An Appeal For A Fair Judgement.

Judge Peter S. Grosscup, of Chicago, Analyses The Question Of Responsibility For The Great War.

aggressor-that he wished a fight, But the facts, had I been near enough to see and hear, might have been That first-blow as I saw it may have been in self-defense; I not near enough to see the other's clenched fist. It may have deserved: I was not near enough to hear the provocation. What is the only thing visible to one at a distance may not have been the fact at all as seen by those upon the

American public opinion means to But we in America saw the beginnings of this war only from a distance. It looked to us as if Germany struck first. Was that the act of an aggressor wishing for a fight, or the act of one who believes he was justified in what he did? At first ! thought Germany the aggressor wishing for war. The reading of the English White Paper-getting the facts from those near the sceneconvinces me that the Kaiser and his councillors did not do what they have done out of desire for war. And while it does not convince me that war was unavoidable, it reveals that responsibility for it, whether it was avoidable, or not, is on Russia primarily, and as much at least on England and France secondarily as the Kaiser and his councillors, going to that, however, at of collateral considerations must be noticed.

The first of these is: How-came it about that Germany was so ready for war at the moment she declared war, if she did not desire war? 'readiness" an evidence or "desire" Yes and No. That depends on other facts-for instance, how long has that readiness existed. One ready and wishing for war would strike quickly - would not wait forty years, Ger-many has been "ready" for fortythree years. Her situation, both on the west and east, has compelled her to be always ready. But while within the last sixteen years of that fortythree England has made war on the the United States on Spain, Japan on Russia, and Italy on Turkey, Germany, always ready, has remained at peace. Does that count for nothing in the enquiry of whether "readiness" is evidence The Kalser came to the throne in his twentier, as is now in his fiftles; during hat period. usually the fighting per in a man's life, he has not set a German soldier against an enemy; of the millions of soldiers in the field today a German army alone is without a private soldier who has ever before seen actual service in battle. Does that count for nothing? Who can believe, satisfactorfly to himself, that readiness of that kind is evidence of

The second of these collateral matters is: How came it about that Ger-France in Germany's affair with Ruswar even with France, her old enemy, the territorial integrity of Austria; much less with little Belgium that lay between them. The White Paper tional shows also that Germany asked England if she (England) would remain neutral if Germany, in the event ot war with France, would stay out of Belgium. England professed to treat of Servia to Austria; and to remove this as the offer of a bribe and de- from military service all such perclined to commit herself. The White Paper shows also that when Germany could get none of these assurances she asked for peaceful transit across the Belgian territory, offering to compensate for any losses that might follow. This Belgium refused. One fact in this connection-tha geography of the country. A look at that will show that for Germany to swing her forces, solely on tho southerly bend through Alsace and Lorraine would deave her northern flank at the mercy of a northern army from either England or France. To keep out of Belgium, therefore, with England a possible enemy, would have been military madness. Now with all these facts in mind what was, not the technical but the moral obligation of Germany to Belgium! By going across Belgium she was forcing war on Belgium; for although Belgium was under no duty to Germany to grant her transit, she was under no duty to England or France to resist it by force, She could have remained neutral by remaining passive, as China is remaining passive, while Japan, called our by England, is going across her territory toward Germany's Chinese port, China has not given permission; she protests; but no one believes much less anyone in England, that as a neutral she is obliged to take up arms against the country whose army crossing, Indeed Belgium's right not to be molested, even by troops in transit, was not that of "guar anteed neutrality" at all, resting on treaty, but of territorial inviolability. resting on the fact that she was an being repeatedly broken; so that had stration should be made to stop independent nation—the same right this been the first complaint by Servia—and you will have the lever that I have to exclude you from my Austria against Servia on this matter, that lifted it from an Austro-Servian house, not because you have agreed and this Servia's first promise to live question to a European question, with someone else to let me alone, hereafter on friendly relations, there Russia is the great Slav country of because the law gives me the

tack you, you go through my house that being the only way you can effectually overtake him. However the law look upon it as a moral morally right in attacking France is one question; her military American public opinion. Five years stration by Austria might take the necessities. In case she was morally before, March 18, 1909, Servia gave form of acquiring territory. What right in the attack, is another and her word, not to Austria alone, but ever the reason, the spark that has a different question. And that public to the Great Powers, that this scat- ignited Europe was this alleged public

men in a lane some distance from which holds, that however morally that thereafter she would live as a quently transpired was simply the been, and whatever the necessity of five years before the offense was at tried to drown it out, even in Rus conversation. Suddenly one of the going across Beigium, there is a ready in existence. Did it cease? tia; the White Paper shows that on men struck one of the others. Inmoral wrong in trespassing on Beigium's abstract right of territorial communicated to Sir Edward Gray by Austria stipulated not to take any inviolability — compensation being guaranteed. At least, except as an guaranteed. At least, except as an -a note that called out from Sir to prevent its spreading to France; excuse, no nation yet has made it a Edward, not a denial, but an exprescause for war. As for France, assum- sion of sympathy-the German Am- White ing again that Germany was right in striking her, her mouth is closed against complaining of the violation of the treaty by the fact that she moderation of the Austro-Hungarian ity. And it is certain Germany did provoked it. And England, in declin- government, and to the energetic not want war with England. Even ng to say whether she would be a belligerent or not, is in the same that the Servian provocation to not permit Germany to attack from position. As pretended guardians of which Austria-Hungary was then sea the northern coast of Franco. Belgium they cannot provoke an at (March, 1969) exposed did not lead and asked about the purposes of position. As pretended guardians of which ack and then fend it off by holding up their ward between them and the blows that follow; so that as a moral question, this occupation by Ger many of Belgium soil for the purpose of transit, is merged in the larger moral question: Was Germany right in her attack on France- did she honestly believe that her security and honor required that that attack Though the White Paper covers

five pages of the American news

which I found it,

essential facts pertinent to this larger question are few and can be compactly stated. The first of theseenough but never to be lost sight of-is that the Austro-Hungarian monarchy contains a very large Slav population-the race of the Servians also-some of it added in recent years. This constituted, to say the government ought to be destroyed a highly inflammable anti-Austrian material to anyone disposed to start a fire within the Austro-Hungarian boundaries. Another fact not so trite but equally important -is that Servia has been systematically dis. buting firebrands through out this inflammable matter. "It was a subversive movement," says the Austrian foreign minister in one the dispatches constituting the White Paper, "intended to detach from Austria a part of her empire. carried on by organized societies in to which Servian high officials, including ministers, gen erals and judges, resulting in the assassination of the helr to the throne and his wife." not as the individual mad deed of a spirit of the Servian people also, Suiteau or a Czolgosz, we might add, conspiracy" that developed itself in several attempts, at several uncon- reached by some tangible military nected points, by several persons, on the same day; a statement of the Servian attitude nowhere denied in so that the problem of Austria, now this English White Paper, either in the London foreign office or the embassies at Paris or St. Petersburg. On the contrary, Sir Edward Grey says he cannot help but look with sympathy on the basis of the Austro-Hungarian complaint. And Servin And Servin herself practically admits the truth of it, in her reply to the Austrian ultimatum, for though she calls what ever agitation took place "political"that is to say, something whose object is the change of government and detached from the vanquished and not private murder — she offers to taken by the victor. Were we wrong? many invaded Belgium if she did not dissolve the Narodna Odbrana, a revo- desire war? The White Paper shows lutionary society, and every society slide Power even say Nay? On the that Germany told England she would which may be "directing its efforts on transport of the problem as we thought right minister that the problem as we thought right minister that the problem as we thought right minister that the problem as we thought right ministers that the problem as we then the problem as we then the problem as the p land would assure the neutrality of duce a law providing for the most France in Germany's affair with Russevere punishment of "publication sia. That shows she was not seeking calculated to incite hatred against "publication to remove from the "public educain Servia everything calculated to foment propaganda against Austria; to publish n the official gazette and read to the army this promised new attitude from military service all such per-sons as judicial enquiry may have proved to be guilty of acts directed against the integrity of the territory of Austria-Hungary - promises no

people would make unless there was a basis of fact for the complaint, But though Servia thus acknowledged the basis of the complaint, and romised to take measures to remedy , she refused the "collaboration" of Austrian representatives, or the participation of Austrian "delegates," in he investigations relating thereto. She made no straight out denial of the subversive movements alleged The most that can be made of her answer is that she neither admits nor denies, but simply calls for the proofs. But she refused the presence of Austria at the taking of the In a word, as Austria viewed it, should the promised investigation be a whitewash, or should it be s sincere effort to locate responsibility? Austria wanted a sincere investigaion-the attitude of Servia looks as if she wanted a whitewash. And it was on that that the two countries

broke. making the demand and Servia wrong in refusing the demand that Austrian delegates sit in at the investigation? That is the crux of the matter as a question between Austria and Servia. promises to stop it both to Austria The conduct of nations, like that of and the Great Powers—and you have common sense. And like individuals, an immediate matter between Austria nations have the right to have their and Servia. Add the fourth fact—the word taken in matters of this kind determination of Russia, for reasons until their word is no longer good, by of her own, that no military demon would have been no justification for the world. It is not impossible that right, on my own account, to be let alone.

Austria's demand, or for her refusal that great race demanded of its alone.

But suppose, in pursuit of one who has attacked you or is about to at- guilty punished. But this White Paper stirring up the Slave of a neighboring shows that this was not Servia's first nation. It is not impossible that promise—that she had made former Russia, pressed at home by her own promises—that this new offer of her Slavs for a greater measure of civil technically overtake him. However promises—that this new oner of her state that the state of civil technically it may be a trespass, will word was the offer of an already liberty, saw in the Servian situation broken word. This is the third fact in the champion of the race abroad. It yield, on occassion, to greater who was right — a fact entirely design of her own on the Balkan ignored in the views pressed upon peninsula, and feared that a demon

The other day I saw a group of opinion lacks all sense of proportion tering of firebrands should cease-topinion in Russia. compensation being the German Ambassador July 24, 1914 of Servia's territory. the far-reaching self-restraint and would stipulate for France's neutral to a confict. The assurance of good Germany respecting Belgium, least with the facit permission of she would not accept the offered propaganda has continuously creased in extension and intensity; to England would make no assurance its account must be set the recent for France; and with respect Belgrade"; an indictment that none suggestion as the offer of a byibe. of the Powers so much as questionneither the foreign offices nor embassies of Russia, England, or Franceand to which Servia practically pleads guilty in her answer to the Austrian years averted it, ultimatum already stated.

Now in view of this what was Austria-Hungary to do? Accept the word of Servia again? We must look at it not from the standpoint of those who think the Austro-Hungarian but from the standpoint of Austria-Hungary herself. What would we of América do, if despite a solemir promise to desist, some neighborin; nation continued to stir up racial revolution among our people-say Spain among the Porto Ricans or Philippines? Would we accept that nation's word again? It is a just and generous nature that accepts the offender's word on the first offense, but a foolish or craven nature that continues to accept it through repetitions of the offense. Let us not lose sight of the practical side of the problem as presented to Austria. The tria-Hungary was not the spirit of the Servian government only, but the government may be reached some-times by protest. But there are cases in which a people can only be demonstration. History is replete with demonstrations of that kind; that the government's word could no longer be taken, was to impress the people of Servia with Austria-Hungary's purpose not to be silent longer under these flying firebrands, We went to war with Spain for less than Austria was suffering at tho hands of Sgrvia. hands of Sarvia. England déclared war on the republic of Paul Kruger for less. And Italy declared war on lowed to occupy Belgrade. Russia Turkey for less, And in each cass refused. war closed the problem as we thought right | mination that the incendiarism should Why, then, should any outside Power say Nay to Austria, especially if no must be accepted by Europe as well territory was to be taken? Morally as by Germany and Austria right in her demand on Servia, to was Russia's attitude. And it meant sit in at the investigation, why was to Austria and Germany either to not Austria left alone to enforce that bow to that will, or war-with Russia right, as England, the United States, at least, and Italy had been left to enforce their rights?

The answer is-Russia. And that

oo, not because Austria was without

just cause for what she proposed but ecause any movement against the Slavs of Servia would not be tolerated by "home opinion" in Russia. That is the fourth salient fact contained in the White Paper. Had Russia stood aside as England was willing to stand aside, except to see that the demonstration against Servis was not carried too far, the flame would not have spread to Europe, England had no interest in it, as an Austro - Servian question"; so Sir Edward Grey expressly declared, France's interest was merely that of ally of Russia-it was put on that ground at the time by the French reign office; so it was Russia's interference, and Russia's interference alone, that blew the flame from a matter concerning Austria and Servia only, to a matter involving Europe. And upon the sole reason (at least such is the purport of the White Paper) that there was a condition of opinion "at home" that would not permit her to be tolerant, or even ust, in such a dispute as this abroad. Group together, in your mind, these hree facts-the presence of the Slave Now was Austria-Hungary right in in large numbers in Austro-Hungarian population; the systematic stirring these Slavs by Servin against Austria-Hungary; and the persistence of Servia in that, even after solem individuals, must stand the test of staked out the cause of the war as

That shows that development of that spark. Paper shows, as already bassador, referring to that earlier stated, that she hald she would not promise says: "It was only owing to mobilize against" France if England government, and to the energetic not want war with England. Even interference of the Great Powers, after England announced she would conduct in the future which was then many suggested that if England would given by the Servian government has remain neutral she would stay out of Under the eyes, at Belgium But Russia was immovable official Servia, the great Servian stipulation of Austria that territory erime the threads of which lead to Belgium, professed to look upon the

War is hideous, The Kalser and his grandfather always ready, as their situation made it essential they should be ready, had for forty-three But if put in his place, the head of a nation, what could you have done? What could Austria and Germany do? Let the Servian government and the Servian That would be to invite continued attacks. Servia would have ascribed this indulgence to fear of stirring up trouble in Europe. Russia's interference change Servia would have known then that their indulgence was due to fear-the fear of Russia. Besides there is a national self-respect that must be Germany made a mistake in believing Germany and Austria war with Russia, maintained. bowing to the yoke of Russia, on a matter in which Germany and Austria were right and Russia wrong, would have been Germany and Austria already Even though France and England had come at once, and openly, to the side of Russia, could Germany and Austrig have let the matter go the English Cabinet knew. And it on Servia's word? Not unless they were willing to bow their necks to the yoke of Europe. The fact that England and France joined Russia in putting on the yoke would not ing it.

have alleviated the servility of bear But was there no way to escape that yoke without war? That is the question history will ask. Without war with Russia, no-unless Austria accepted the Russian veto on any demonstration against Servia. sia's mind was made up. stipulated not to annex Servian territory; that was not enough; Russia remained immovable. England suggested a conference, and pending such conference that Austria be aland pending Russia was willing that England, Italy, France, and Germany should go into conference, but made cease. Russia's will in the matter

Russia undoubtedly believed she had the backing of France in this, and possibly of England also. The White Paper contains a dispatch showing that the French Ambassador at St. Petersburg was urging "solidarity" of Russia, France, Petersburg was urging the England, on the English Ambassador there. Now why did France back Russin? Why has England come finally to back her, for the Belgian matter is only an excuse? On this matter between Austria and Russia, Austria was right and Russia was wrong. For Austria to have surrendered to the veto of Russia would have meant the surrender of her in dependence as a great power. Why France (and England finally) virtually insist on that surrender Because of the Triple Entente? ally is bound to support another ally in a wrong. It is on that ground that American public opinion is excusing Italy from her obligation to Why then did not England and France let Germany, right, have it out alone with Russia, wrong? There was something else than the ben Sagren Regen.

Triple Entente, Europe, the chief seat of civilization, is the chief seat of the world-old struggle of the races also, especially eastern and south-oratern Europe; the drawing of the the concentric chords of modern life has only intensified that struggle. Europa also s the seat of the modern struggla economic ambitions; industry in has become the affair not of individuals but of nations. as colors released from their anchorago run together, the races drawn of their isolations are merging. industry no longer a matter of amali spheres is concentrating into larger and larger spheres; neither races nor economic spheres can be to longer by national Within the thirty years separate between my first and last visits to Europe this process of things becom-(including people) has nlike ransformed Europe from a land of differences to a land deturesque resembling America dress, of mental attitudes, and of the nternal spirit as well as external appearances of live affairs. means that the day of a larger political concentration is also. What led France and England o back Russin, wrong, in this Austria-Hungary matter against Germany, right, was, undoubtedly, their ap prehension that Germany successful over Russia would be Germany not simply preeminent, but preponderant, politically as well as economically, mong the nations of the continent. That apprehension may have been

justified by the probable fact. prend of the war to the whole of Europe, in consequence, history may justify; I am only stating what I believe to be the basic cause. But this hing every honest mind must admit If this was the Big Caush, underneath the smaller causes, that brought France and England into the struggle, Germany, by every law that entitles a nation to honestly grow, was entitled to resist them. And if war on one side of this apprehension was something not to be monstrous, war on the other side is equally above that common epipher it is not impossible of course that Russia, was unavoidable, through con-Only Omniscience and the Russian Cabinet knew. It is not impossible that Germany made tactical mistake—that the participation of England on the side of Russin might have been avoided by that Only Omniscience and is not impossible that Germany made a mistake as to her own strength even when ready. even when ready, against her enemies' unreadiness. The event will prove. But the duty and the responsibility of balancing these, as to whether he would wait for such con ference or not, was with the Kaise, and his councillors. He knew that Germany was ready. And who has the right to say, that if war either new or a little later was inevitable If the attitude of France and England supporting Russia, wrong, against Germany, right, in the Austro-Service revealed their true attitude matter soward the natural growth of Germany in the family of nations-who the right to say in that event that William was bound to wait until his matched by theirs? I am not reservedly for Germany, nor for an open mind. The question is not: Who struck the first blow? The question is: Why was any blow made

In bet Parifer Oper ift Richard Bagners Lobengrin bom Repertoire abgefest worden - Glfas megen.

In Deutschland follen nach wie bor Die Chatefpearefchen Berte gut Aufführung gelangen. Grehs "Com» mernachtstraum" ift bis jest nicht in Erfüllung gegangen. Der Spagiergong noch Berlin und Wien ift ein ruffifches "Bintermarchen". Samimmer ber Deutschen Lofungswort. machtfiellung bes Britifden Reichs, Die es euch gefallt", fagten die in bem bie Come nicht untergebt, Deutschen gur englischen Berbusforberung. Alle Boblgeruche Arabiens tonnen, wie "Labn Macbeth" fagen wurde, bas an Englands Sanden Rrieg - Deutschland eine Sunger-Hebenbe Blut nicht wegmischen, Die Shulode, die bas Dleffer gewett bamehr wohren, und bis gum Enbe bes Weldauges werben fich die Monteschi (French) und Capuletti (Joffre) in



Grangolifde Runbichafter mit Dajdfinengewehr auf einem gewöhnlichen Auto.

Die beutiche Bolfepiuche.

bie Wut gegen bie Reffen ber anbe-

ren Ranalfeite; baber bet Bag. Die Bolfapfnche! Benn bie Arbeitsto-

gegen bas englijde Bolt, Beiber man

Mangel, trop ben Opfern bon Stoat

und Stabt und Reichtum, fo tragt

England die Schuld, Wird bas Bro

teurer (was bis beute noch nicht bee

Fall ift), fo tommt bas auf bie enge

Man mag fich in Lenbon,

englischen Raiferreich "ficher fühlen",

Aber ein gebifbeten Bolt, bas weiß.

worum es bier geht, lagt fich nicht

willenles ausbungern. Ein Bolt.

bas mehr als 4000 Millionen Mark

auf bem Mitar bes Baterlanbes nies

berlegt, bamit mit biefem Belb ges

fchebe, was nötig ift in biefen fcmes

ren Beiten, beugt fich nicht; nur ane

flopifche Dachte fonnen es gerbre-

chen. Siebzig Millionen Menfchen

barbarifcher und unebler als bas

Rieberbrennen bon ein paar Dugenb

Saufern. Gin Bolt foll gemarters

werben. Aushungern - mon locht

bielleicht in Conbon und bergifit bas

bie Leute nicht auf ihren Spartopfen

Sunger, wenn auch bie Rleineren fich

Siebzig Millionen Menfchen muf-

fen leben, fich fleiben, in Saufern

aushungern wollen! Go eimas

lifche Rechnung, bie bes Saffest

Downing Street, und überall

figfeit junimmt, machft ber

Unter biefem Titel beröffentlicht bas Umfterbamer Blatt "De Telegraaf" einen lefenswerten Bericht feines Berliner Ditarbeiters G. Gi mons, ber bon gutem Berftanbnis für beutiche Mrt geugt. Es ift erfreulich, einen folden Auffan gerabe im Telegraaf gu finben, ber bisber fich Deutschlond recht unfreundlich gezeigt und fogar ein bobarliges Schmäßbilb gegen bas bentiche Beer gebracht hat, (Das Bilb fiellt por ben hintergrund ber brennenben Reimfer Rathebrale givel bon ihren Codeln berabgefriegene Beiligenfiguren, amifchen benen ein breufifcher Solbat mit bem Gefichtsausbrud bes auf ber Zat ertappien Berbrechers hoff; Unterfdrift: Diefer bier bat uns geschänbet! - Die bollanbifche Behörbe hat wegen biefes Bilbes bie Strafperfolgung gegen bas Blott eingeleitet.) Bie geben aus bem Muffag bas lette Drittel wieber:

Mit gufammengebiffenen Babnen, bie Mugen troden, bie Faufte geballt, bas berg gegen bie Rippen hammernb - fo fteht biefes Bolt auf bei, baf guch befreundete Rationen bem Boften. Man tann ebenfowenig bon ber Sunger-Methobe in Mitletbie Rorbfee mit einem Rinbereimer benfchaft gezogen werben. Dber bat ausschöpfen, wie es möglich ift, bas noch niemand in England gehort, beutiche Bolf, bas beutiche Baterland bag Solland, Belgien und bie in Stillde gu ichlagen. Diefes Bolt Comeig fich auch biefer Rur unterfchlaft nicht und fchlummert nicht, merfen muffen? Dag bie Arbeits. nun feine Cohne wochend und Wocht lofigfeit in ben genannten Staaten haltenb im Felbe fteben. Es wird ebenfo große und noch größere Dage mit bantbarem hergen ben Frieben angenommen bat als in Deutschland? willfommen beigen, aber auch timp- Ober wirb allein bon ben Arbeitslofen und burchhaften bis gum bittern fen ber englifden Bebftoff-Inbu-Meugersten. - Der Deutsche weiß, strie Die Statiftit aufgenommen, und bag es um feinen Ropf geht. Geine nicht auch bon ben neutralen und Begner wollen Gligg-Lothringen pon ben tompfenben Feftlanbe Staaten? bem Reich trennen, Schlesmig-bol- In neutralen Staaten leibet man in ftein ben Danen ichenten, Lugemburg Diefem Mugenblid mehr Sunger als Belgien einverleiben, Bolen ben Bo- im gangen Deutschen Reich. Barum? fen geben! Gute Plane! Aber wit Deil bier - in Deutschland - bebermirflichen!? Berfuch' ein- reitmilliger gegeben wirb, weil bier mal, einen Menichen bon ber Beichfel bis gur Donau, bon ber weftli- figen bleiben. Sier forgt ber Staat den bis gur öftlichen Grenge in fur bie Familien berjenigen, bie im Deutschland gu überzeugen, bag er Felbe fteben. Da werben Millionen einen Fingerbreit feines Baterlanbes verfchentt. Da leibet man feinen abtreten foll. Er wird bich ausachen. Er tann bas bei allen euros einfdranten muffen. paifden, ruffifden und japanifden Göttern nicht glauben! Go ift bie Boltsfeele! Er wirb um biefer mobnen und muffen arbeiten. Diefes Sache willen tampfen, bis tein Mann mehr auf zwei gefunben Beinen im

fennen fernen. Und nun meint je-

Zagen - fo lange bauert biefer

in fremben Blattern, wonach bie

Sonnenblumenternen effen! - Rom

bem fie behauptet, Raifer Wilhelm

batte außergewöhnliche Apriichtsmaß-

regeln getroffen, um nach Rem Port

gu flüchten, "wenn fein Plan, bie

britischen Reiche ju gerftoren, miße

gluden follte". Gur bie Rleinigfeit

bon 20 Millionen Mart habe "G.

getauft; bas Gelb habe er fich in

lich jugeeignet, Banten aufgehoben

wiberfent fich ber Auspowerungsme-

thebe feines geliebten Reffen John

Bull. Er bat mit Bibermillen pernommen, bag bie Japaner und bie

Reger, bie Auftralier und Ranabier

und die Fürften aus Indien alle-

famt gegen ibn aufgestanben feien,

und bag fo bas gange Weltraber-

wufite bas, fobalb Git Ebwarb Go-

chen feine Baffe verlangte. Gin

Rrieg gegen Rufland und bie pan-

flamiftifche Gefahr - einberftanben; gegen Frankreich - ben nahm man

fich gu ben Gegnern gefellte. Daber

Schlimmeres: "The Financial Dems"

riefige Rabermert tann niemals gum Stillftanb gebracht werben. Man Lanbe berumläuft. Es laufen beren wurde mit ebenfo biel ober ebenfo aber noch etliche Millionen berum und wenig Erfolg bie Sterne in ihrem hinbern fonnen. Diejenigen tennen im britifchen Reich bas beuts Das Bolt, unter bem es feine Unaliche Bolt berglich ichlecht und unterphabeten find, weiß, bag England schähen gar fehr ben beutschen Un-Dittel befint, um einen Rrieg unerternehmungsgeift, welche ba meinen, träglich ju machen. Es weiß, bag baf nun gang Deutschland Brogbritannien feinem Sanbel, feiner Induftrie und Schiffahrt Fall- Danbe im Saar bergrube und fin bei feinem Boden figen bliebe. Gos ftride legt. Steht bas alles fiill, lange man biefe germanifche Energie hört bie Bewegung ber Triebraber nicht mit Murgel und Bweig, Gtile auf, faufen die Raber über ben Robs für Stud, aus bem Leib eines g fenichachten nicht mehr in ben beis fend-talien Coneenachten, in ben ben Deutschen herausreißt, folang heulenben Frühjahrsfturmen, loben man ihm nicht jebe Fafer feines Les bie blutroten Geuer ber Stablofen bensmutes, feiner Arbeiteleibenichaft. erfinberifchen nicht mehr wie riefige Fadeln neben fpiper Langette aus feinem Bergen ben ichwargen Ratafalten ber gefcnitten bat, folange bleibt er mit brifen, fchleubern bie Effentegel nicht offenem Muge, mit Magemut unb mehr tintenichworge Schleppen geber Araft bes Bupadens herr feines gen ben blauen Simmel, fpriihen und Bebietes. Denn er befitt nicht als funteln bie Erge nicht mehr in ben lein bie Rabigfeit bes Rieberlanbers Schmelgtiegeln, fo bebeutet bas Rrieg und beffen prattifden Ginn, fonbern mit England, benn England will auch ben Wagemut und bie ungahme bas germanifche Bolt aushungern. bare Begeifterung bes großen nies Es will biefes emfige, immer arbeits berlanbifchen Raufmanns aus bem fame und arbeitswillige Bolt mit 17. Jahrhundert, bie bei uns berlo-Arbeitslofigfeit ichlagen. Reine Inren' gegangen ift. buftrie, fein Sanbel, teine Schiff-Das ift bie Pfnche biefes Boltes. fabrt; Sungerenot! Go ftanb in allen ausländischen Blattern lefen: Deutschland muß auf biele Beife bie Borberrichaft, bie Belt:

Bebelft euch vorläufig bamit. Roch einmal: Sier leibet man teinen Bunger, hier gibt's feine Opposition, bier ift hoffnungsvolles Bertrauen auf einen fcnellen, ehrlichen Frieben, Bertrauen gur Regierung, Bertrauen gum Deer. ber Schlampamper, bag in biefen 55

Durch ben Bürgermeifter bon fur burchmachi! Da fteben Berichte Benbunne ift von London aus die Rachricht verbreitet worden, bie ben, tonnen auch ben Schein nicht Butier bier (in Berlin) 5 Mart bas Alliierten hatten bei Chalons 70,000 Bfund toftet und wir Brot aus Deutsche gu Befangenen gemacht. Benn bu eine Liige berbreiteft, warum nicht wenigstens eine glaubfcreibt am 9. September großeven würdige?

Unfinn als wohl je im "Ult" ober "Klabberabatfch" geftanben hat, in-Schon 25,000 Belgier find aus Solland nach Untwerpen gurudgetehrt. Die anderen werben folgen, fobald bei ihnen auch ber Berfiand wieder gurildgefehrt fein wirb.

Momit fich wohl ber Pring bon Di." Land und anderes in Rangba Bales momentan beschäftigen mag? Bird er bon feiner Mama gu Weih-Belgien und Franfreich miberrecht= nochten mit einer Schachtel Bleifolbaten aus Mürnberg bedacht werben. und bergleichen! - Der Germane um fich für ben Feldgug firategifc borgubereiten?

"Burftfaifer" wird Bilbelm II. in englifden Schmabidriften gefdimpft. Geine Fabritate aus ber Gffentuche find aber auch fo icarf gepfeffers, bag bie Zom Utfins fich taum Beit wert gegen ibn eingeftellt wirb. Er nebmen, Die Saut abgugieben. Gie bringen lieber ihre eigene im Sturme foritt in Gicherheit.

3m Mobemberheft des "Cenfurh" bin, mit Belgien als Jugabe, wenn Mogazins fcreibt Camuel I es fein mußte. Aber bie Lage wur- Drif über bie Bufunft, Die Mufbe abenteuerlicher, als auch England gabe und Bestimmung Deutschlands, - und alles, was baran feftifitt -Gin Manneswort gur rechten Beil und am rechten Drt.