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ment, an annuity corresponding to onehalf of the loss of the wage earning power caused by the accident. The loss of a leg was generally estimated by the courts to be equivalent to the loss of Beventy per cent of wage earning power, so that a workman suffering from this injury was allowed an annulty of thirty-five per cent of his wages. For accident causing temporary disablement from work the workman received one-half his dally wages until his complete recovery. All medical and pharmaceutical expenses, as well as hospital
and fureral expenses, were to be borne by the and funeral expenses, were to be borne by the employer. In spite the text of the law, a great deal must, of course, depend upon the views of the courts when called upon to interpret it. Evidence of a tendency to increase the liability of employers is afforded by the act of March 31, 1905, which is supplementary to the act bf April 9, 1898, and which stipulates among other liabilities the paywent of half wages from the first day after the accident in all cases lasting more than ten days, instead of from the fifth day after, as originally
provided."

HE FACT THAT this legislation was limited
to certain trades gave rise to controversy, and the courts differed greatly in their interpretation of its limitations. But the Tribune's writer says: "Generally speaking, all trades involving manufacture of transforming materials of any its provisions, as well as mining, quarrying, building, and all work requiring the use of machinery
worked otherwise than by hand. But even these definitions did not entirely dispose of all difiliculties, and it is still an open question whether bak ers, butchers and various other trades can be re-
garded as being benefited by the law. The evident unfairness to workmen belonging to industries not coming under the provisions of the act and the desire to make the act more far-reaching in its scope resulted in the passage of the law of last April, which definitely deals with the question and finally disposes of the doubts which still existed as to the relative positions of various trades. All commercial enterprises, with the single exception of agriculture are now subject to the employer's liability acts. Some little time must elapse before the new law will become operative, as certain administrative measures must be carried out with regard to the imposition of a small tax on all commerce, The procceds of this smax will be used by the state for the formation of a fund to guarantee workmen in a certain measure against the bankruptcy of employers or of insurance companies in which employers have cov ered thelr liabilities. A clause of the act makes it necessary that this delay shall extend bryond the close of the present year. Many employers
have for a long time past taken the precaution have for a long time past taken the precaution
of protecting themselves by means of insurance of protecting themselves by means of insurance
against all possible liability, both in respect to common law and the provisions of this new act."

## $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{r}}$ <br> HIS EXAMPLE Washington established a

 he New York Herald, Roger A. Pryor says that
## HAVE YOU A PART IN THIS GOOD WORK?

Sarah Vance, 6981/2 Washington building, Chisago, IIl.-Enclosed please find $\$ 1$, in renewal of rear. I have been a continuous reader of your ear. I have been a continuous reader of your aper since its first publication, and I desire to considered as a permanent subscriber. The ommoner, more than any other medium I have ver had the pleasure of reading, meets my views
in all the various and changing conditions of in all the various and changing conditions of these rushing and strenuous times. It is the one
emphatic and unswerving advocate of the eternal principles of right and justice. The great reforms which it advocates in its columns must come if our liberties and the grand institutions of this greatest of the world's republics are to be preerved. We must stand for principles instead of blindly adhering to party. The elements of fire ind water are necessary to human existence, but oo much of these elements destroys life and trausforms the garden into a desert. The tariff has erved its purpose. While under proper control protected our people against foreign competiion and greed. Like the fire on our hearthstones and the water in our millraces, it contributed to thenship. But getting beyond control of its honest ramers and projectors, like the tremendous conlagration and the resistless tidal wave it is lagration and the resistless tidal wave it is sweeping the great majority of our people to
lestruction. It is making a limited number of destruction. It is making a limited number of slaves. It is building up corporations and great fife out of the people. It is bringing vast riches Ife out of the people. It is bringing vast riches
o the few and abject poverty to the many. It to the few and abject poverty to the many, It is enabling the trusts and monopolies to place heir tools in the halls of legislation and on the Judge's bench to make and administer the laws, always in their own interests, regardless of the rights of the great body of our citizens. Changes must be made if our republic is to live. The by congress. We must elect United States senators and judges by direct vote of the people. We must have the right to regulate ind control all public service corporations. i not by proper and lawful regulation of government, it must be by public ownership. And and anarchy. History repeats Itself. The handvriting is on the wall.
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Washington's reasons were personal. Mr. Pryor says that Washington never declared that he regarded a third term as a menace to the public, but that his example "has been commonly accepted as a practical demonstration of his opposition to a third term as a danger to democratic institutions." To Thomes Jefferson Mr. Pryor as cribes the first public utterance from an Amerlcan president against a third term on principle. Mr. Pryor says that the example of Washington was before Jefferson, and he expressed his re solve to follow it, saying: "A few more pre cedents will oppose the obstacle of habit to any one after a while who shall endeavor to extend his term. Perhaps it may beget a disposition to establish it by an amendment to the const tution." Mr. Pryor says that this subject was earnestly considered by the convention which framed the federal constitution. The body on one occasion declared for a six-year term on another, for a seven-year term, with ineligibility or a second term. Some of the members favored hree years, with inelligibility after a certain pumber of terms. The convention finally decided that the presidential term should be four years, with out further limitation. Jefterson's wish at first was that the executive should be elected seven years and be ineligible afterward: but he came to the conclusion that elght years' service 'with a liability to be dropjed at half-way of the term, making that a period of probation,' was the better plan."

