# BRYAN'S MANAGER, JONES, ON "IGNORANT FOREIGNERS"

He Said that "Ignorant Foreigners" Comprised Fully One Half of the Number of Votes Received by McKinley.

Deliberate Assertion of Democratic National Chairman Jas. K. Jones, that "He Believed Devontly that Bryan Had Been Elected and Was Swindled Out of the Presidency."

"He Believed that in 1900 the Bimetallic Forces Would Win a Great Victory-His Declaration That the Principles of 1896 Are Absolutely Essential to the Welfare of the Country."

(Extracts from the address of United States Senator James K. Jones, chairman of the Democratic national committee, before the Legislature of Arkansas.)

Bryan's manager, J. K. Jones, said: | tory." This still makes 16 to 1 the para "Hundreds of thousands of ignorant mount issue. foreigners, who were here taking bread

He reasserted his conviction "that the out of the mouths of honest labor, voted essential to the welfare of the country." at the last election at the dictation of Mc- That is 16 to 1.

had been elected and was swindled out of the presidency. He told how the railroads, the banks and the bondholders, ar rayed against Bryan with a campaign fund the like of which was never before seen in this country, and which was used lavishly. Business men were intimidat ed by the threats of the money power. The Senator said, with great pride, he would never forget the liberal responses from the people of Arkansas to the Democratic campaign fund."

Predicting that the Republican party would drop the idea of the gold standard and endeavor to bring about bimetallism, the Senator said "the McKinleyites saw the handwriting on the wall."

"What has become of the promised prosperity?" the Senator asked.

"Mr. McKinley seems to be advancing, but the prosperity is not coming with him." Senator Jones said "the goldites had been put on notice." He believed "that in 1900 the bimetallic forces would win a great victory. Rising prices always bring prosperity, declining prices adversity. The repeal of the Sherman act had been followed by a decline of 10 per cent in prices. The cause of it was the striking down of one-half of the metallic money of the world." Senator Jones concluded by asserting his belief 'that the principles he spoke for were bsolutely essential to the welfare of the

In the midst of the enunciation of such views as these the Senator accounted for the election of the Republican ticket by

"HUNDERDS OF THOUSANDS OF IGNORANT FOREIGNERS, WHO ARE HERE TAKING THE BREAD OUT OF THE MOUTHS OF HONEST LABOR, VOTED AT THE LAST ELECTION AT THE DICTATION OF M'KINLEY'S SUPPORTERS.
THESE FOREIGNERS COMPRISED ONE-HALF OF THE NUMBER OF VOTES RECEIVED BY M'KINLEY."

On the day after delivery the Senator's speech was reported in Arkansas Gazette, the principal Democratic paper of the State and the organ of the Democratic party. From that report, the acprinciples he spoke for were absolutely curacy of which has never been questioned by the Senator, the above is

**BRYAN'S THEORIES** AND PRACTICES.

Shouting About the Consent of the Governed.

Bryan's Utterances Wholly Inconsistent with the Practice of Democrats, Who Have Invariably Suppressed the Colored Vote

The verification of theory is practice, the test of sincerity is conduct. When a person or a party preaches one thing and practices another, there is no excuse for being deceived as to his honesty. Four years ago when Mr. Bryan captured the Chicago convention by his eloquent "cross and crown" speech on the free coinage of silver, there was plenty of room for doubting the soundness or even the sanity of his proposition, but it was difficult to question his sincerity or the sincerity of the party which nominated him. However bad their logic, their theory accorded with their practice. The party as then organized, so far as it had the power had practiced what it was then preach-

The Southern States are the backbone and body of the Democratic party in practice. They are the States where the party is most completely devoted to Mr. Bryan and his doctrine, and they are also the States where the party is and has always been in most supreme control. It is in these States, therefore, that we must look for the practice verifying party principles. These States were the home of the greenback movement, of the wildcat banks, and they were and are the hotbed of free silver; therefore in advocating 16 to 1, Government paper money and the overthrow of the national banks, Mr. Bryan is entirely consistent with the practice of his party as at present organized.

In his speech accepting the nomination for President, at Indianapolis, however, he preaches a new gospel for Democracy. It is not money and banking this time, but it is "Liberty," the "consent of the governed," and "equal political rights," regardless of race, etc. Here are a few extracts. How do these professions accord with Democratic practice, where its control is supreme?

"It was God Himself who placed in every Human heart the love of liberty. He has never made a ored "race" in this ration or intelligence that it would wei-

ter."
"We cannot repudiate the principles of self-government in self-government in the the Philippines with South, without weak-out weakening that principle here."

Nor can we "repudiate" the principle of self-government in the thoughout weakening that principle throughout the republic

"A republic can have no subjects. A ty has made millions subject is possible only in a government presting upon force; he is unknown in a government by force is unknown in a government deriving its just powers from the consent of the governed."

"The Democratic While "the Demo-party disputes this cratic party disputes doctrine (of 'wassal this doctrine" in and denounces words it is enforcing it as repugnant to it in practice in a both the letter and quarter of the States spirit of our organ- of the Union.

"If governments de-rive their just powers what States where from the consent of Mr. Bryan's party has from the consent of Mr. Bryan's party has
the governed, it is
power to prevent it
impossible to secure do "governments detitie to people either rive their just powers
by force or purchase."

The governed?" When
and where did it try
to practice this pre-

to practice this pre "Men may dare to do in crowds what they would not dare to do as individuals, macy in many States but the moral charachas been obtained by of an act is not doing "in crowds ermined by the what they would not number of those who dare to do as individ-join in it. Force can uals." Its "right" to defend a right, but rule is "created" by force has never yet created a right."

created a right."

"Rights never conflict; duties never Mr. Bryan's party is clash. Caan it be our "usurping political duty to usurp politirights" of hundreds of cal rights which be thousands of Ameri-

"There are degrees of proficiency in the never "denied to any ment, but it is a restection upon the Creator to say that He crats always have, denied to any people the capacity of self-government," but flection upon the Creator to say that He crats always have, denied to any people the capacity of self-government,"

"Once admit that While Mr. Bryan some people are capa was saying this his bie of self-govern party was using fraud, bie of self-govern-party was using fraud, ment and others are force and legislation not, and that the to deprive a whole capable people have a race of their political right to seize upon rights, and establishand govern the in-ling "the reign of the capable, and you despot" in this counmake force—brute try. force—the only foundation of government and invite the reign of the despot."

and invite the reign of the despot."
"Would we'tread in the paths of tyrans."

Nor reckon the tyrant's cost in power it yrant's cost in power it in security. In the paths of lost.

Who taketh another's crty, disorder and social insecurity. In taking the liberty of others it has sacri-would we win as the fixed its own progress, strong has ever Verily, "the God who reigned over

Make ready to pay the debt.
or the tiod who reigned over Rabylon is the God who is reigning yet."

Rabyian Is the God who is

Is the God who is reigning yet."

If we are to govern the management and give Bryan's party for them no voice in depthirty years. It pertermining the taxes sists by fair meets they must pay, we and fout in disgally dare use they exact them lest they learn millions of people to read the Declara "without their contion of independence sent," and it "dare and the Constitution not educate them lest of the United States they learn to read the and much as for our technation of Independence and much as for our technation of Independence. pendence and the Constitution of the United States, and much us for our in-

Mr. Bryan is insincere and is merely using these "liberty phrases" to catch votes where political rights are more advanced than in the Democratic States, or else he does not represent the Democratic party at all. The party's practice for a generation speaks infinitely louder than Mr. Bryan's words. What the party has always done and is now doing, it may be relied upon to do if elected to power. Until the States where Mr. Bryan's party reigns supreme show some in tention of practicing these "golden precepts," their declaration in nati cal platforms and candidates' speeches, may properly be taken as only so much mate-

rial for political deception. It is not a question of whether the enfranchisement of the negro was a wise or unwise policy, but it is a question of common political honesty. If the party believes, as its practice shows, that the negroes are an inferior race and cannot with safety to our institutions be admitted to political rights, to proclaim the doctrine that the "Creator never denied

Some of the Democrats in the South who are more frank and honest than Mr. Bryan, are already repudiating any pretense of seriously living up to his ethereal political declarations. The Macon, Ga., Telegraph, for instance, frankly declares its unwillingness to take Mr. Bryan seriously in this "consent of the governed" talk and says:

erned" talk and says:

"It is all sweet and nice enough to induige on Fourth of July occasions in the generalities about the 'consent of the governed,' about 'inalienable rights,' about the equality of all men at birth, and so forth, just as our forefathers did who owned slaves and who robbed the Indians of their land, but it is another matter when you try to apply it to the Negro, the Indian, the Kanaka and the Filipino. We of the South are contending for our own, and we are going to have it. The Negro has nothing that we want that was not taken from us by force and given to him. He has no land, he has no birthright, no heritage—nothing but a right to help govern which was given wrongfully to him. When we take the ballot from him we leave him in a far better condition than he found himself when he came among us as a result of Yankee thrift and speculation."

The Macon Telegraph, with real Southern frankness, tells the simple truth about the Democratic position on this subject. Its statement is supported by both the theory and practice of the party wherever it is in power. Moreover the Democratic party has never been dishonest enough to pretend to believe in the political enfranchisement of the inferior races, and Mr. Bryan's sudden admiration of Lincoln and advocacy of Garrisonian principles is a personal flight in political oratory, which has no relation to the past practice or future intention of the present Democratic party. If Mr. Bryan should be elected the offices would by people who, like the Macon Telegraph, ture of a doubt. Now, what are the jeer at these "equality phrases" as empty facts in the case as demonstrated by regeneralities. They evidently regard these utterances as so much verbal bait for here Mr. Bryan's cunning is not as wise as the Telegraph's frankness. Honesty is always respected and such cheap cunning as Mr. Bryan's Indianapolis speech reveals will fail to deceive. The American citizens are not ignorant Filipinos, they are too well informed on the policy and practice of the Democracy on this critics who for the past year have been subject to be caught by Mr. Bryan's profligate in charging undue sympathy rhetorical if not hypocritical phrases. The name of "Lincoln" and "political liberty" | ment. are too sacred to be flippantly used in some sense of the fitness of things.

Nebraska Colonel. an and Bryanism and here is why he has advantage over the allied powers. left the Popocrat fold:

"Mr. Bryan's unparalleled campaign for the principles of the Chicago plat- McKinley, in consideration of England's form and his insistence on the adoption attitude during the Spanish-American of that platform at Kansas City," said Senator Stewart, "induced the people to isbury's well-laid plans for action in suppose the campaign of 1900 would be China and allow our Government to be conducted on the issues of 1896. In this pushed to the front and thus shield the way they were mistaken. An hallucina- British Government in an hour of trying tion induced Bryan to make war on an need. Had the President consented to army of ghosts and hobgoblins, which a diseased brain created. His instinct, joint action with the powers England which has formerly led him to consider would have been highly elated, for in realities, departed. He sought his closet | that case we would soon find ourselves in in pursuit of phantoms, and strung to- open opposition to Russia, and, while gether ten thousand words, which he gave to the public at Indianapolis. He interests, we would in reality be fighting omitted all mention of the issues of 1896 the battles of the British Empire. and summarized the paramount issue of the present campaign in a pledge to the

Tagal Guerrillas.' Whatever the direct result of Senator Stewart's secession from the fusion alliance in Nevada, the rapid spread of the Western revolt against Col. Bryan's Indianapolis program introduces an element of doubt into the canvass in Nevada, Colorado, Montana, Idaho and Utah which was wholly lacking four years ago. Put on the defensive in one and all these former strongholds by an attempted change of issues, and gaining no material strength elsewhere by the sudden about face of his acceptance speech, it is easy to see the stamp of genuine inspiration on Mr. Stewart's prophecy that the Nebraska leader's present "anti-imperialistic" canvass is doomed to defeat.

Nevada silverites, what are left of them, are bothered about the determination of Senator Stewart to support the policy of the administration. Surveyor General Kelly says: "I think Jones will go next," and Sam Davis, the acrobatic humorist who conducts the Carson Appeal, is State controller and engages in various occupations, meanwhile expresses his ideas in the one sentence: "The Appeal will blister Stewart."

WHY CORN PRICES ARE HIGHER. I record price of 1896. For six months of Republican Policy Has Enabled the Workers to Eat More.

crop of corn, amounting to 2.283,875,165 or from 25 to 30 per cent better, than bushels. The highest price, however, the range for the same period in 1896, corn reached that year in Chicago was That the farmers in 1800 could get from 30% cents per bushel, during the month one-quarter to one-third better prices for of April. During no other month did the | their corn than in 1806, with only about price touch 30 cents. In September, at one-tenth less corn to sell, shows how the height of the Bryan boom, it got as greatly they benefited by the broadened low as 19th cents, and through the rest markets the Republican policy of filling of 1896 it did not touch higher than 2516 the city workman's dinner pail had givcents, the record for the second half of en them. The spokesmen of the Demothe year being made shortly after the au- cratic party contend that the present

143.633 bushels, which was 205,731,232 1896, however, with a record-breaking bushels, or about 9 per cent under the bumper crop of corn there were hard atrates one of two things: either that | was only to cent a bushet below the high | heaped down and youning over,

### FACTS ABOUT THAT BRITISH ALLIANCE."

Investigation by Father Malone, the American Priest.

Lord Salisbury's Diplomatic Plans-President McKinley's Independent Policy-Our Course Regarding China Tells the Story.

Rev. Thomas H. Malone of Denver writes from London his information and opinion on the relations between the to any race of people the capacity of United States and Great Britain. The self-government," is obvious hypocrisy. letter is the more significant because four letter is the more significant because four years ago Father Malone's sympathies were strongly with the free silver cause and his influence was cast on the side of Bryan. For some time this widely known priest has been abroad and his facilities for judging of what are the actual relations between the two governments have been exceptionally good. The letter is addressed to Mr. R. C. Kerens, the Missouri member of the Republican national committee. Father Malone writes:

> "Mr. McKinley's attitude during the present crisis in China must be sorely disappointing to such men as Hon. Bourke Cockran and others who have a special object in making it appear that the President has really if not nominally entered into an alliance with England.

> "Mr. Cockran and those who think with him are well aware that if the popular mind can be led to believe Mr. Mc-Kinley's administration is committed to the fortunes of England the Irish and Germans of the country would resent his attitude to the extent of throwing their votes against him at the polls.

> "But in considering this question one should be actuated by higher motives than partisan advantage, for the welfare of our country, regardless of party, must depend upon the patriotic impulses of the people.
> "I, too, would resent any alliance be-

tween the United States and England, but I would equally resent the charge that such an alliance exists until it shall be filled and the Government conducted have been proven beyond the peradvencent developments? The Chinese troubles, which at the present writing are in new votes in the "enemy's country." But a most acute stage, furnish the key to the position of the United States Government in so far at least as any alleged alliance with England is concerned.

"Mr. Hay's note to the powers sets forth clearly the President's policy, and, looking at it from this side of the ocean, I confess that it seems to disarm the for England on the part of our Govern-

"From the English point of view this such a cause. The American people have policy, it is frankly admitted here in London, has been keenly disappointing. It was assuredly the desire and intention HALUCINATIONS, HOBGOBLINS. of the British Government to so use the United States and Japan as to conserve Senator Stewart Tells What Ails the its own interests at home and abroad. This would readily have been done by Senator Stewart of Nevada, the stal- throwing these two countries between wart silver leader, will vote and work for | China and Russia so as to thwart and McKinley this year. He is tired of Bry- weaken the latter, and thus gain a timely

"Indeed I speak advisedly when I say that it was confidently hoped that Mr. war, would readily acquiesce in Lord Salcommit the United States to a policy of would have been highly elated, for in nominally protecting American lives and

"But Mr. McKinley's more prudent course, as outlined in Mr. Hay's note, has not been received with cordial good will in England, whose position may be inferred from a paragraph in to-day's Globe which expresses amazement that the United States will suffer the whole campaign to be rendered abortive in order to gratify what it is pleased to call the cold and calculating designs of Rus-

"One feels from the atmosphere that the United States Government is in no mood for an alliance of any kind with England. That 'Barkis is willin' ' on this side of the ocean there is no shadow of doubt.

"Hence, looking at the President's policy from the point of view afforded in England, I am convinced that he meant what he said at the dinner of the Ohio Society in New York when he affirmed that his policy was both to avoid entangling alliances with as well as hostility to any other nation. And I am moreover convinced that the British Empire is receiving neither encouragement nor sympathy at this time from the Government at Washington.

"THOMAS H. MALONE, "London, England."

the year it did not get below 32% cents a bushel. After Sept. 1 the range was between 3114 and 35 cents a bushel, In 1896 there was a record-breaking which was over 10 cents a bushel better, nonncement of McKinley's election.

In 1800 there was the largest crop of corn since 1800, it amounting to 2,078.

any measure to Republican policies. In

## JONES ABUSES FOREIGN VOTERS



Kinley's supporters. These foreigners comprised fully one-half of the number of votes received by McKinley."

These are the words of the man who 1896. The same man is the present chairman of the Democratic national comof Mr. Bryan.

in a speech. It is no remembered scrap of idle conversation. It was uttered in. no heat of discussion. It was a deliber-

"Hundreds of thousands of ignorant foreigners," said Chairman James K. Jones of the Democratic national committee, "who came here taking bread out of the mouths of honest labor, voted at the last election at the dictation of Mc-Kinley's supporters. These foreigners votes received by McKinley."

And then on this basis that the Republican party owed success in 1896 to than those of the other States and there-"ignorant foreigners," Chairman Jones fore more American. proceeded to hold out the hope of success | in 1900.

"Can there be any doubt," he asked, "as to which shall prevail, the six and one-half millions of intelligent Bryan voters or the three and one-half millions 'ignorant foreigners' who voted for Mc

It will seem to sensible people almost incredible that the chairman of the Democratic national committee could make such statements in a public speech. But the Honorable Jas. K. Jones did it.

More than two months after the election of 1896 he uttered the assertion quoted.

The occasion was no less serious than an address before the Legislature of Ar-States Senate. On the 20th of January, 1897, the Senator appeared before an informal joint session of his State Legisla- Then he proceeded: ture at the capitol in Little Rock. He returned his thanks to the people of Ar- gave greenbacks worth about 50c in gold. I tious men, headed by Judge Taft of Ohio, Legislature, and then he proceeded to dischairman of the Democratic national take 30,000,000 bales now to pay it, with takes up the work of determining the committee and the head of the manage- be who undertook to make the people pay native inhabitants, as provided in the inconsistency. ment of the Democratic campaign. He more than they had contracted to pay treaty. said that he "believed devoutly that Bry- was no better than a vile thief," "We got into the Philippines as an in-

out of the presidency."

The Senator spoke for over an hour. DEMOCRATS UNFIT TO GOVERN. Running through his speech were two principal thoughts. One was this idea, that Republican success had been achieved through the "ignorant foreigners," a conducted the Democratic campaign in result which the Senator resented in the name of the native Southern population which was "more American."

The other central idea with the Senmittee. He owes his position to the wish ator was the injustice of the unequal is that it is not fit to govern the coundistribution of wealth. Upon this he The language quoted above was used dwelt with almost the emphasis which he gave to the "ignorant foreigners."

"What has been the cause of this people are as honest and as industrious as they ever were. What, then, was the matter? The last census report shows that the wealth of the country was \$65,-000,000,000, or about \$5,000 to each family of five members." The Senator quoted figures to show the inequality in the syndicates of speculators at enormous distribution of wealth. "Why was Mas- profits. sachusetts so much better off than Arkansas? Were they more industrious and more deserving?" He considered comprised fully one-half the number of that the Southern people rank fully up to, if not ahead of, the people in the balance of the country. The Southern people are more completely Anglo-Saxon

At this point the chairman of the Democratic national committee made one of the most remarkable of this series of startling statements for Arkansas consumption. He illustrated his assertion that the Southern people are "more American" than the rest of the country,

in this way: "It took the people of the combined North and East four years to conquer built for them a pension list that was all peaceably disposed persons,

appalling. The Senator's speech bristled with asclass prejudice. He said: "Millionaires and paupers grow on the

same bush. When you make a millionaire you make dozens of paupers.'

than a vile thief." The argument by which he led up to kansas. Senator Jones had just been this conclusion is interesting. The Sen- is as good in law as the titles we hold elected to his third term in the United ator said that in 1865 the national debt for our homes; and the allegiance of the 'amounted to \$2,820,839,000." He show- Filipinos to the United States is due the ed "how many bales of cotton would have same as that of the people of Alaska or paid this debt then and how many now."

"The men who took the bonds then cuss the defeat of Bryan, speaking as the | the debt when it was contracted, it would | for the use of Congress when that body

He declared his belief "that in 1900 the in excess of what Cleveland received in best thing to do for the Filipinos and for practice of his party conclusively demonbimetallic forces would win great vice 1892. He believed devently that Bryan ourselves."

#### Why Ex-Senator W. A. Peffer Is Supporting McKinley.

In a published letter issued at Topeka, Kan., ex-U. S. Senator Peffer of that State says one of the principal reasons why he leaves the Demo-Populist party try. His exact words are:

"The Democratic party is not fit to govern this country under modern condigreat struggle?" the Senator asked. "The tions of universal freedom. Look back upon the second administration of President Cleveland. Upward of \$250,000,000 in United States bonds were sold without special authority of Congress to procure gold for the redemption reserve. Most of the bonds were sold to or through

> "The Republicans were wiser. The war with Spain made large expenditures necessary; Congress authorized the borrowing of money, and \$200,000,006 of 3 per cent bonds were sold to our own people in sums of \$25 to \$500. This is the fourth year of the McKinley administration, and not one dollar of gold has been bought or borrowed. The treasury now holds nearly, if not quite, \$450,000,000 in gold coin and bullion, and there is plenty of money in the country for the

transaction of the people's business." On the Philippine question Mr. Peffer says: "The insurrection of Aguinaldo and his followers must be completely and permanently suppressed before civil government can be permanently established. In the meantime the President is exerting the Southern people, and the latter had every effort to preserve order and protect

"As before stated when one sovereign power cedes territory to another, the alsertions intended to incite the spirit of legiance of the inhabitants is transferred to the new sovereign. 'The same act which transfers their country transfers the allegiance of those who remain in it. That is the language of the Supreme The men who advocated the gold stand- Court of the United States in the case of ard the Schator described as "no better the American Insurance Company vs. Canter, 1 Peters, 511.

> "Our national title to the Philippines Hawaii or New Mexico, Arizona or Okla-

"A commission of able and conscien-"We got into the Philippines as an in-

ator said, "yet after this sort of a contest cause, after due deliberation, the American received more than a million votes can people concluded that that was the quent utterances and the thirty years' however, during the whole of 1800, with a 10 per cent less corn