

THE OMAHA DAILY BEE

FOUNDED BY EDWARD ROSEWATER
VICTOR ROSEWATER, EDITOR

Entered at Omaha postoffice as second-class matter.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION:
Sunday Bee, one year, \$2.50
Saturday Bee, one year, \$2.50
Daily Bee (without Sunday), one year, \$4.00
Daily Bee and Sunday, one year, \$5.00

OFFICE:
Omaha—The Bee Building,
South Omaha—23 N. Twenty-fourth St.

COMMUNICATIONS:
Communications relating to news and editorial matter should be addressed Omaha Bee, Editorial Department.

JUNE CIRCULATION:
48,466

Subscribers leaving the city temporarily should have The Bee mailed to them. Address will be changed as often as requested.

Well, who threw the first brick, anyway?

It takes a good deal of heat to thaw the heart of the ice trust.

Faint heart never won a ball game any more than a fair lady.

Dr. Wiley's troubles seem to have multiplied after he got married.

The Wiley case is adulterated, it seems, but probably more with patent medicine than politics.

Would it be proper, then, to refer to the discoverer of the "Dick to Dick" letter as a muckraker?

According to the political advance agents, our old friend, Berge, is getting ready for another spurge.

The girls who shot Millionaire Stokes in New York are indicted on three counts, a count to a shot.

Another proclamation giving the reasons why over the signatures of our Water boarders is about due.

Canada's ideal government will be better when it does something toward preventing those deadly forest fires.

The only music lacking in the democratic aryl chorus is the click of Colonel "Johnny" Maher's typewriter.

"A Revolution is On in Haiti."—News item. No. "A Revolution is not on in Haiti" would be a real news item.

Mr. Bryan names two presidential possibilities from Texas, but neither Cone Johnson nor Joe Bailey is among them.

This Damon and Pythias act between Senator Hitchcock and Would-be Senator Shallenberger is almost pathetic.

Why should not congress begin investigating Ty Cobb for stealing eleven bases to beat Washington's ball team?

The War department has jobs for college graduates on the Philippine constabulary at \$100 a month. What a temptation!

Champ Clark says hoodlums run nine-tenths of our elections. Was Champ "put over" by the one-tenth or the nine-tenths?

The direct primary levels all. The beckoning portraits of candidates for police judge and for supreme judge occupy side-by-side window space.

They were to have excavated the Maine last January. But, anyhow, its hull will be ready for the inspectors, reports say, within two months.

Can there be a deal in Texas on which Senator Bailey does not know all about?—Boston Transcript.

Now, there you go again, trying to besmirch a fair name.

No doubt some of the men who decided to tell the truth about the Lorimer case realized at the time it would involve a lot of blackwashing from Lorimer's apologists and defenders.

This ocean-to-ocean automobile highway will look like the trail of a serpent, or perhaps the map made by a centipede procession, if it takes in all the cities and towns that would like to be located on it.

The Nebraska rural life commission is starting out by taking up the question of taxation. We should think we would have had a taxation commission if it were the tax problem that the legislature wanted tackled.

The democrats will meet in state convention at Fremont, while their old-time allies, the populists, will hold their state convention in Lincoln. In the division of the show it looks as if Fremont captured the main performance ring.

Is Intensive Farming Losing?

An agricultural and good roads expert at the real estate men's convention in Denver raises the cry once more about soil robbery and renews the dark prediction that unless our farmers give attention to the methods of intensive agriculture the "soil of the country will be exhausted in fifty years." He states unequivocally that farmers are not acting on the scientific advice given them as to intensive cultivation and that this is the source of much discouragement to government experts.

We are at a loss to know by just what method of computation this expert figures out that fifty years will be required to impoverish all our soil. James J. Hill, who used to make similar forecasts, has even placed the limit as low as twenty-five years. Though, be it said for Mr. Hill, he seems to have had his gloom dispelled and is now viewing life very cheerfully—from the cool, breeze-blown coast of Labrador.

We may be permitted to doubt whether this expert is correct when he says that the farmers are not learning the lessons of intensive agriculture. There are evidences to show that they are. The steady increase in production per acre is one of them, one of the very best of them. Competitions and schools of farming all over the country are others. It does not seem quite reasonable to believe that, having had all the advantages of intensive crop raising pointed out so systematically to them, the wise old farmers of this country would deliberately turn their backs upon them. We believe that intensive farming is making headway every year and that there is abundant proof of it. The government's own agencies show it. Their aid in reclaiming and irrigating land is the essence of intensive farming. To take a different view one must believe that all the agricultural colleges, exhibitions and government experimental stations are failing to accomplish results and the people are not prepared to believe that.

Athletics in College Course.

The board of regents of the University of Wisconsin has made athletics a part of the regular course of study, which, when combined with literary work, will count toward a bachelor of arts degree. As great an innovation as this is, it seems to be but the logical result of the tendency of large institutions in late years. Development of the physical side of the youth has come to be generally recognized as quite essential in the intellectual education and incorporating athletics into the curriculum is but reducing them to a more scientific basis which will tend to promote their value as a factor in the young man's training.

Wisconsin takes this step at a time when it is engaged in trying to reform athletics among the institutions composing what is known as the "Big Eight," and therefore its action is being criticized as an attempt to entice students away from other schools. This comes under the head of proselytizing, a capital offense with the Big Eight. All that criticism, however, is purely technical and may be entirely ill-founded. The point is, Wisconsin has taken the most advanced ground in a direction many institutions have tended for years, and if it can accomplish the two-fold purpose of reducing college athletics to a better science and purge them of all unfairness and unsportsmanship it certainly will be doing something which other colleges and universities will sooner or later see fit to emulate.

No school, college or university can afford to be indifferent to the physical development of youth. That is a truism. It was a long time in impressing itself, but it has finally done that. Now, the only thing left is to perfect the ways and means of doing what is overdoing it. If Wisconsin has shown the way, then all the better. Of course, its innovation will be an experiment watched with critical observation.

The Shelving of Lord Kitchener.

Americans who have not taken the pains to inform themselves that politics dominates the British war office, may be surprised to know that England has sent Lord Kitchener, its greatest soldier and military genius, to the quiet, sequestered post of consul in Egypt, instead of placing him in charge of the army's reorganization. For Lord Kitchener had the hardihood to say what he thought were the defects and remedies of the army, which is admittedly in need of reorganizing.

Lord Kitchener evidently speaks his mind too plainly. He is what, in the vernacular of American kings of commerce, would be known as an "unsafer man." Therefore, put him off in Egypt, where he cannot interfere with the bureaucrats in the British war department. The argument they are using is that he is "advanced in years." He is 63 and looks to be about 50; as robust and strong as most men of 40, as was generally remarked on the occasion of his late tour across the American continent. It is estimated that in five years Lord Kitchener could bring Britain's army back to a high standard. Certainly he would be good for five years of hard work.

Too bad for Great Britain if it has allowed politics in the military arm of the government, for that is an arm on which Englishmen lean with much complacency. It is conceded to be demoralized in organization, poorly manned and entirely inadequate to the needs of the kingdom. All this

Looking Backward This Day in Omaha

COMPILED FROM BEE FILES
JULY 20.

Thirty Years Ago—Again the hottest day of the season. Max Meyer's big thermometer climbed up to 100 degrees, and thermometers in other sections of the city reached 100 in the shade. The government thermometer registered 101.

Twenty Years Ago—Omaha is restored to membership in the Western Base Ball association. Many of the old players who went to Washington, D. C., when the bust-up came, wire they will return, but Manager Shannon "can't come back if he wants to."

Medical Etiquette—OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: I don't think the readers of The Bee are very much interested as to my views upon public questions, nor do I think they are interested as to whether my views at the present time are consistent with those held and expressed by me at some previous time.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

Looking Backward This Day in Omaha

COMPILED FROM BEE FILES
JULY 20.

Thirty Years Ago—Again the hottest day of the season. Max Meyer's big thermometer climbed up to 100 degrees, and thermometers in other sections of the city reached 100 in the shade. The government thermometer registered 101.

Twenty Years Ago—Omaha is restored to membership in the Western Base Ball association. Many of the old players who went to Washington, D. C., when the bust-up came, wire they will return, but Manager Shannon "can't come back if he wants to."

Medical Etiquette—OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: I don't think the readers of The Bee are very much interested as to my views upon public questions, nor do I think they are interested as to whether my views at the present time are consistent with those held and expressed by me at some previous time.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

The Bee's Letter Box

COMPILED FROM BEE FILES
JULY 20.

Thirty Years Ago—Again the hottest day of the season. Max Meyer's big thermometer climbed up to 100 degrees, and thermometers in other sections of the city reached 100 in the shade. The government thermometer registered 101.

Twenty Years Ago—Omaha is restored to membership in the Western Base Ball association. Many of the old players who went to Washington, D. C., when the bust-up came, wire they will return, but Manager Shannon "can't come back if he wants to."

Medical Etiquette—OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: I don't think the readers of The Bee are very much interested as to my views upon public questions, nor do I think they are interested as to whether my views at the present time are consistent with those held and expressed by me at some previous time.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

POLITICS IN NEBRASKA.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: I don't think the readers of The Bee are very much interested as to my views upon public questions, nor do I think they are interested as to whether my views at the present time are consistent with those held and expressed by me at some previous time.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing to respond to a call to set a broken arm as damaging to the medical profession as a whole.

OMAHA, July 19.—To the Editor of The Bee: The accounts you print of a doctor taking off the splints because the patient did not pay up and another refusing