

A MESSAGE FROM TAFT

(Continued from page 5.)

merely adopted the tests of the common law and in defining exceptions to the literal application of the statute only substituted for the test of being incidental or indirect that of being reasonable, and this without varying in the slightest the actual scope and effect of the statute. In other words, all the cases under the statute which have now been decided would have been decided the same way if the court had originally accepted in its construction the rule at common law.

It has been said that the court by introducing into the construction of the statute common law distinctions has unenacted it. This is obviously untrue. By its judgment every contract and combination in restraint of interstate trade made with the purpose or necessary effect of controlling prices by stifling competition or of establishing in whole or in part a monopoly of such trade is condemned by the statute. The most extreme critics cannot instance a case that ought to be condemned under the statute which is not brought within its terms as thus construed.

The suggestion is also made that the supreme court by its decision in the last two cases has committed to the court the undefined and unlimited discretion to determine whether a case of restraint of trade is within the terms of the statute. This is wholly untrue. A reasonable restraint of trade at common law is well understood and is clearly defined. It does not rest in the discretion of the court. It must be limited to accomplish the purpose of a lawful main contract to which in order that it shall be enforceable at all it must be incidental. If it exceed the needs of that contract it is void.

The test of reasonableness was never applied by the court at common law to contracts or combinations or conspiracies in restraint of trade whose purpose was or whose necessary effect would be to stifle competition, to control prices or establish monopolies. The courts never assumed power to say that such contracts or combinations or conspiracies might be lawful if the parties to them were only moderate in the use of the power thus secured and did not exact from the public too great and exorbitant prices. It is true that many theorists and others engaged in business violating the statute have hoped that some such line could be drawn by courts, but no court of authority has ever attempted it. Certainly there is nothing in the decisions of the latest two cases from which such a dangerous theory of judicial discretion in enforcing this statute can derive the slightest sanction.

Force and Effectiveness of Statute a Matter of Growth.

We have been twenty-one years making this statute effective for the purpose for which it was enacted. The thought case was discouraging and seemed to point to the states the whole available power to attack and suppress the evils of the trusts. Slowly, however, the error of that judgment was corrected, and only in the last three or four years has the heavy hand of the law been laid upon the great illegal combinations that have exercised such an absolute dominion over many of our industries. Criminal prosecutions have been brought, and a number are pending, but juries have felt averse to convicting for jail sentences and judges have been most reluctant to impose such sentences on men of respectable standing in society whose offense has been regarded as merely statutory. Still, as the offense becomes better understood and the committing of it takes more of studied and deliberate defiance of the law we can be confident that juries will convict individuals and that jail sentences will be imposed.

The Remedy in Equity by Dissolution.

In the Standard Oil case the supreme and circuit courts found the combination to be a monopoly of the interstate business of refining, transporting and marketing petroleum and its products, effected and maintained through thirty-seven different corporations, the stock of which was held by a New Jersey company. It in effect commanded the dissolution of this combination, directed the transfer and pro rata distribution by the New Jersey company of the stock held by it in the thirty-seven corporations to and among its stockholders, and the corporations and individual defendants were enjoined from conspiring or combining to restore such monopoly, and all agreements between the subsidiary corporations tending to produce or bring about further violations of the act were enjoined.

In the tobacco case the court found that the individual defendants, twenty-nine in number, had been engaged in a successful effort to acquire complete dominion over the manufacture, sale and distribution of tobacco in this country and abroad and that this had been done by combinations made with a purpose and effect to stifle competition, control prices and establish a monopoly, not only in the manufacture of tobacco, but also of the manufacture and sale of its products of cigars, cigarettes and snuffs. The tobacco suit presented a far more complicated and difficult case than the Standard Oil suit for a decree which would effectuate the will of the court and end the violation of the statute. There was here no single holding company, as in the case of the Standard Oil trust. The main company was the American Tobacco company, a manufacturing, selling and holding company. The plan adopted to destroy the combination and restore competition involved the redivision of the capital and plants of the whole trust between some of the companies constituting the trust and new companies

organized for the purposes of the measure and made parties to it and him being, new and old, fourteen.

Situation After Readjustment.

The American Tobacco company (old), readjusted capital \$22,000,000; the Liggett & Meyers Tobacco company (new), capital \$27,000,000; the P. Lorillard company (new), capital \$17,000,000; and the R. J. Reynolds Tobacco company (old), capital \$7,525,000, are chiefly engaged in the manufacture and sale of chewing and smoking tobacco and cigars. The former one tin foil company is divided into two, one of \$25,000,000 capital and the other of \$400,000. The one snuff company is divided into three companies, one with a capital of \$15,000,000, another with a capital of \$8,000,000 and a third with a capital of \$8,000,000. The Reelco companies are two, one with a capital of \$5,758,300 and another with a capital of \$2,000,000. There is also the British-American Tobacco company, a British corporation, doing business abroad with a capital of \$26,000,000, the Porto Rican Tobacco company, with a capital of \$1,800,000, and the corporation of United Cigar Stores, with a capital of \$9,000,000.

Under this arrangement each of the different kinds of business will be distributed between two or more companies with a division of the prominent brands in the same tobacco products, so as to make competition not only possible, but necessary. Thus the smoking tobacco business of the country is divided so that the present independent companies have 21.39 per cent, while the American Tobacco company will have 33.08 per cent, the Liggett & Meyers 20.05 per cent, the Lorillard company 22.82 per cent and the Reynolds company 2.66 per cent. The stock of the other thirteen companies, both preferred and common, has been taken from the defendant American Tobacco company and has been distributed among its stockholders. All covenants restricting competition have been declared null and further performance of them has been enjoined. The preferred stock of the different companies has now been given voting power which was denied it under the old organization. The ratio of the preferred stock to the common was as 78 to 40. This constitutes a very decided change in the character of the ownership and control of each company.

In the original suit there were twenty-nine defendants, who were charged with being the conspirators through whom the illegal combination acquired and exercised its unlawful dominion. Under the decree these defendants will hold amounts of stock in the various distributee companies ranging from 41 per cent as a maximum to 28 1/2 per cent as a minimum, except in the case of one small company, the Porto Rican Tobacco company, in which they will hold 45 per cent. The twenty-nine individual defendants are enjoined for three years from buying any stock except from each other, and the group is thus prevented from extending its control during that period. All parties to the suit and the new companies who are made parties are enjoined perpetually from in any way effecting any combination between any of the companies in violation of the statute by way of resumption of the old trust. Each of the fourteen companies is enjoined from acquiring stock in any of the others. All these companies are enjoined from having common directors or officers, or common buying or selling agents, or common offices, or lending money to each other.

Size of New Companies.

Objection was made by certain independent tobacco companies that this settlement was unjust because it left companies with very large capital in active business and that the settlement that would be effective to put all on an equality would be a division of the capital and plant of the trust into small fractions in amount more nearly equal to that of each of the independent companies. This contention results from a misunderstanding of the anti-trust law and its purpose. It is not intended thereby to prevent the accumulation of large capital in business enterprises in which such a combination can secure reduced cost of production, sale and distribution. It is directed against such an aggregation of capital only when its purpose is that of stifling competition, enhancing or controlling prices and establishing a monopoly. If we shall have by the decree defeated these purposes and restored competition between the large units into which the capital and plant have been divided we shall have accomplished the useful purpose of the statute.

Confiscation Not the Purpose of the Statute.

It is not the purpose of the statute to confiscate the property and capital of the offending trusts. Methods of punishment by fine or imprisonment of the individual offenders, by fine of the corporation or by forfeiture of its goods in transportation are provided, but the proceeding in equity is a specific remedy to stop the operation of the trust by injunction and prevent the future use of the plant and capital in violation of the statute.

Effectiveness of Decree.

I venture to say that not in the history of American law has a decree more effective for such a purpose been entered by a court than that against the tobacco trust. As Circuit Judge Noyes said in his judgment approving the decree:

"The extent to which it has been necessary to tear apart this combination and force it into new forms with the attendant burdens ought to demonstrate that the federal anti-trust statute is a drastic statute which accomplishes effective results, which so long as it stands on the statute books must be obeyed and which cannot be disobeyed without incurring far-reaching penalties. And, on the other hand, the successful reconstruction of this organization should teach that the effect of enforcing this statute is not to destroy, but to reconstruct; not to demolish, but to recreate in accordance with the conditions which the congress has declared shall exist among the

people of the United States."

Common Stock Ownership.

It has been assumed that the present pro rata and common ownership in all these companies by former stockholders of the trust would insure a continuance of the same old single control of all the companies into which the trust has by decree been disintegrated. This is erroneous and is based upon the assumed inefficiency and impotence of judicial injunctions. The companies are enjoined from re-organization or combination; they have different managers, directors, purchasing and sales agents. If all or many of the numerous stockholders, reaching into the thousands, attempt to secure concerted action of the companies with a view to the control of the market their number is so large that such an attempt could not well be concealed, and its prime movers and all its participants would be at once subject to contempt proceedings and imprisonment of a summary character. The immediate result of the present situation will necessarily be activity by all the companies under different managers, and then competition must follow of there will be actively by one company and stagnation by another. Only a short time will inevitably lead to a change in ownership of the stock, as all opportunity for continued co-operation must disappear. Those critics who speak of this disintegration in the trust as a mere change of garments have not given consideration to the inevitable working of the decree and understand little the personal danger of attempting to evade or set at naught the solemn injunction of a court whose object is made plain by the decree and whose prohibitions are set forth with a detail and comprehensiveness unexampled in the history of equity jurisprudence.

Voluntary Reorganizations of Other Trusts at Hand.

The effect of these two decisions has led to decrees dissolving the combination of manufacturers of electric lamps, a southern wholesale grocers' association, an intercity decree against the powder trust, with directions by the circuit court compelling dissolution, and other combinations of a similar history are now negotiating with the department of justice looking to a disintegration by decree and reorganization in accordance with law. It seems possible to bring about these reorganizations without general business disturbance.

Movement For Repeal of the Anti-Trust Law.

But now that the anti-trust act is seen to be effective for the accomplishment of the purpose of its enactment we are met by a cry from many different quarters for its repeal. It is said to be obstructive of business progress, to be an attempt to restore old-fashioned methods of destructive competition between small units and to make impossible those useful combinations of capital and the reduction of the cost of production that are essential to continued prosperity and normal growth.

In the recent decisions the supreme court makes clear that there is nothing in the statute which condemns combinations of capital or mere bigness of plant organized to secure economy in production and a reduction of its cost. It is only when the purpose or necessary effect of the organization or maintenance of the combination or the aggregation of immense size are the stifling of competition, actual and potential, and the enhancing of prices and establishing a monopoly that the statute is violated. Mere size is no sin against the law. The merging of two or more business plants necessarily eliminates competition between the units thus combined, but this elimination is in contravention of the statute only when the combination is made for purpose of ending this particular competition in order to secure control of and enhance prices and create a monopoly.

Lack of Definiteness in the Statute.

The complaint is made of the statute that it is not sufficiently definite in its description of that which is forbidden to enable business men to avoid its violation. The suggestion is that we may have a combination of two corporations which may run on for years and that subsequently the attorney general may conclude that it was a violation of the statute and that which was supposed by the combiners to be innocent then turns out to be a combination in violation of the statute. The answer to this hypothetical case is that when men attempt to amass such stupendous capital as will enable them to suppress competition, control prices and establish a monopoly they know the purpose of their acts. Men do not do such a thing without having it clearly in mind. If what they do is merely for the purpose of reducing the cost of production, without the thought of suppressing competition by use of the bigness of the plant they are creating, then they cannot be convicted at the time the union is made, nor can they be convicted later unless it happen that later on they conclude to suppress competition and take the usual methods for doing so and thus establish for themselves a monopoly. They can in such a case hardly complain if the motive which subsequently is disclosed is attributed by the court to the original combination.

New Remedies Suggested.

Much is said of the repeal of this statute and of constructive legislation intended to accomplish the purpose and blaze a clear path for honest merchants and business men to follow. It may be that such a plan will be evolved, but I submit that the discussions which have been brought out in recent days by the fear of the continued execution of the anti-trust law have produced nothing but glittering generalities and have offered no line of distinction or rule of action as definite and as clear as that which the supreme court itself lays down in enforcing the statute.

Supplemental Legislation Needed, Not Repeal or Amendment.

I see no objection, and indeed I can see decided advantages, in the enactment of a law which shall describe and denounce methods of competition which are unfair and are badges of the unlawful purpose denounced in the anti-trust law. The attempt and purpose to suppress a competitor by underselling him at a price as unprofitable as to drive him out of business or the making of exclusive contracts with customers under which they are required to give up association with other manufacturers and numerous kindred methods for stifling competition and effecting monopoly should be described with sufficient accuracy in a criminal statute on the one hand to enable the government to shorten its task by prosecuting single misdemeanors instead of an entire conspiracy and on the other hand to serve the purpose of pointing out more in detail to the business community what must be avoided.

Federal Incorporation Recommended.

In a special message to congress on Jan. 7, 1910, I ventured to point out the disturbance to business that would probably attend the dissolution of these offending trusts. I said: "But such an investigation and possible prosecution of corporations whose prosperity or destruction affects the comfort not only of stockholders, but of millions of wage earners, employees and associated tradesmen, must necessarily tend to disturb the confidence of the business community, to dry up the now flowing sources of capital from its places of hoarding and produce a halt in our present prosperity that will cause suffering and strained circumstances among the innocent many for the faults of the guilty few. The question which I wish in this message to bring clearly to the consideration and discussion of congress is whether, in order to avoid such a possible business danger, something cannot be done by which these business combinations may be offered a means, without great financial disturbance, of changing the character, organization and extent of their business into one within the lines of the law under federal control and supervision, securing compliance with the anti-trust statute."

Importance of the Anti-Trust Act.

The anti-trust act is the expression of the effort of a freedom loving people to preserve equality of opportunity. It is the result of the confident determination of such a people to maintain their future growth by preserving uncontrolled and unrestricted the enterprise of the individual, his industry, his ingenuity, his intelligence and his independent courage. For twenty years or more this statute has been upon the statute book. All knew its general purpose and approved. Many of its violators were cynical over its assumed impotence. It seemed impossible of enforcement. Slowly the mills of the courts ground, and only gradually did the majesty of the law assert itself. Many of its statesmen-authors died before it became a living force, and they and others saw the evil grow which they had hoped to destroy. Now its efficacy is seen; now its power is heavy; now its object is near achievement. Now we hear the call for its repeal on the plea that it interferes with business prosperity, and we are advised in most general terms how by some other evil we are just stamping out can be cured if we only abandon this work of twenty years and try another experiment for another term of years. It is said that the act has not done good. Can this be said in the face of the effect of the Northern Securities decree? That decree was in no way so drastic or inhibitive in detail as either the Standard Oil decree or the tobacco decree. But did it not stop for all time the then powerful movement toward the control of all the railroads of the country in a single hand? Such a one man power could not have been a beneficial influence in the republic, even though exercised under the general supervision of an interstate commission. Do we desire to make such ruthless combinations and monopolies lawful? When all energies are directed, not toward the reduction of the cost of production for the public benefit by a healthful competition, but toward new ways and means for making permanent in a few hands the absolute control of the conditions and prices prevailing in the whole field of industry, then individual enterprise and effort will be paralyzed and the spirit of commercial freedom will be dead. WM. H. TAFT. The White House, Dec. 5, 1911.

Got a Free Lecture.

The agent for a handsomely illustrated book to be sold on long time credit—a feast to the intellect and an adornment to any library—leaned against the side of the house, caught his breath, clinched his fist and looked skyward. "What's the matter?" asked a policeman. "I've met the meanest man," he answered. "I've heard of him, and I've read about him in the papers, but I never expected to meet him face to face." "Where is he?" "Up in that building." "How do you know he's the meanest man?" "By the way he acted. I showed

Federal Corporation Commission Proposed.

I do not set forth in detail the terms and sections of a statute which might supply the constructive legislation permitting and aiding the formation of combinations of capital into federal corporations. They should be subject to rigid rules as to their organization and procedure, including effective pub-

licity, and to the closest supervision as to the issue of stock and bonds by an executive bureau or commission in the department of commerce and labor, to which in times of doubt they might well submit their proposed plans for future business. It must be distinctly understood that incorporation under a federal law could not exempt the company thus formed and its incorporators and managers from prosecution under the anti-trust law for subsequent illegal conduct, but the publicity of its procedure and the opportunity for frequent consultation with the bureau or commission in charge of the incorporation as to the legitimate purpose of its transactions would offer it as great a security against successful prosecutions or violations of the law as would be practical or wise.

Such a bureau or commission might well be invested also with the duty already referred to of aiding courts in the dissolution and recreation of trusts within the law. It should be an executive tribunal of the dignity and power of the comptroller of the currency or the interstate commerce commission, which now exercises supervisory power over important classes of corporations under federal regulation. The drafting of such a federal incorporation law would offer ample opportunity to prevent many manifest evils in corporate management today, including irresponsibility of control in the hands of the few who are not the real owners.

Incorporation Voluntary.

I recommend that the federal charters thus to be granted shall be voluntary, at least until experience justifies mandatory provisions. The benefit to be derived from the operation of great businesses under the protection of such a charter would attract all who are anxious to keep within the lines of the law. Other large combinations that fail to take advantage of the federal incorporation will not have a right to complain if their failure is ascribed to unwillingness to submit their transactions to the careful official scrutiny, competent supervision and publicity attendant upon the enjoyment of such a charter.

Only Supplemental Legislation Needed.

The opportunity thus suggested for federal incorporation, it seems to me, is suitable constructive legislation needed to facilitate the squaring of great industrial enterprises to the rule of action laid down by the anti-trust law. This statute as construed by the supreme court must continue to be the line of distinction for legitimate business. It must be enforced unless we are to banish individualism from all business and reduce it to one common system of regulation or control of prices like that which now prevails with respect to public utilities and which when applied to all business would be a long step toward state socialism.

Importance of the Anti-Trust Act.

The anti-trust act is the expression of the effort of a freedom loving people to preserve equality of opportunity. It is the result of the confident determination of such a people to maintain their future growth by preserving uncontrolled and unrestricted the enterprise of the individual, his industry, his ingenuity, his intelligence and his independent courage. For twenty years or more this statute has been upon the statute book. All knew its general purpose and approved. Many of its violators were cynical over its assumed impotence. It seemed impossible of enforcement. Slowly the mills of the courts ground, and only gradually did the majesty of the law assert itself. Many of its statesmen-authors died before it became a living force, and they and others saw the evil grow which they had hoped to destroy. Now its efficacy is seen; now its power is heavy; now its object is near achievement. Now we hear the call for its repeal on the plea that it interferes with business prosperity, and we are advised in most general terms how by some other evil we are just stamping out can be cured if we only abandon this work of twenty years and try another experiment for another term of years.

Government Administrative Experts Needed to Aid Courts in Trust Dissolutions.

The drafting of the decrees in the dissolution of the present trusts, with a view to their reorganization into legitimate corporations, has made it especially apparent that the courts are not provided with the administrative machinery to make the necessary inquiries preparatory to reorganization or to pursue such inquiries, and they should be empowered to invoke the aid of the bureau of corporations in determining the suitable reorganization of the disintegrated parts. The circuit court and the attorney general were greatly aided in framing the decree in the tobacco trust dissolution by an expert from the bureau of corporations.

Got a Free Lecture.

The agent for a handsomely illustrated book to be sold on long time credit—a feast to the intellect and an adornment to any library—leaned against the side of the house, caught his breath, clinched his fist and looked skyward. "What's the matter?" asked a policeman. "I've met the meanest man," he answered. "I've heard of him, and I've read about him in the papers, but I never expected to meet him face to face." "Where is he?" "Up in that building." "How do you know he's the meanest man?" "By the way he acted. I showed

him this work of art, lectured on it for half an hour, pointed out the engravings, and when I hinted it would be a good thing to order what do you think he said?" "I don't know." "He said he never bought books, he didn't have to. He just waited for some idiot of an agent to come along and tell him all that was in 'em and turn over the leaves while he looked at the pictures. Nice, isn't it?"—Epworth Herald.

TUESDAY TOPICS.

C. S. Hayes went to West Point on business.

Lee Davis of Winchester was a visitor in the city.

Rev. and Mrs. D. C. Colegrove leave for their new home in Denver Thursday.

J. C. Larkin went to Battle Creek on business.

R. G. Rohrer of Hoskins was here transacting business.

Miss Alice Hoskins returned from a three days' visit with her mother at Plainville.

Mr. and Mrs. George N. Heels returned from a visit with friends at Sioux City.

Jack Sullivan, the middleweight fighter of O'Neill, was here visiting with friends.

Mrs. S. M. Brummett of Council Bluffs was here visiting with the A. G. Heckman family.

Miss Ruth Shively, who was here spending Thanksgiving with her parents, Mr. and Mrs. W. T. Shively, has returned to Madison to take charge of her school.

A. H. Viele, who has been confined to his home for some months with an ailing knee, has now practically recovered his usual health. The knee still gives Mr. Viele some trouble, but he is able to be at his place of business.

The new ice house at South Norfolk is about completed.

There will be a meeting of the Degree of Honor in the G. A. R. Hall tomorrow evening.

A regular meeting of Mosaic lodge No. 75 will be held this evening.

D. Rees has sold a house and three lots at South Norfolk to Carl Braasch.

All the brickwork and the roofing work of the new Union Pacific depot has been completed.

The 7-year-old son of Mr. and Mrs. W. H. Gray, farmers living northeast of town, was operated on Monday. The lad is doing well.

D. C. Harrington, 394 Norfolk avenue, suffered a partial stroke of paralysis last night. Mr. Harrington is 62 years of age. He is resting today as well as could be expected.

H. S. Brown was brought before Judge Eiseley for violating ordinance No. 231 Monday. The ordinance refers to the violation of the peddling without license law.

D. Baum, after many weeks of illness, was able to be at his place of business for the first time Monday. Although a trifle weak, Mr. Baum believes he will now be able to be at his store every day.

The two Omaha young men who were planning to open a "nickelodeon" in Norfolk have given up and returned to Omaha. They found it impossible to find a suitable location for their moving picture show.

Adolph Krueger, a farmer living near here, and Hans Hansen, a farmer living near Bradish, were fined \$7.10 each in Judge Eiseley's court Monday. They were both paroled and given two weeks to pay their fines.

John Olson, the stranger who was found lying in a drunken stupor in a vacant lot on Braasch avenue Monday afternoon, had twenty cents in one pocket of his trousers, while the other was filled with onions. John was arrested.

Alvin Ellis, the young farmhand whose arm was amputated after he had caught his hand in a corn shredder near Hoskins, is now located in this city and is under a physician's care. Ellis is rapidly recovering his usual health.

The Killian store missed about half a dozen fountain pens at noon, and strangers who had been examining the pens just before they disappeared are suspected. The police were called and a description of the strangers was given them.

Cards are out announcing the marriage of Lieut. Eugene J. Ely, U. S. A., to Miss Julia Taylor, daughter of Col. Charles Taylor, U. S. A., at St. Paul, Minn., on Dec. 2. Lieut. Ely and his bride will arrive in Norfolk early next week to visit at the home of Mr. and Mrs. E. E. Gillette.

E. P. Weatherby, who has just returned from an Omaha hospital, where he underwent a very serious surgical operation, was able to be at his office yesterday. Mr. Weatherby surprised the Omaha surgeons, who forecasted that he would be in the hospital at least six weeks. His recovery was remarkably rapid.

F. E. Davenport, first vice-president of the Northeastern Nebraska Poultry association, sent to Spilly, England, Tuesday for a coop of single-combed buff orpington chickens. It is possible that these chickens will arrive in Norfolk in time for the annual chicken show on Jan. 3. The English birds are said to be very fancy stock and of the purest buff. They bring a high price.

Miss Edna Leslie and W. J. Currier were married at Sioux City last Saturday afternoon. Miss Leslie is a Des Moines girl and Mr. Currier was formerly employed as night clerk at the Oxnard hotel. After a short honeymoon Mr. and Mrs. Currier will settle somewhere in the center of a territory in which Mr. Currier will travel as salesman for the American Tobacco company.

A regular meeting of the board of education was held last night. There are but four members of the school board in the city. S. G. Dean went to Jack Welsh finds it necessary to be out of the city most of the time attending to his new duties as federal

inspector of locomotive boilers. A regular routine business was transacted at the meeting.

At a special meeting of the Norfolk Ad club last night resolutions extending a vote of thanks to several members of the club for the active part they took in bringing the recent Ad club home talent show to a successful financial ending were passed. All members of the club and those participating in the show were given a vote of thanks. The treasurer's report showed that the Ad club's debt was about cleared up.

Rev. H. Wellhousen was formally installed as pastor of the St. Johannes church Sunday by President Wupper of this synod of the Lutheran church. Mr. Wupper's home is at Hooper, and he was assisted by Rev. Mr. Holzberger of Russell, Kan. The Stanton congregation of the St. Lucas Lutheran church came to Norfolk to be present at the installation. Twenty members of the Stanton choir sang at the St. Johannes church. The ladies of the church served a dinner during the evening.

Some day in the very near future a boy will be killed while engaged in the perilous act of stealing a ride on the rear of an automobile between South Norfolk and the main part of town. The other night three young boys, sons of prominent Norfolk men, took one of these perilous rides, and before the automobile had reached the main part of town the youngsters had almost fallen under the wheels three times. Some action should be taken by the police, say drivers of automobiles, to prevent boys from playing these desperate pranks. "We are bothered to death with these boys," say the drivers. "Some day one of them will be killed."

Elks Honor Their Dead.

Norfolk Elks paid tribute Sunday to the memory of their departed brothers. A public service was held in the lodge room. The program opened with an organ prelude by Mrs. Gettlinger. Esteemed Leading Knight Hall called the meeting to order. A quartet consisting of Dr. C. S. Parker, R. Solomon, C. C. Gow and Herman Schelley rendered music during the service, and Rev. D. C. Colegrove, the chaplain, delivered an impressive address calling upon Elks to not wait until a brother is dead before beginning to realize that he is "a brother, but that, rather, we ever defend an absent brother, not only from misfortune and affliction, but still more from the cruelty of our own minds and tongues and that we realize in ourselves that, living or dead, an Elk is never forgotten, and remembering our absent brothers, we strive to do unto him as we would that he should do unto us." He called attention to the Elks' motto: "The faults of our brothers we write upon the sand; their virtues on the tablets of love and memory." The day's service was altogether a beautiful one. During the past year two members of the local lodge have died.

The deceased members of the Norfolk lodge, and dates of their deaths, are:

- A. C. Powell, May 28, 1902; L. Rosenthal, Sept. 12, 1902; J. W. Parker, Sept. 19, 1903; G. A. Luitkart, Feb. 8, 1904; M. O. Owen, Dec. 17, 1904; G. Offenhausser, Oct. 8, 1905; O. P. Tappert, March 22, 1906; R. L. Braasch, July 21, 1906; T. M. Ryan, July 22, 1906; C. D. Jenkins, Oct. 2, 1906; W. M. Robertson, Jan. 22, 1907; C. W. Braasch, Aug. 11, 1907; B. W. Wolverton, Oct. 5, 1907; C. A. Madsen, April 20, 1908; S. Wilder, July 6, 1908; B. M. Smith, Oct. 15, 1908; W. A. Smith, Dec. 4, 1908; I. G. Westervelt, Jan. 24, 1909; O. O'Neill, Jan. 2, 1910; E. I. Browne, Jan. 19, 1910; C. T. Harrison, March 21, 1910; L. R. Pheasant, Oct. 4, 1910; H. H. Patterson, Oct. 19, 1910; P. B. Alderman, Dec. 11, 1910; A. M. Nixon, Feb. 5, 1911.

NORTHWESTERN RETRENCHES.

Cuts Dispatchers' Force and Also Freight Car Service.

Fremont, Neb., Dec. 2.—A reduction in the freight service on the Eastern division and in the force of dispatchers at the Fremont office went into effect on the Northwestern railroad today. Slow business is the reason given by officials of the road for the retrenchment orders.

Cards are out announcing the marriage of Lieut. Eugene J. Ely, U. S. A., to Miss Julia Taylor, daughter of Col. Charles Taylor, U. S. A., at St. Paul, Minn., on Dec. 2. Lieut. Ely and his bride will arrive in Norfolk early next week to visit at the home of Mr. and Mrs. E. E. Gillette.

E. P. Weatherby, who has just returned from an Omaha hospital, where he underwent a very serious surgical operation, was able to be at his office yesterday. Mr. Weatherby surprised the Omaha surgeons, who forecasted that he would be in the hospital at least six weeks. His recovery was remarkably rapid.

F. E. Davenport, first vice-president of the Northeastern Nebraska Poultry association, sent to Spilly, England, Tuesday for a coop of single-combed buff orpington chickens. It is possible that these chickens will arrive in Norfolk in time for the annual chicken show on Jan. 3. The English birds are said to be very fancy stock and of the purest buff. They bring a high price.

Miss Edna Leslie and W. J. Currier were married at Sioux City last Saturday afternoon. Miss Leslie is a Des Moines girl and Mr. Currier was formerly employed as night clerk at the Oxnard hotel. After a short honeymoon Mr. and Mrs. Currier will settle somewhere in the center of a territory in which Mr. Currier will travel as salesman for the American Tobacco company.

A Pine Ridge Dispute.

Pierre, S. D., Dec. 4.—Holding that the lands reclaimed by the Indians, whether allotted or not, are being selected and declaring that there will be a shortage on the Pine Ridge reservation to fill the claims of the Indians, who he says are first. Allotting Agent Bates has received a new point against the state selection of indemnity lands on that reservation. State Land Commissioner Brinker has gone to Washington to secure a ruling on the disputed point. If the department holds with the allotting agent, the state will be forced to indemnify itself for two sections which were taken by the Indians in their allotment.

A Bomb Kills Twelve.

Constantinople, Dec. 5.—A bomb was thrown in the vilaya of Kosovo, European Turkey, killing twelve persons and wounding twenty. This is the fourth outrage in Macedonia within a few days. In the other cases railways were blown up but no one was injured. Bulgarian revolutionists are accused of being the perpetrators.