OCTOBER 1, 1909

The Commoner.

Western Opinion on Mr. Taft's Tribute to the Republican Tariff Law

Kansas City Times (rep .: There sentatives in congress," were "suffiin no such thing as republican solid- ciently strong to defeat and attempt arity on the tariff today. There to change the woolen tariff and, had never again will be such a thing as it been attempted, it would have republican solidarity on a high pro-, beaten the bill reported from either tection basis. The breach is too committee." These representatives wide and too deep to be repaired on of the wool interests were the real that basis. All the speeches of all insurgents. They caused the perverthe defenders of the Payne-Aldrich sion of the "difference in cost of prolaw will not convince the country duction" theory and the repudiation that the party kept the faith, or that of the solemn platform promise. its representatives in congress who They would have defeated, as Mr. had control of the session even at- Taft frankly and humbly admits, any tempted to keep the faith. For the tariff bill that ran counter to their first time in the history of tariff interests, party solidarity or no legislation the chief opponents of a party solidarity. The wool cabal new law are on the republican side. yielded to no considerations of either The people won their first great vic- party or conscience. tory within the republican party parties," argued Mr. Taft, "popular when they forced the nomination of government would be absolutely ima revision candidate and made the possible. In a party those who join party embody a revision plank in its it, if they would make it effective, platform. They were deceived in must surrender their personal pre-Mr. Taft, and they were disappoint- dilections on matters comparatively ed in many of their senators and of less importance in order to acrepresentatives, but the insurgent complish the ground which united members, at least, were loyal to action on the most important printhem. Is it conceivable that the re- ciples at issue secures." He said publican rank and file, especially in that in justifying his condemnation the states of the great central west, of the conscientious insurgents. How will realign themselves with Aldrich perfectly it applies to the wool inand Cannon and turn against their surgents who did everything the own loyal representative? Let no other insurgents sought to do and one think of it.

Waterloo (Ia.) Times-Tribune: What of the progressive republicans, now outcasts? President Taft says a robber wool schedule? In short, they have the privilege of continuing to prevent an invasion of party sothe fight within the party ranks. Kind, real kind of the president. But what will it avail them? They fought for nominee Taft, understanding they were fighting against the Aldrich-Cannon brand of republicanism. They find themselves fought against and the Aldrich-Cannon brand of republicanism accepted as the true ad pure brand, by the man they thought one of them. The Aldrich-Cannon brigade is astride the prancing steeds, with whips in hand they are beating back those who would "progress." It is, perhaps, now perfectly clear to progressive republicans that their party is controlled by the "hated gang." 'They thought when they elected Mr. Taft they had the "gang" licked. They prayed that the president would take a hand in the tariff fight at a time when he could have accomplished something. They excused his neglect to enter into the arena and fight for be, and had just awakened, he would his beliefs, but hoped on and now they find he never much sympathized with their progressive methods. "Their noses were sharpened on their own grindstone."

"Without did it for what? Shall we say that a redeemed promise and an unrepudiated platform are matters "comparatively of less importance" than lidarity Mr. Taft acquiesced in a violation of a platform pledge. If the pledge hadn't been violated the party would have been split. But he lets the men who forced this humiliating surrender for the accomplishment of a wrong to remain honored members of the party. He drives out of the party the men who declined to submit, who would not yield their "personal predilections," who considered a party platform a solemn engagement and election promises as earnests of an effort to redeem them.

prepared by Chairman Payne of the that a 1 per cent reduction in duties house ways and means committee as is a substantial reduction such as the basis of his analysis of the new he promised he would stand for, or tariff law can be construed only as that he meant what he said before a definite alignment on the part of the Ohio society last December when the president with the reactionary he said that it was better to veto forces so far as the tariff question a bill that was not in compliance is concerned. Mr. Taft has particu- with the party pledge. larly failed in sounding the temper and grasping the view of the west with reference to the Payne-Aldrich (rep.): We are already discovering law. He assumes that by signing what the so-called Allison amendthe bill the tariff issue has been disposed of for some years. So far as Aldrich amendment-is doing to the west is concerned, this is an nullify the work of the commission. utterly fallacious assumption. The Practically every decision is being action of congress has made the issue greater and more insistent than along and be decided in the end ever, and it will not be allayed until the tariff system is relieved of at least its most flagrant iniquities.

St. Paul Pioneer Press (insurgent): Standpatters will hail Presiliterature. From the standpatters' above all other men in the country here in Des Moines that in his opin-President Taft is the master of clear statement. His review of the schedules would serve as a model brief of the attorney for the plaintiff. Yet the consumer finds no note of comfort in it.

New York Tribune: President Taft has made his eagerly looked for tariff speech and has declared himself in terms which will please the great majority of the American people, who are neither free traders nor extreme protectionists.

St. Louis Globe-Democrat: The things which Mr. Taft said in his speech in Winona about the tariff are just about what ought to have been expected, but he said them with a little more emphasis and decisiveness than had been looked for.

Chicago Tribune: Did 'anybody expect President Taft to affix his signature to that tariff bill and then go around the country knocking it?

Topeka Capital: You may not agree with President Taft in his opinion of the tariff law recently Duluth (Minn.) Herald: If Presi- passed by a republican congress. But if you were president of the United class occupation. Address The Wesco Supply Co., States by grace of the votes of the Desk S. St. Louis, Mo. republican party what would your opinion of the tariff law be?

Des Moines Register and Leader ment to the rate bill-in fact the taken into the courts there to drag against the commission by judges of the Grosscup affiliation. Take the Des Moines case against the Rock Island, where it was shown that five different rates were charged on eastern merchandise, on the same goods, dent Taft's Winona speech as one in the same boxes, and on the same of the greatest contributions to tariff train, according to the eastern point of shipment. The attorney for the standpoint it is a gem. Perhaps Rock Island admitted at the hearing



Representative wanted to take exclusive agency to promote and sell telephones for farmers lines. Full instructions. Big profits, Clean, highlines. Full instructions, Big profits,

St. Louis Post-Dispatch: In simple language what Mr. Taft did was to read out of the republican party those insurgents who responded to the dictates of conscience and placed principle above party solidarity. They were traitors. They considered it better that the tariff bill be defeated than that the solemn promises of the platform be violated. They rule of greed in governme would have saved Mr. Taft from or hope for more than this? making the humiliating explanation that the failure to reduce the wool rates was a sad error and the retention of the Dingley rates an "important defect in the Payne tariff bill." They would have spared him the abject apology that the wool schedule brings failure "in the performance the office of chief magistrate and had of the platform to reduce rates to a the greatest confidence in his capacity difference in the cost of production as well as his sincerity. It is plainwith reasonable profit to the manu- ly revealed that the president does facturer." But Mr. Taft has not not comprehend the popular view of yet read out of the party or disci-

dent Taft had gone to sleep ten years ago, in the days when patriotism was prostrate under the foot of partisanship, when everything gave way to the demands of the "party organization," no matter how infamous the make-up of that organization might talk just as he talked at Winona. President Taft has signed, approved, defended and praised the infamous treachery of the Aldrich-Payne-Taft bill. President Taft has praised and applauded the people's servants who have been faithful to special privilege and its organization in control of the government, and has upbraided and held up to public scorn the people's servants who have been faithful to the people and have revolted from the galling rule of the vice-regents of organized greed. Could machine rule in politics, the rule of greed in government, ask

Kansas City Star (insurgent): President Taft's Winona speech will be a profound disappointment to the whole country, but especially to the west, which was first and strongest in the support of his candidacy for the tariff question. What is far plined in the reasonant language of more discouraging is that he views forensic dignity the "wool growers the action of congress with reference in the far west" and "the woolen to the party pledges from the reacmanufacturers in the east and other tionary rather than the progressive states," who "through their repre- standpoint. His reliance on a table

Lincoln (Neb.) News (rep.): Mr. Taft was nominated because the republicans believed he was for revision of the tariff. If he had said a year ago that he would have been satisfied if congress put up to him a bill that reduced the tariff less than 1 per cent-which is all that Mr. Payne even claims it did-does anybody imagine that any tariff revisionist would have been yelling very loud for him? If he had said that as president he would sign any bill that the party organization decided was all right, he would not now be the man in the White House. We are very frank to say that we don't believe the president can convince many real tariff revisionists





