

HUGHES OUTLINES POLICY FOR PARTY

Republican Nominee Clearly States Issues Before People and Takes His Stand.

NO DOUBT AS TO POSITION

(Continued From Page One.)
nation, worthy of the American name.
Mexico.

"The dealings of the administration with Mexico constitute a confused chapter of blunders. We have not helped Mexico. She lies prostrate, impoverished, famine-stricken, overwhelmed with the woes and outrages of internecine strife, the helpless victim of a condition of anarchy which the course of the administration only served to promote. For ourselves, we have witnessed the murder of our citizens and the destruction of their property. We have made enemies, not friends. Instead of commanding respect and deserving good-will by sincerity, firmness and consistency, we provoked misapprehension and deep resentment. In the light of the conduct of the administration no one could understand its professions. De-crying interference, we interfered most exasperatingly. We have not even kept out of actual conflict, and the soil of Mexico is stained with the blood of our soldiers. We have resorted to physical invasion, only to retire without gaining the professed object. It is a record which cannot be examined without a profound sense of humiliation.

Mistake at the Very Start.

"When the administration came into power Huerta was exercising authority as provisional president of Mexico. He was certainly in fact the head of the government of Mexico. Whether or not he should be recognized was a question to be determined in the exercise of a correct discretion. The president was entitled to be assured that there was at least a de facto government; that international obligations would be performed; that the lives and property of American citizens would have proper protection. To attempt, however, to control the domestic concerns of Mexico was simply intervention, not less so because disclaimed. The height of folly was to have a vacillating and ineffective intervention which could only evoke bitterness and contempt, which would fail to pacify the country and to assure peace and prosperity under a stable government. If crimes were committed, we do not palliate them. We make no defense of Huerta. But the administration had nothing to do with the moral character of Huerta. If, in fact, he represented the government of Mexico. We shall never worthy prosecute our unselfish aims or serve humanity by wrong-headedness. So far as the character of Huerta is concerned, the hollowness of the pretensions on this score is revealed by the administration's subsequent patronage of Villa (whose qualifications as an assassin are indisputable), whom apparently the administration was ready to recognize he had achieved his end and fulfilled what then seemed to be his hope.

John Lind's Record.

"The question is not as to the non-recognition of Huerta. The administration did not content itself with refusing to recognize Huerta, who was recognized by Great Britain, Germany, France, Russia, Spain and Japan. The administration undertook to destroy Huerta, to control the Mexican politics, even to deny Huerta the right to be a candidate for the office of president at the election the administration demanded. With what bewilderment must the Mexicans have regarded our assertion of their right to manage their own affairs. In the summer of 1913 John Lind was dispatched to the City of Mexico as the president's personal spokesman and representative to the unrecognized Huerta, in order to demand that the latter eliminate himself. It was an unjustifiable mission, most offensive to a sensitive people. John Lind lingered irritatingly. The administration continued to direct its efforts at the destruction of the only government Mexico had.

Vera Cruz Only a Pretext.

"In the spring of 1914 occurred the capture of Vera Cruz. Men from one of our ships had been arrested at Tampico and had been discharged with an apology. But our admiral demanded a salute, which was refused. Thereupon the present went to congress, asking authority to use the armed forces of the United States. Without waiting for the passage of the resolution Vera Cruz was seized. It appeared that a shipload of ammunition for Huerta was about to enter the port. There was a natural opposition of this invasion and a battle occurred, in which nineteen American and over 100 Mexicans were killed. This, of course, was war. Our dead soldiers were praised for dying like heroes in a war of service. Later we retired from Vera Cruz, giving up this noble warfare. We had not obtained the salute which Huerta was demanded. We had not obtained reparation for affronts. The ship with ammunition which could not land at Vera Cruz had soon landed at another port and its cargo was delivered to Huerta without interference. Recently the naked truth was admitted by a cabinet officer. We are now informed that 'we did not go to Vera Cruz to force Huerta to salute the flag.' We are told that we went there to show that we were in earnest in our demand that Huerta must go. That is, we seized Vera Cruz to depose Huerta. The question of the salute was a mere pretext.

No Protection for Americans.

"Meanwhile, the administration utterly fail to perform its obvious duty to secure protection for the lives and property of our citizens. It is most unworthy to allow our citizens to have instantaneously in Mexico in order to escape a condemnation for the non-performance of this duty. There can be no such escape, for we have no debate, and there can be no debate, as to the existence of this duty on the part of our government. Let me quote the words of the democratic platform of 1912: 'The constitutional rights of American citizens should protect them on our borders, and go with them throughout the world, and every American citizen residing or having property in any foreign country entitled to and must be given the full protection of the United States government, both for himself and his

property.' The bitter hatred aroused by the course of the administration multiplied outrages, while our failure to afford protection to our citizens evoked the scorn and contempt of Mexicans. Consider the ignominious incident at Tampico in connection with the capture of Vera Cruz. In the midst of the greatest danger to the hundreds of Americans congregated at Tampico, our ships which were in the harbor were withdrawn and our citizens were saved only by the intervention of German officers and German ships. The official excuse of the secretary of the navy is an extraordinary commentary. Our ships, it seems, had been ordered to Vera Cruz; but, as it appeared that they were not needed, the order was rescinded. Then, we are told, our admiral was faced with this remarkable dilemma. If he attempted to go up the river at Tampico and take our citizens aboard, the word of 'aggressive action,' as the secretary called it, 'would have spread to the surrounding country, and it was almost certain that reprisals on American citizens would have followed, and lives would have been lost.' We had so incensed the Mexicans that we could not rescue our own citizens from Tampico, save at the risk of the murder of others. We should take Vera Cruz to get Huerta out of office and trust to other nations to get our own citizens out of peril. What a travesty of international policy!

Debauch of Anarchy Follows.

"Destroying the government of Huerta, we left Mexico to the ravages of revolution. It shall not attempt to narrate the sickening story of the barbarities committed, of the carnage, murder and lust. We were then told that Mexico was entitled to spill as much blood as she pleased to settle her affairs. The administration vacillated with respect to the embargo on the export of arms and munitions to Mexico. Under the resolution of 1912, President Taft had laid such an embargo. In August, 1913, President Wilson stated that he deemed it his duty to see that neither side to the struggle in Mexico should receive any assistance from this side of the border, and that the export of all arms and munitions to Mexico was to be forbidden. But in February, 1914, the embargo was lifted. In April, 1914, the embargo was restored. In May, 1914, it was explained that the embargo did not apply to American shipments through Mexican ports, and ammunition for Carranza was subsequently landed at Tampico. In September, 1914, the embargo was lifted on exports across the border; thereupon military supplies reached both Villa and Carranza. In October, 1915, an embargo was declared on all exports of arms except to the adherents of Carranza. There was an utter absence of consistent policy.

Between Villa and Carranza.

"For a time we bestowed friendship on Villa. Ultimately we recognized Carranza, not on the ground that he had a constitutional government, but that it was a de facto government. The complete failure to secure protection to American citizens is shown conclusively in the note of the secretary of state of June 20, 1916, in which he thus described the conditions that have obtained during the last three years:

"For three years the Mexican republic has been torn with civil strife; the lives of Americans and other aliens have been sacrificed; vast properties developed by American capital and enterprise, have been destroyed or rendered non-productive; bandits have been permitted to roam at will through the territory contiguous to the United States, and to seize without punishment, without effective attempt at punishment, the property of Americans, while the lives of citizens of the United States who ventured to remain in Mexican territory or to return there to protect their interest have been taken in some cases, barbarously taken, and the murderers have neither been apprehended nor brought to justice. It would be tedious to recount in detail the numerous instances of outrage after outrage, atrocity after atrocity, to illustrate the true nature and extent of the widespread conditions of lawlessness and violence which have prevailed.

Massacres Fresh in Mind.

"The Santa Ysabel massacre, the raid at Columbus, the bloodshed at Arrascaeta, fresh in your minds. After the Columbus raid we started a punitive expedition. We sent a thin line of troops hundreds of miles into Mexico, between two lines of railway, neither of which we were allowed to use, and which we did not feel at liberty to seize. We were refused permission to enter the towns. Though thus restricted, the enterprise was still regarded by the Mexicans as a menace. Our troops faced hostile forces and it is not remarkable that our men fell at Carrizal. What other result could be expected? We were virtually ordered to withdraw, and without accomplishing our purpose we are now endeavoring to safeguard our own territory. The entire national guard has been ordered out and many thousands of citizens have been taken from their peaceful employments and hurried to the Mexican border. The administration was to seize and punish Villa for his outrage on our soil. It has not punished any one; we went in only to retire, and future movements are apparently to be determined by a joint commission.

What We Wish for Mexico.

"The nation has no policy of aggression toward Mexico. We have no desire for any part of her territory. We wish her to have peace, stability and prosperity. We should be ready to aid her in binding up her wounds, in relieving her from starvation and distress, and in giving her in every practicable way the benefits of our disinterested friendship. The conduct of this administration has created difficulties which we shall have to surmount. We shall have to overcome the antipathy needlessly created by that conduct and to develop genuine respect and confidence. We shall help to adopt a new policy, a policy of firmness and consistency, through which alone we can promote peace and friendship. We demand from Mexico the protection of the lives and the property of our citizens and the security of our border from depredations. Much will be gained if Mexico is convinced that we contemplate no meddlesome interference with what does not concern us, but that we propose to insist in a firm and candid manner upon the performance of international obligations. To a stable government, appropriately discharging its international duties we should give ungrudging support. A short period of firm, consistent and

friendly dealing will accomplish more than many years of vacillation.

Maintenance of American Rights.

"In this land of composite population, drawing its strength from every race, the national security demands that there shall be no paltering with American rights. The greater the danger of division influences, the greater is the necessity for the unifying force of a just, strong and patriotic position. We countenance no covert policies, no intrigues, no secret schemes. We are unreservedly, devotedly, wholeheartedly, for the United States. That is the rallying point for all Americans. That is my position. I stand for the unflinching maintenance of all American rights on land and sea.

"We have had a clear and definite mission as a great neutral nation. It was for us to maintain the integrity of international law; to vindicate our rights as neutrals; to protect the lives of our citizens, their property and trade from wrongful acts. Putting aside any question as to the highest possibilities of moral leadership in the maintenance and vindication of the law of nations in connection with the European war, at least entitled to the safeguarding of American rights. But this has not been secured. We have had brave words in a series of notes, but despite our protests the Mexican government has allowed other nations to get our own citizens out of peril. What a travesty of diplomacy if ambassadors can receive the impression that the words are not to be taken seriously? It is not words, but the strength and resolution behind the words, that count. The chief function of diplomacy is prevention; but in this our diplomacy failed, doubtless because of its impaired credit and the manifest lack of disposition to back words with action. Had this government by the use of both informal and formal diplomatic opportunities left no doubt that when we said 'strict accountability' we meant precisely what we said, and that we should unhesitatingly vindicate that position, I am confident that there would have been no destruction of American lives by the sinking of the Lusitania. There, we had ample notice; in fact, published notice. Furthermore, we knew the situation and we did not require specific notice. Instead of whittling away our formal statements by equivocal conversations, we needed the straight, direct and decisive representations which every diplomat and foreign office would understand. I believe that in this way we should have been spared the repeated assaults on American lives. Moreover, a firm American policy would have been strongly supported by our people and the opportunities for the development of bitter feeling would have been vastly reduced.

Courage An Assurance of Peace.

"It is a great mistake to say that reticence in protecting American rights would have led to war. Rather, in that course lay the best assurance of peace. Weakness and indecision in the maintenance of known rights are always sources of grave danger; they forfeit respect and invite serious wrongs, which in turn create an uncontrollable popular resentment. That is not the path of national security. Not only have we a host of resources short of war by which to enforce our just demands, but we shall never promote our peace by being stronger in words than in deeds. We should not have found it difficult to maintain peace, but we should have maintained peace with honor. During this critical period, the only danger of war has lain in the weak course of the administration.

"I do not put life and property on the same footing, but the administration has not only been remiss with respect to the protection of American lives; it has been remiss with respect to the protection of American property and American commerce. It has been too much disposed to be content with leisurely discussion. I cannot now undertake to review the course of events, but it is entirely clear that we failed to use the resources at our command to prevent injurious action, and that we suffered in consequence. We have no ulterior purposes and the administration should have known how to secure the entire protection of every legitimate American must unreservedly condemn recognition of our just demands as a neutral nation.

"We denounce all plots and conspiracies in the interest of any foreign nation. Utterly intolerable is the use of our soil for alien intrigues. Every American must unreservedly condemn them, and support every effort for their suppression. But here, also, prompt, vigorous and adequate measures on the part of the administration were needed. There should have been no hesitation; no notion that it was wise and politic to delay. Such an abuse of our territory demanded immediate and thorough-going action. As soon as the administration had notice of plots and conspiracies, it was its duty to stop them. It was not lacking in resources. Its responsibility for their continuance cannot be escaped by the condemnation of others.

Preparedness.

"We are a peace-loving people, but we live in a world of arms. We have no thought of aggression, and we desire to preserve our democratic ideals without the wastes of strife. So devoted are we to these ideals, so implicit upon our normal development, that I do not believe that there is the slightest danger of militarism in this country. Adequate preparedness is not militarism. It is the essential assurance he secure; it is a necessary safeguard of peace.

Increases Self-Respect.

No one ever received a life insurance policy from an agent or his company without being conscious that the load of responsibility on his shoulders had been lightened. He also experienced at the time an increased feeling of self-respect because he became what it was his duty to do, in that he had provided for the future welfare of those who were dependent on him.

It is a curious fact, but one which is undoubtedly true, that a person who has once carried life insurance seldom drops it permanently. He may allow one policy to lapse, he may sometimes change to another company, which is generally a mistake; he may become hard up and cash it in, but sooner or later he takes another policy.

"We are a peace-loving people, but we live in a world of arms. We have no thought of aggression, and we desire to preserve our democratic ideals without the wastes of strife. So devoted are we to these ideals, so implicit upon our normal development, that I do not believe that there is the slightest danger of militarism in this country. Adequate preparedness is not militarism. It is the essential assurance he secure; it is a necessary safeguard of peace.

"It is apparent that we are shockingly unprepared. There is no room

for controversy on this point since the object lesson on the Mexican border. All our available regular troops (less, I believe, than 40,000), are there or in Mexico, and as these have been deemed insufficient the entire National Guard has been ordered out; that is, we are summoning practically all our movable military forces in order to prevent bandit incursions. In view of the warnings of the last three years, it is inexcusable that we should find ourselves in this plight. For our patriotic guardsmen, who with a fine unselfishness responded to this call and are bearing this burden, I have nothing but praise. But I think it little short of absurd that we should be compelled to call men from their shops, their factories, their offices and their professions for such a purpose. This, however, is not all. The units of the National Guard were at peace strength, which was only about one-half the required strength. It was necessary to bring in recruits, for the most part raw and untrained. Only a small percentage of the recruits had read even a year's training in the National Guard, which at the maximum means 100 hours of military drill, and, on the average, means much less.

Actual Strength of Army.

"Take the eastern department as an illustration. The states in this department contain about 72 per cent of the entire organized militia of the country. I am informed, by competent authority, that the quota of militia from this department, recently summoned with the units raised to war strength, as required would amount to about 131,000 men; that in response to this call there are now en route to or on the border, about 54,000 men, and in camp in their respective states, about 28,000; and thus, after what has already been accomplished, there still remain to be supplied in recruits about 48,000 men. Men fresh from their peaceful employments and physically unprepared have been hurried to the border for actual service. They were without proper equipment; without necessary supplies; suitable conditions of transportation were not provided. Men with dependent families were sent; and conditions which should have been well known were discovered after the event. And yet the exigency, comparatively speaking, was not a very grave one. It involved only a modest outlay of money, and foreseen during the last three years of disturbance, and required only a distinct talent for organization.

That the administration while pursuing its course in Mexico should have permitted such conditions to exist is almost incredible.

Wilson a Follower.

"In the demand for reasonable preparedness the administration has followed not led. Those who demanded more adequate forces were first described as 'nervous and excited.' Only about a year and a half ago we were told that the question of preparedness was not a pressing one; that the country had been misinformed. Later, under the pressure of other leadership, this attitude was changed. The administration, it was said, had 'learned something,' and it made a belated demand for an increased army. Even then the demand was not pressed consistently, and the pressure exerted on congress with respect to other administrative measures was notably absent. The president addressed congress but little over six months ago, presenting the plans of the War department, and congress was formally urged to sanction these plans as 'essential first steps.' They contemplated an increase of the standing force of the regular army from its present strength of 5,023 officers and 102,985 enlisted men, to a strength of 7,136 officers and 134,707 enlisted men, or 141,834 all told. It was said that these conditions were necessary to render the army adequate for its present duties. Further it was proposed that the army should be supplemented by a force of 400,000 disciplined citizens raised in increments of 133,000 a year, through a period of three years. At least so much by way of preparation for defense seemed to the president to be 'absolutely imperative.' He said 'We cannot do less.' But within two months this program was abandoned and the able secretary of war, who had devoted himself persistently to this important question felt so keenly the change in policy that he resigned from the cabinet. Now, the army organization bill provides for an army on paper of 178,000 men, but in fact it provides for only 105,000 enlisted men for the line of the regular army for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1917, and I am informed that for the next fiscal year there will be an increase of only 15,000. The plan for the supplemental federal army completely under federal control was given up.

Demands Adequate Defense.

"We are told that the defects revealed by the present mobilization are due to the 'system.' But it was precisely such plain defects that under the constant warnings of recent years, with the whole world intent on military concerns, should have been studied and rectified. The administration has failed to discharge its responsibilities. Apparently, it is now seeking to meet political exigencies by its naval program. But it has imposed upon the country an incompetent naval administration.

"We demand adequate national defense; adequate protection on both our western and eastern coasts. We demand thoroughness and efficiency

in both arms of the service. It seems to be plain that our regular army is too small. We are too great a country to require of our citizens who are engaged in peaceful vocations the sort of military service to which they are now called. As well insist that our citizens in this metropolis be summoned to put out fires and police the streets. We do not count it inconsistent with our liberties, or with our democratic ideals, to have an adequate police force. With a population of nearly 100,000,000 we need to be surer of ourselves than to become alarmed at the prospect of having a regular army which can reasonably protect our border, and perform such other military service as may be required, in the absence of a grave emergency. I believe, further, that there should be not only a reasonable increase in the regular army, but that the first citizen reserve subject to call should be enlisted as a federal army, and trained under federal authority.

What Is Really Called For.

"The country demands that our military and naval programs shall be carried out in a business-like manner under the most competent administrative heads; that we shall have an up-to-date preparation; that the moneys appropriated shall be properly expended. We should also have careful plans for mobilizing our industrial resources; for promoting research and utilizing the investigations of science. And a policy of adequate preparedness must constantly have in view the necessity of conserving our fundamental human interests; of promoting the physical well-being of our population, as well as education and training; of developing to the utmost our economic strength and independence. It must be based upon a profound sense of our unity, and democratic obligation. It must not mean the abandonment of other essential government work, but that we shall have, in both, efficiency, and in neither, waste or extravagance. We should also be solicitous, by wise provision and conference, to remove so far as possible the causes of irritation which may in any degree threaten friendly relations. In our proposals there is, I repeat, no militarism. There is simple insistence upon common sense in providing reasonable measures of security and avoiding the perils of neglect. We must have the strength of self-respect; a strength which contains no threat, but assures our defense, safeguards our rights and conserves our peace.

Organization of Peace.

"We are deeply interested in what I may term the organization of peace. We cherish no illusions. We know that the recurrence of war is not to be prevented by pious wishes. If the conflict of rational interests is not to be brought to the final test of force, there must be the development of international organization in order to provide international justice and to safeguard so far as practicable the peace of the world. Arbitration treaties are useful within their proper sphere, but it is worse than folly to ignore the limitations of this remedy or to regard such treaties as an adequate means of preventing war. There should be an international tribunal to decide controversies susceptible of judicial determination, thus affording the advantage of judicial standards in the settlement of particular disputes and of the gradual growth of a body of judicial precedents. In emphasizing the desirability of such a tribunal for the disposition of controversies of a justiciable sort, it must not be overlooked that there are also legislative needs. We need conferences of nations to formulate international rules, to establish principles, to modify and extend international law so as to adapt it to new conditions, to remove causes of international differences.

We need to develop the instrumentalities of conciliation. Must Be Ready for War. "And behind this international organization, if it is to be effective, must be the co-operation of the nations to prevent resort to hostilities before the appropriate agencies of peaceful settlement have been utilized. If the peace of the world is to be maintained, it must be through the preventive power of a common purpose. Without this, it will still remain not only possible, but practically to disregard international obligations, to override the rights of states, particularly of small states, to ignore principles, to violate rules. And it is only through international co-operation giving a reasonable assurance of peace that we may hope for the limitation of armaments. It is to be expected that nations will continue to arm in defense of their respective interests, as they are conceived, and nothing will avail to diminish this burden save some practical guarantee of international order. We, in this country, can, and should, maintain our fortunate freedom from entanglements with interests and policies which do not concern us. But there is no national isolation in the world of the twentieth century. If at the close of the present war the nations are ready to undertake practicable measures in the common interest in order to secure international justice, we cannot fail to recognize our international duty. The peace of the world is our interest, as well as the interest of others, and in developing the necessary agents for the prevention of war we shall be glad to have an appropriate share. And our preparedness will have proper relation to this end as well as to our own immediate security.

Industry and Trade—A Fool's Paradise.

"When we contemplate industrial and commercial conditions, we see that we are living in a fool's paradise. The temporary prosperity to which our opponents point has been created by the abnormal conditions incident to the war. With the end of the war there will be the new conditions determined by the new Europe. Millions of men in the trenches will then return to work. The energies of each of the now belligerent nations, highly trained, will then be turned to production. These are days of terrible discipline for the nations at war, but it must not be forgotten that each is developing a national solidarity, a knowledge of method, a realization of capacity hitherto unapproached. In each, the lessons of co-operation now being learned will never be forgotten. Friction and waste have been reduced to a minimum; labor and capital have a better understanding, business organization is more highly developed and more intelligently directed than ever before. We see in each of these nations a marvellous national efficiency. Let it not be supposed that this efficiency will not count when Europe once more at peace pushes its productive powers to the utmost limit. "On the other hand, in this country, with the stoppage of the manufacture of munitions, a host of men will be turned out of employment. We must meet the most severe competition in industry. We are un disciplined, defective in organization, loosely knit, industrially unprepared.

What the Democrats Really Did.

"Our opponents promised to reduce the cost of living. This they have failed to do; but they did reduce the opportunities of making a living. Let us not forget the conditions that existed in this country under the new tariff prior to the outbreak of the war. Production had decreased, business was languishing; new enterprises were not undertaken, instead of expansion there was curtailment, and

our streets were filled with the unemployed. It was estimated that in the city of New York over 300,000 were out of work. Throughout the country the jobless demanded relief.

The labor commissioners of many states and our municipal administrations devoted themselves to the problem of unemployment, while the resources of our voluntary charitable organizations were most severely taxed. What ground is there for expecting better conditions when the unhealthy stimulus of the war has spent its force and our industries and workmen are exposed to the competition of an energized Europe?

Must Have Protective Policies.

"It is plain that we must have protective, unbuilding policies. It is idle to look for relief to the democratic party which as late as 1912 declared in its platform that it was a fundamental principle of the democratic party that the federal government, under the constitution, had no right or power to impose or collect tariff duties except for the purpose of revenue. We are told in its present platform that there have been 'momentous changes' in the last two years, and hence, repudiating its former attitude, the democratic party now declares for a 'non-partisan tariff commission.' But have the momentous changes incident to the European war changed the constitution of the United States? Is it proposed to use a tariff commission to frame a tariff for revenue only? Is the opposing party ready to confess that for generations it has misread the constitution? Is that party now prepared to accept the protective principle? Rather, so far as the tariff is concerned, it would appear to be without principle. Witness its action in connection with the sugar duties, its reaffirmation of the doctrine of a revenue tariff, its dyestuffs proposal, and its formulation in lieu of protective duties of an 'anti-dumping' provision, the terms of which are sufficient to show its ineffective character!

Not a Party of Sections.

"The republican party is not a sectional party. It thinks and plans nationally. Its policies are for the promotion of the prosperity of every part of the country, south, east, north and west. It is not simply a question of a wise adjustment of the tariff in accordance with sound principle, but there is also the need in other respects for stable conditions for commercial and industrial progress. If we are to meet effectively the condition which will arise after the war is over, we must put our house in order. Let it be understood that the public right is to be maintained without fear or favor. But let us show that we can do this without impairing the essential agencies of progress. There is no forward movement, no endeavor to promote social justice, which in the last analysis does not rest upon the condition that there shall be a stable basis for honest enterprise. This subject has several important phases to which at this time I can allude only briefly.

Treatment of Transportation.

"We should place our transportation system on a sure footing. We should be able wisely to adjust our regulative powers so that the fundamental objectives of international differences.

(Continued on Page Three, Column One.)

THOMPSON-BELDEN & CO.
— The Fashion Center of the Middle West —
Established 1886.

Saturdays—
During August
6 p. m.
will be the closing hour



This is a step which we believe will be appreciated by our friends both within and without the store. The extra hours thus gained will be a benefit to all.

T. B. & CO.

Our Annual August Linen Sale
Which Commences Tuesday

Will Be Better Than Ever Before

These reductions are made on first quality Linens of our own importation. The opportunity presented is rare and will be appreciated by hundreds of Omaha women.	Table Cloths (Bleached) \$3.75 Table Cloths, \$2.89. \$6.00 Table Cloths, \$3.89. \$6.75 Table Cloths, \$5.00. \$8.75 Table Cloths, \$6.00. \$10.00 Table Cloths, \$7.89. \$12.00 Table Cloths, \$8.89.	Napkins (Bleached) \$4.50 Napkins, \$3.75 a doz. \$6.00 Napkins, \$4.89 a doz. \$7.50 Napkins, \$6.00 a doz. \$8.75 Napkins, \$6.89 a doz. \$10.00 Napkins, \$7.50 a doz. \$12.00 Napkins, \$8.75 a doz.
---	---	--

Guest Towels
Huck
40c Guest Towels, 29¢.
50c Guest Towels, 39¢.
75c Guest Towels, 59¢.

Cluny Lace Doilies
On Sale
18c Doilies, 12½¢.
25c Doilies, 18¢.
75c Doilies, 50¢.

Huck Towels
35c H. S. Huck Towels, Tuesday 29¢ each
75c H. S. Huck Towels, Tuesday 50¢ each

Extra
500 Dozen Turkish Wash Cloths 2¢ each

Turkish Towels
25c Turkish Towels, 17¢.
35c Turkish Towels, 29¢.
50c Turkish Towels, 39¢.
75c Turkish Towels, 50¢.
1.00 Turkish Towels, 75¢.

Trunks
for the School Girl

To stimulate August sales we are offering 36-inch fibre covered trunks built with first-class 3-ply veneer lumber, mahogany hardware, sturdy locks and hinges, deep tray divided into convenient compartments, one extra dress tray, all nicely cloth lined.

A Thoroughly Reliable Trunk.

Price \$14

Freling & Steine
"Omaha's Best Baggage Builders."
1803 Farnam St.

THE MIDWEST LIFE
of Lincoln, Nebraska.
N. Z. SNELL, President.
Guaranteed Cost Life Insurance.
GEORGE CROCKER, General Agent.
City National Bank Building, Omaha.

LINEN SECTION—MAIN FLOOR