

# MCELLEY, STIGER & CO.

WE INVITE INSPECTION. WE URGE COMPARISON.

## Muslin Underwear

of great attractiveness and distinctiveness.

**Drawers, 15c**—Cluster tucks, good muslin.

**Drawers, 25c**—Deep flounce tucked, umbrella and superior muslin.

**Drawers, 50c**—Cluster tucks, embroidered flounce, or the same in embroidery.

**Gowns, 50c**—Tucked yoke with embroidery, cambric ruffle.

**Gowns, 69c**—Tuck yoke hemstitched, hemstitched ruffle, best muslin.

**Gowns, \$1.00**—Fine long cloth, miniature tucking, embroidery insertion, embroidery ruffle.

**Skirts, 75c**—Embroidered ruffle, splendid muslin.

**Skirts, \$1.00**—Superior Muslin, highest grade workmanship, deep flounce of hemstitched and tucked India linen.

**Skirts, \$1.65**—A remarkable dainty skirt, 18-in. flounce, two ruffles of embroidery flounced, tucked heading.

**Corset Covers**—Empire lace-edged fine cambric.

**Corset Covers, 45c**—A practical and well made fine Cambric Lace Trimmed Corset Cover.

**Corset Covers, 65c**—Fine Nainsook—a very pretty cover, dainty embroidery, edged.

## COMFORTABLE CORSETS for Summer.

**A Summer Corset, 50c**—Mesh and light weight boning.

**Extraordinary Values at \$1**—Shirt Waist Corsets and Linen Batiste Corsets—Straight front, hygienic boning, comfortable and elegant model.

**Tape Corset, \$2.00**—"SOMETHING NEW." An ideal warm day Corset, linen tape stay, skillful boning.

**Skeleton Waist, 50c**—Slide supporters, hose supporters.

**Silk Skirts, \$10, \$18, \$20**—Three very successful skirts, in point of sales. (We make them fit correctly). Pure dye silk taffeta, attractive models, skillful stitching and tailoring.

**Silk Etoms, \$8, \$10, \$12, \$15**—"THESE ARE LOW QUOTATIONS" for pure dyed black silk taffeta, silk lined, tailoring equaling the highest cost jacket.

**Cloth Etoms, \$12 and \$15**—Each price is for a true bargain of London-dyed cloth, fine quality, silk lined, a very superior jacket in each case.

## Wash Goods

Our Wash Goods Department is the recognized headquarters for stylish and up-to-date fabrics. Their greatest attraction is the low price—the exclusiveness and individuality in style not obtainable elsewhere.

Large assortment of Scotch Dimities, crinkled mull and Queen Batiste, every piece attractive—10c yard.

Pineapple Tissues come in for more than their full share of admiration; just now they are a very reasonable fabric; every piece different in style and color from those found elsewhere—25c yard.

Mercerized Tissues, Egyptian Tissues, Irish Dimities and Mercerized Korah Pongee—all stylish, either for waists or dresses—25c yard.

Shirt Waist Linens and Lace Striped Gingham—in large variety of styles and colors—35c yard.

Mercerized Mousseline de Soie, with open work stripe—black, cream and gray dotted silk mull, satin stripe crepe—50c yd. Plain color Mercerized Grenadine—This fabric bears close resemblance to the regular brilliant dress goods, sheer regular bright colors, come in all shades and originally sold for 75c yard—to reduce stock—50c yard.

Silk Mousseline de Soie—handsome and exclusive styles—55c yard.

St. Gaud Swiss Dress Patterns—balance reduced to cost price. This affords a reasonable opportunity to buy these fine goods cheap. Former prices were \$5.50 to \$14.00—present prices \$3.00 to \$10.50 each.

## White Goods for Graduating Dresses, Etc

Have you tested our prices and quality? Hundreds catch the impulse of economy from others and buy here.

India Linens 25-in. wide, the 35c quality, at 25c yard.

India Linen 36-in. wide, the 40c quality, at 25c yard.

India Linen, 36-in. wide, the 45c quality, at 25c yard.

Persian lawn, 22-in. wide, the 45c quality, at 25c yard.

Persian lawn, 22-in. wide, the 45c quality, at 25c yard.

Opera Batiste, 48-in. wide, at 50c, 65c, 75c and 80c yard.

Wash Chiffon, 48-in. wide, at 55c, 45c, 55c, 60c and 65c yard.

68-in. French Organdy at 65c, 75c, 85c and \$1.00 yard.

Silk mull, 32-in. wide, at 45c.

Silk mull, 45-in. wide, at 70c and \$1.00.

Large assortment of white goods for shirt waists at 25c, 30c, 35c, 40c, 45c and 50c yard.

## New Laces

**Fine Shirred and Tucked**—Liberty and Silk and Linen Batiste frontings in white, cream, ecru and black, at \$1.50, \$1.75, \$2.50 \$4.00 and \$5.00 a yard.

**45-inch All Silk**—Chiffon, Mousseline and Liberty Gauze in all shades.

White cream and ecru.

**Allover Laces**—In Point de Paris, Alencon, Meeklin, Arabian, cut out effect, etc., very dainty, at 50c, 75c, \$1.00, \$1.25, \$1.50 and \$2.00 a yard.

White cream and ecru.

**Novelty Lace Galon**—In Meeklin, Arabian, Point de Paris, Applique effects, etc., very dainty effects, at 10c, 12 1/2c, 15c, 20c, 25c and 35c a yard.

**Black Chantilly Lace Galon**—Special values. See an assortment before buying.

**Fine French Valenciennes Lace**—Special values, at 25c, 30c, 35c, 50c, 75c and \$1.00 a piece of 12 yards.

**Lace Border Wash Veils**—Dainty effects, at 25c, 50c, 75c and \$1.00 each.

**Embroidered Dot Chiffon Veiling**—all colors.

**New Embroideries**—In Swiss and Nainsook matched sets, dainty fine effects for commencement dresses.

## New Laces

**Nainsook and Swiss Insertions**—for trimming short waist, etc., at 2c, 10c, 12 1/2c, 15c, 20c and 25c a yard.

**Nainsook and Swiss Beadings**—in all widths, at 5c, 8c, 10c, 12 1/2c, 15c and 20c a yard.

**12 and 15-inch Flouncings, Embroideries**—Just the thing for the New Corset Covers, special values, at 50c, 65c, 75c and \$1.00 a yard.

**New Ecru Batiste Embroideries**—in all widths and allover to match.

**Fine French Gauze Fans**—Dainty painted and spangled decorations, at 75c, \$1.00, \$1.25, \$1.50, \$2.00 and \$2.50 each.

**Fine Japanese Fans**—Latest styles, at 10c, 12 1/2c, 15c and 25c each.

**Latest Novelties in Ladies' Belts**—In tucked satin, stitched taffeta, Persian, etc., with fancy buckles, at 75c, \$1.00, \$1.25, \$1.50 and \$2.00 each.

**Ladies' Leather Belts**—In enamel, seal, alligator, sea lion, walrus, etc., with new buckles, at 50c, 50c, 75c, \$1.00 and \$1.25 each.

Ladies' H. S. Sheer Linen Handkerchiefs, 1-8, 1-4 and 1-2-inch hems. Special, 15c each, well worth 22 1/2c.

## Clearance Sale of Silks

To close out five broken lines of miscellaneous kinds of Fancy Taffeta, Satin, Foulards, Japanese Silks, etc. Prices, 25c, 35c, 39c, 49c and 59c—which is regardless of cost.

**SEASONABLE ATTRACTIONS IN SILK DEPARTMENT.**

**Foulards**—Pattern dresses of the choicest styles, highest grade of confined designs, \$15.00, the pattern.

**Black Crepe de Chine \$1.00**—24-inch rich lustrous silk, actual value, \$1.25.

**Pure Silk Grenadines \$1.00**—We have Grenadine up to \$5.00, but we are making a special offer on this 44-inch pure sewing silk Grenadine.

**Waist Silks (that are practical)**—at \$1.00—24-inch silks. All new shades, wash taffeta, peau Levant, Lousade, peau d'Cygne.

**Pongee Silk \$1.00**—26-inch wide, the height of fashion for waists; 25-inch, \$1.25.

**Black Taffeta Silk**—We recommend a Swiss Taffeta, 22-inches wide, 50c.

Two specials black taffeta, pure silk, 40c and 60c.

27-inch American silk, \$1.00, warranted taffeta. Pure dyed taffetas. 24-inch taffetas.

## Fine Woolen Dress Goods for Summer Days

The best Challis 50c—We sell one line at 25c. The best "Kochin Freres" complete assortment of new designs and colors are 50c.

**Imported All Wool Batiste 50c**—All new shades 35 inches wide, fine, yet firm cloth.

**Cheviot for Walking Skirts 75c**—Natural grey, 54-inches wide, \$1.25 value.

**Golfing Cloths—reduced prices**—Also some very new effects in excellent Scotch cloths at \$2.25.

## Suit, Jacket and Waist Dept.

**Fashionable Items in Waists and Shirt Waists.**

**New Models in French Cambric \$1.75.**—Remstitched back and front, tiny pleating.

The attractive Waist of the season, mercerized, polka dots and plain, \$3.00.

Elegant trims, Pongee, Dove, Pink, Ox-Blood, Robin's Egg, White, etc.

"The Star Waist," \$2.75.—The tailor-made waist of the day. Only the best stuff and most skilled tailoring are employed in making up this brand imported madras. New styles of stripes and colors.

**Grass Linen Sailor Waist \$3.50**—Arabian trimmings, BOLERO OR TUCKED GRASS LINEN, \$3.00, \$4.25, \$2.50.

**White Waists \$1.25 and \$1.50**—Superfine lawn, hemstitched tucks, or plain, numerous fine tucks, or open designs in insertion and tucks.

**Fine White Lawn Waists \$2.00 and \$2.25.**—Dainty and elegant designs.

**Washable Silk Waists \$3.00, \$4.00 and \$5.50.**—Japanese Habutai, sailors, tucked waists, unlined or lined, pleated sleeves or plain, black or white.

## Black Dress Goods

**Wool Etamines and Grenadines 90c**—A hard-twisted, diaphanous summer fabric, that is very durable and stylish.

**Striped Barge Grenadine \$1.25**—Voile Batiste 50c—Imported all wool 38 inches wide.

**Mohair Mornie 50c**—Mohair and worsted mixture—crisp and firm, lustrous, dust proof.

**Cheviot \$1.25**—A shrunken 50-inch, highly desirable bargain.

## The Perfect Summer Glove

Style and comfort combined.

"Kaysers" Silk Gloves \$1.00—White, Black, Grey.

Lisle Thread Gloves 50c and 75c—Tan, Grey, Mode, Black and White, "cut and made like kid gloves."

Our stock is ever replete, the best modes of the day are found in our Glove Department; (correctly fitted.)

"Trefouze," "Reynier," "Perrin," "Monarch," are familiar brands, with us.

# CORNER FARNAM AND FIFTEENTH STREETS.

## NEBRASKA'S ENCAMPMENT

**State Military Board Soon to Set Date for National Guard.**

**REHEARING ASKED IN BIGAMY CASE**

**Attorneys Still Fighting for Rowland P. Hills—Dates and Locations of Summer Schools.**

(From a Staff Correspondent.)

LINCOLN, May 18.—(Special.)—Officers and other persons interested in the affairs of the Nebraska National Guard expect the State Military board, at its next meeting, to decide upon the time and place for holding the state militia encampment. This meeting will be held in the office of the adjutant general at the state house May 28.

The cities in the race for the encampment are said to be Omaha, Lincoln and Beatrice. It is possible that Hastings, Grand Island and possibly Fremont and one or two other places will later submit bids to host the encampment. This meeting will be held in the office of the adjutant general at the state house May 28.

The cities in the race for the encampment are said to be Omaha, Lincoln and Beatrice. It is possible that Hastings, Grand Island and possibly Fremont and one or two other places will later submit bids to host the encampment. This meeting will be held in the office of the adjutant general at the state house May 28.

The cities in the race for the encampment are said to be Omaha, Lincoln and Beatrice. It is possible that Hastings, Grand Island and possibly Fremont and one or two other places will later submit bids to host the encampment. This meeting will be held in the office of the adjutant general at the state house May 28.

## enforcing such a contract.

It is contended also that the court in its opinion overlooked the law laid down by the courts of England in the English cases incorporated into the bill of exceptions, and that the residence of the parties is material for the purpose of determining the authority of a surrogate to grant a license and for the further purpose of determining the effect of the parties in going through a marriage ceremony.

Other reasons assigned for asking for a rehearing are that the testimony of the defendant, who is claimed to have qualified as an expert in English law, was wholly disregarded and misunderstood in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate had no authority to grant a license for marriage to parties, neither of whom resided in his diocese, and that his testimony was misapprehended and misconstrued in the opinion of the court in the interpretation of the English statutes, said witness having testified that a surrogate