

EXCHANGE BRIC-A-BRAC.

Yale has a female law student.

Webster and Worcester were both alumni of Yale.

The *Central Ray*, in its last issue, is typographically poor.

The Roman Catholics are about to found a great college at Washington.

An anxious public awaits the revised "Webster" at the hands of Pres. Porter.

A test case on student voting has lately been decided at New Haven. The decision was adverse to the students.

We suggest to *Press and Badger* that it is rather late to print even so good an oration as that which took the prize in the Illinois State Contest.

It is said that Sunset Cox, having access to the imperial archives of Constantinople, is about to write a history of the invasion of Europe by the Turks.

We can scarcely refrain from smiling when a college editor says he delights to see a dignified person slip on the pavement and go "soreing" off toward the heavens.

A committee of fifteen chosen by a citizens' meeting at Iowa City declare that the rumors circulated lately, and detrimental to the University, are entirely unfounded.

We clip the following from an eloquent Freshman's oration: "Throughout the whole history of the world the FOOTPRINTS of God's hand may be traced."—*Pikes' Peak Echo*.

A boarding club at Colorado Springs is included in the college directory along with oratorical and athletic associations and announces that it meets three times a day for practice.

The Washburn Argo, from Topeka, comes out in a new dress of striking pattern. But the discrepancy between the outside and the inside is rather too striking. Too many of the articles are strained, and, while making desperate attempts at humor, fail of their object.

The *St. Charles College Gazette* says, "one of the results of science is the quite remarkable fulfilment of the weather bureau predictions." Sure, sonny? Wouldn't it be better to say that correct predictions of the weather, rather than their fulfillment, are results of science?

Our exchange from Lancaster, Penn., has opened a department of fiction, and reports good receipts of material for it. Good idea! Why may not the *Hesperian* have a similar department. We certainly have in the University some of the most accomplished liars in the state.

By a late exchange we notice that Messrs. Fords, Howard and Hurlbut, of New York, have issued a distinctively American New Testament and contemplate following it with an edition of the Old Testament if they meet with sufficient encouragement. It is rather shocking to old-fashioned orthodoxy to hear any portion of the Bible called distinctively American. Our readers will remember, however, if they have read the revised version, that, in numerous places, the American revisers gave different renderings from those of their English brethren. In the regular English edition these changes are noted in the margin or in the appendix. In the edition of Fords, Howard and Hurlbut these American renderings are incorporated into the text and the English are put in an appendix. The idea pleases us. In most of the cases the American changes were preferable to the English. In none of the cases where the English and American revisers differed are we aware that the Americans were given the preference. So we, too, say, "Give us an American Bible.

The *College Student* contains an encyclopædic article on "Names."

An intercollegiate oratorical association is being talked up in California.

The Epoch discusses the prospective Stanford University; admits that Berkeley will feel it heavily, but says that, as the new university will not be in good running order for perhaps ten years, Berkeley has no immediate cause for fear. *The Epoch* attributes the founding of Stanford University to political jealousy which kept Senator Stanford from being a regent of the state institution.

The woman suffragists in Kansas have been stirring things up in great shape and, among other things, circulated petitions for municipal suffrage for women. The curious fact is that of the University faculty, only the married men signed the petition, and one of the suffragists proposes a second petition that no man who has not arrived at sufficient years of judgment to take unto himself a wife shall be allowed a college professorship.

Supreme Court Justices Thornton, Sharpstein, McKee and Ross, sitting in bank, devoted the greater part of yesterday to the examination of a class of eighteen applicants for admission to practice as attorneys and counsellors. The examination was conducted by Justice Thornton. John W. Ahern, Joseph Craig, John J. Allen, E. P. Unangst and Charles E. Snook answered satisfactorily and were discharged from further attendance.—*San Francisco Chronicle*.

A new revision of an old chestnut has come under our notice. It is that old and trusty one about the number of American students in German universities and is rendered thus: one fourth of the students in German universities are Americans. We dislike to have such a valuable chestnut demoralized, and that too, when it was just getting fossilized, but if it must be changed we suggest that it read this way: three fourths of the students in German universities are not Americans.

The *Weekly University Courier* comes out with colors flying and the news that the two papers which have been so vigorously disputing titles to supremacy at Kansas University for some time past, have been consolidated and peace reigns supreme. We hope so; undoubtedly the strife over the papers has done the University harm in many ways. But we judge from the tone of the "consolidated" that all is not so peaceful as it would have us think, and we would not be surprised to see another issue of Sullivan's paper before long.

The question of fashion among students is agitated in some quarters. The richer class dress too expensively for their poverty stricken brethren and so put them either to shame or to bankruptcy. In nothing is the complaint more vehement than in regard to graduating outfits. Claw-hammer or no claw-hammer, Prince Albert or not, becomes an all-absorbing question, against which a vigorous protest is made. A certain writer discusses the question at some length in a late exchange, and thinks that the wealthier students should dress plainly that they may not be stumbling blocks for others. While we agree fully with the main thought as to the foolishness of fashions in college life (or anywhere else) yet we think the latter part of our friend's argument uncalled for. If scholarship, backbone and character are the standards by which students judge each other, then the one who allows himself to feel disgraced because his clothes are not so fine or so costly as those of someone else is—excuse us—a fool. He who fees that his reputation and character depend on the cut of his clothes has no proper place among students.