Letter

Anger at DN depended upon timing

I am writing only to address the and years have shown this to be true. which one should determine whether column directed against myself.

Much of my concern about the DN was time-bound. For, at the time of my original voicing of concern at the ASUN meeting three weeks ago, few letters had indeed been published. We can go back and forth in time and pick out for ASUN would be unconstitutional or other times when few were published. But my point of concern dealt with the time frame from the end of August until mid-September. Rogers was being a bit selective, in my opinion, by talking about the limited time frame he examined and then "showing" that more dissent is being published now than ever. This is similar to the fallacy of converse accident, but I won't get into that. You can look it up.

Indeed, by the end of September, I was satisfied with the DN and expressed such at the Oct. 1 ASUN meeting.

What was missed was the main bone of contention in my letter: that conservatives are more intolerant of liberals than vice versa. Yes, surveys over years Helen Boosalis as the yardstick against

major points of the latest Jim Rogers The latest Roger's column is merely he is conservative or liberal. There are more evidence of the same. He never other, more reliable, yardsticks against addressed this point.

Indeed, conservatives rarely have a good sense of humor either, but I won't-myself in public and for continuing to get into that.

As for whether eliminating funding ing viewpoints. not, it could have eventually ended up so that Rehnquist and Scalia would have decided the DN's fate, and both consistently have opposed the First Amendment.

As a conservative, Rogers should be opposed to having the government subsidize a publication which is competing against privately run newspapers. I was shocked to find out Rogers was in favor of government subsidies. I guess that only backs up other poli sci research, which shows that people are more ideologically conservative but operationally liberal. Rogers you're an operational liberal.

Lastly, I do not consider support for

Hence the final purpose of the rush

to summit: to bury such melancholy

calculations in an avalanche of summit

excitment and speculation. It worked.

Krauthammer is a National Magazine

Every Wednesday

Stupid Human Tricks \$25 Cash Prize Music by the Twisters

Beer

exchange. It hasn't many left.

which to measure political ideology.

Thank you for allowing me to defend

have the DN serve as a forum for oppos-

Tim Howard graduate student political science **ASUN** senator THURSDAYS at STOOGES 25° Draws Till Midnight * NO COVER *

9th & "P" WE ROCK LINCOLN!

Sperry in Albuquerque

Graduating **Engineers**

Sperry will be on campus

> Thursday October 23

A career with Sperry will make real use of the time and energy you've put into the last four years. The result: We both profit. The more innovative our engineers, the more opportunities they create for themselves. We appreciate that. After all that's what makes us a growing company.

We're looking for B.S. graduates in electrical engineering. You may be involved in:

Electronic Engineering

Design and development of microprocessor based digital hardware, or analog circuitry for use in electronics. Applications include: CRT display systems, flight control systems, flight reference systems and helicopter avionics

Systems Engineering

Avionics system analysis and design. emphasising hardware and software integration. Applications include: Aircraft control law development, optimization of algorithms for aircraft performance, systems specifications design, flight dynamics and real-time operation.

Software Engineering

Creation, development and modification of software for real-time control. Applications include: Flight control. multiplex systems. production support equipment and validation and verification equipment.

Interested? If so, let's get together. We'd like to talk to you about our business and the Albuquerque lifestyle that offers you a vacation climate and outdoor recreation yearround.

Investigate the opportunities at Sperry by talking to us while we're on campus. We'll fill you in on our competitive salaries and comprehensive benefits. Contact your campus Placement Office to arrange to see us. If you are unable to see us on campus, please send your resume to Eric Giershick. P.O. Box 9200. Albuquerque, NM 87119. 87119.



.S. gave up princip

KRAUTHAMMER from Page 4

Hence the hunger for results. But results where? The Geneva deal closest to completion concerns Euromissiles. But closest does not mean close. Such a deal is nowhere near completion. The latest Soviet terms — a time-limited agreement, with leap-of-faith verification and no curb on short-range nuclear systems (which can substitute for dismantled SS-20s) - are risible. If Reagan caves in on these terms, he will have forfeited his single most important foreign-policy achievement: facing down the Soviets and holding NATO together on Euromissile deployment.

Why, then, go to Reykjavik? For one thing, Ronald Reagan likes summits. Not for the glory, but for the talk. He believes that one-on-one he can change things: if not the Evil Empire's wish to prevail, then its illusion about a corresponding American wish.

According to a senior administration official, the president accepted Gorbachev's Reykjavik offer because "the president has always felt that he is a good communicator. He sees the possibility of sitting down with Gorbachev and getting across the point. . . that he's serious" about negotiations.

Besides turning Gorbachev around with a good chat poolside, the hurry-up summit has side purposes, too. It gives the president a club with which to beat back a very contrary Congress, which is challenging him on everything from a nuclear test ban to South African sanctons. Reagan phones six undecided senators to try to stave off an override of his veto on South Africa. He argued that such a slap in the face would weaken him at the summit.

Republican campaign advisors see yet another gain from the summit. "A political masterpiece, a real coup," said one. For what? For winning the November congressional elections on a peace plank. "If you're talking about pure political expediency, it came down well. It's the long-term aspects that are disturbing." The epitaph of an administration navigating with a very short horizon.

But worse than what may happen at Reykjavik is how we got there. The road to Reykjavik ran through Daniloff. With that deal, Reagan gave up not just a Soviet spy, but a principle and a policy. The principle is that in these United States, spying is a punishable offense. The policy is — was — the administration decision to cut down the size of the KGB branch office in New York. Zakharov is free. (And without so much as a decent interval. Before Daniloff had landed in the United States, Zakharov was already gone). And the ballyhooed expulsion of 25 senior KGB members at the Soviet U.N. mission is now meekly on hold.

What is wrong with that? Did we not liberate Yuri Orlov as a result? Yes, but behind Orlov are tens of thousands in jail and psychiatric hospitals or just ing to leave the prison that is the Soviet Union. The Soviet capacity to manufacture hostages, indigenous and foreign, is unlimited. Against an endless supply of hostages, the West will need an endless supply of principles to give up in



Navels





