal? There is but one logical answer: Unless relief is secured through legislative reforms, the mortgage debts of Nebraska can and will be paid only by a transfer of the homes and property of the people to the debt-holders.

I am not discussing this matter from

afiairs are continually pointing to the great and rapid increase of wealth in the nation. To this the discontented reply: "Yes, there is a wonderful increase in the aggregate wealth of the nation But the thing of which we complain is that wealth is being concentrated in hands of a few, it is being centralized The producers are not enjoying the prosperity which should result from whole in very much the same situation as a the narrow standpoint of the individual this great increase in wealth." Which

are right?

Let us look for a moment at one of the startling results shown by the census of 1890. There is in the northeastern corner of the United States a little state called Massachusetts, It is a little more than one-tenth as large as Nebraska Its soil is mostly sterile azd sandy. No mineral wealth is buried in its hills and mountains. Now let us compare this little state with nine great agricultural states, Nebraska, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, and North Carolina. These states have the richest s il in the world. They produce, the great staple agricultural products of the word. Corn, wheat, cotton, and sugar, are here produced in the greatest profusion. These states have rich mines of coal iron and lead.

Massachusetts has an area of 8,315 square miles; the nine states have 486,040-more than 58 to 1.

Massachusetts had in 1880, a population of 1,783,085; the nine states had 13,409,167—more than 7 to 1.

In 1880 Massachusetts had an assessed valuation of \$1,584,756,802; the nine states had \$2,792,919,155—nearly 2 to 1. Yet during the ten years beginning with 1880, this little state of Massachusetts increased her wealth \$569,377,824, and the nine states altogether only increased their wealth \$559,441,974—less by ten million dollars.

Again during the same period Pennsylvania increased her wealth more than these nine states with Kansas, Kentucky and Florida added.

During the same period New York iccreased her wealth more than these twelve states with Tennessee, Virginia and West Virginia added.

And finally the nine small states in the northeast corner of the United States increased their wealth nearly twice as much during those ten years as the twenty-one great states constituting the whole central and southeastern part of our country from Nebraska to Florida, and from Maryland to Louisiana. Yet these twenty-one states had six times the area, twice the population and about the same capital to begin with in 1880.

Has there been any centralization of wealth? Why have those northeastern states accumulated the wealth so fast? Because they are the home of the capitalist, the protected manufacturer, of the railroad king, of the stock and grain gambler, of the great trusts; because the plutocrats of that section are able in countless ways to levy tribute on the producers of other states.

And why have the people of those other states fallen so far behind in the race?

To answer this question for Nebraska is to answer it for all. Therefore let us turn our attention to

THE CAUSES

of this unnatural condition of things. These can be touched on very briefly

1. The people of Nebraska and other great agricultural states of the west and south are a debtor class, and the people of the nine northeastern states are their creditors. Whatever may be said as to the origin of these debts, I believe the majority of fair-minded people will agree that, if conditions had been as favorable to agricultura during the past twenty years as they were during the twenty years p evious, most of these debts would never have been contracted, and such as were contracted, would have been easily and

(Continued on page 9.)