

NATIONAL TEMPERANCE DAY

Will Be Observed at Many of the City Churches Today.

WHERE MASS MEETINGS WILL BE HELD

Rev. L. E. Hawk Will Soon Become Pastor of the First United Presbyterian—Gospel Meetings at Ontario Chapel—Pulpit Announcements.

The temperance mass meetings to be held in this city today have the following locations:

- Trinity Methodist Episcopal church, Twenty-first and Binney, at 3:30 p. m.
First Presbyterian church, Seventeenth and Dodge, at 3 p. m.
Wesley Methodist church, Forty-first and Charles, at 3:30 p. m.
Calvary Baptist church, Twenty-sixth and Seward, at 3:30 p. m.
Presbyterian church, Forty-fifth and Grant, at 7:30 p. m.
South Omaha Methodist Episcopal church, at 7:30 p. m.
Beth Eden Baptist church, at 3:30 p. m.

Y. M. C. A. Doings.

Preparations are being made for the opening reception and re-dedication on Saturday, Monday and Tuesday of next week.

Mr. Pierce, who has been membership and financial secretary for the past six months, has accepted a very flattering call to become general secretary of the Young Men's Christian association at Cedar Rapids, Ia.

Mr. T. S. Walmeyer, who has been one of the most energetic members of the association, has accepted the invitation of the board to take the position of financial secretary, and has entered upon the duties of the position.

Y. O. Strickler, esq., addresses the young men's services Sunday at 3:30 p. m. on "Temperance." An excellent musical program is arranged.

The bible class at 2:30 p. m. will be conducted by Secretary Ober.

The law lecture course was concluded after a most successful course that has more than met the expectation of the committee.

Dr. Anglin gives the next medical talk next Tuesday evening on the respiratory organs.

Palm Sunday Services.

The program for Palm Sunday service at St. John's collegiate church today is: Palm Sunday, service at 6, 7, 8:30 and 10:30.

Offertory—St. John's Choir. Organist, Mr. Schenk. Sunday night Lenten service at 7:30.

Wednesday, 7:30 p. m., chanting of office. Thursday, morning service at 8 o'clock. At 7:30 p. m., chanting of office and Passion sermon.

Good Friday, morning service at 8 o'clock. At 7:30 p. m., chanting of office and Way of the Cross. Holy Saturday, morning service at 8 o'clock.

Will Be an Omaha Pastor.

The pastor-elect of the First United Presbyterian church, Rev. L. E. Hawk, has written that he will arrive in Omaha March 29 and begin his pastoral labors on Sabbath, April 1.

Rev. Leslie E. Hawk is 42 years old and has been preaching for fourteen years. His ministerial life has been spent in two fields in New York, and in both he has been eminently successful. He will be an acquisition to the ministerial ranks of the city.

Special Gospel Meetings.

Rev. J. M. Wilson will conduct a fortnight's gospel and song service at Ontario chapel, Nineteenth and Ontario streets, beginning Monday night.

Pulpit Announcements.

"Truth for Authority, Not Authority for Truth" will be the theme of Rev. N. M. Mann's sermon at Unity church this morning.

At Calvary Baptist church this evening Rev. Thomas Anderson will preach on "Who Was St. Patrick—Was He a Roman Catholic?"

The pulpit of St. Mary's Avenue Congregational church will be occupied this morning by Rev. R. G. Hughes, vice president of Tabor college.

The regular gospel meeting of the Young Women's Christian association will be held this afternoon at 4 o'clock in room 106, 107, Bee building. Subject, "Christ's Invitation to Suffer and Reign with Him."

At Immanuel Baptist church the theme for this morning is "At Looson," a temperance address. In the evening the theme will be "The Beginning of a Pilgrimage, the Dream of a Life." This will be the first in a series of sermons covering the question of success, morals and happiness.

Quarterly meeting services will be held at Trinity Methodist church today. Love feast at 9:30; communion at 10:30; singing service; union temperance meeting at 3 p. m. under the auspices of the Women's Christian Temperance union, and temperance services in the evening.

At the First Presbyterian church tomorrow evening Rev. J. M. Patterson will deliver the third and last discourse of the March series on the establishment of a home. His address will be on the subject of domestic happiness, or "How to Be Happy, Though Married." At 2 o'clock in the afternoon there will be held at the church a mass meeting in observance of the national temperance day. The meeting will be presided over by Rev. J. M. Patterson. The following speakers will address the audience: Dr. Joseph A. Duryea, Rev. Heilings, Rev. J. A. Purkie, Rev. Frank Crane and Dean Gardner.

IRRIGATION CONVENTION.

All Arrangements Completed and a Large Attendance Expected.

A meeting of the committees in charge of the irrigation convention will be held in this city on Wednesday and Thursday next at the Commercial club rooms yesterday afternoon, at which all arrangements were perfected.

The convention will be held in Washington hall. Responses have been received to invitations indicating a great interest and large attendance from all western states. Delegates from states east of the Missouri river have also indicated their intention to be present.

Members of the committee on credentials are requested to be present at the hall for 9 o'clock Wednesday morning, for the purpose of receiving delegates and properly accrediting them. Mr. C. A. Ayer, chairman of the committee on mechanical display, has arranged to show the practical working of various kinds of water elevating machinery. The display will be open to inspection to every one interested during the two days.

The committee has requested the Commercial club to be open to visiting delegates and the citizens of Omaha on Wednesday evening. R. R. Dickson, mayor of O'Neill, called on the committee yesterday and says he will be present with a delegation of twenty-five strong, comprising delegates from O'Neill, Bassett, Long Pine, and Alnsworth, and has already engaged rooms at theillard hotel for the party. They are directly interested in the proposed ditch running from Keshville through Cherry, Brock, Brown and ... counties.

Hanger's Doctor Died of Fever.

SAN DIEGO, Cal., March 17.—Officers of the steamer Progress reported that when the steamer left the United States ship Ranger in Port la Libertad, Salvador, on February 24, the surgeon of the Ranger had died of fever, and the services of a surgeon of that port were secured with difficulty at a salary of \$25 per day. There was much

WHISKY TRUST SKELETONS

Some of the Secrets of the Giant Corporation to Be Exposed in Court.

SUIT JUST STARTED IN KANSAS CITY

Louisville Banking Company Asks to Have an Assignment Set Aside—Number of Wholesale Liquor Dealers Concerned in the Case.

KANSAS CITY, March 17.—The Louisville Banking company has filed a bill in the circuit court to set aside chattel mortgages and for the appointment of a receiver in the case of the failed wholesale liquor house of Oliver & O'Brien which was taken possession of last Thursday by creditors representing \$44,500.

The petition makes allegations which, if substantiated, bid fair to lead to the divulging of a gigantic scandal in business and official circles, involving not only a large number of wholesale liquor dealers, but dragging into light public officials who have speculated in Whisky trust certificates. The charges against the failed firm grow out of the big scandal two years ago, which finally discovered the county had been flooded with hundreds of thousands of bogus whisky certificates. Oliver & O'Brien have branch houses throughout the southwest, Louisville and St. Louis distilleries are involved in the affair.

The promise of a commercial and political scandal was discussed among the lawyers at a meeting of the B. H. association. The defendants are the creditors of the failed firm who were preferred by means of chattel mortgages, and the plaintiffs alleged a conspiracy to defraud creditors who are not preferred creditors.

The preferred creditors of Oliver & O'Brien hold claims against the firm amounting to \$44,500. According to the receiver's report these preferred creditors are N. V. Monarch company of Owensburg, Ky.; the Missouri National bank of Kansas City; Robert S. Pattison of the New England Safe Deposit and Trust company; Benjamin Hoyt of the Western Storage & Warehouse company; the Bullock-Calkins company; the Davis County Distilling company; Mound City Distilling company; Glanzer & Barzen company; E. J. Curley & Co., and W. W. Collins.

The plaintiff's claim against Oliver & O'Brien grew out of the big scandal at Louisville two years ago, when it was discovered the country had been flooded with hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of forged warehouse receipts.

Oliver & O'Brien had been assigned some of the forged certificates and the Louisville Banking company drew them for the amount, but before the draft reached here the fraud was discovered and Oliver & O'Brien refused to pay. The Louisville Banking company sued and got judgment and on this judgment it is now working out the court citing Mr. W. D. Percival.

The plaintiff's attorney, a novel point that the preferred creditors claims, which are secured by chattel mortgages, are not good because they were attested before a woman.

KNOTTY LEGAL PUZZLE.

Deal Between Colonel Savage and Millionaire Marx Rather Involved.

NEW YORK, March 17.—In the city court suit has been begun by F. Marx to recover the value of a note for \$500 given to plaintiff by Colonel Richard H. Savage and endorsed by his wife, Anna J. Savage.

Mr. Marx is a California millionaire, now living in Europe, and he came into court only in a legal sense, being represented by a big deposition and by his counsel, Thomas J. Rich. Through these mediums he sets forth that in 1888 or prior thereto, Colonel Richard Henry Savage, who is the author of "My Official Wife" and other novels, was residing with his wife in the country home of the defendant in California. While there the colonel, who had not begun novel writing at that time, borrowed from the plaintiff \$500, giving his note therefor, his wife endorsing the note. Plaintiff pleaded that the note had never been paid, and asked for judgment for the amount alleged that in spite of the fact that the statute of limitations had apparently run against the note and that in fact the note had been renewed or reincurred through recent acknowledgments by both Mr. and Mrs. Savage.

This point of the legal renewal of the obligation within the time (two years in California) when it would otherwise be outlawed was supported by letters which counsel for plaintiff produced to read in one letter Colonel Savage wrote to Mr. Marx that he would pay the note at once if he could get the note out of his hands at that time and the crowd melted away.

Attorneys E. W. Simeral and E. R. Duffe, representing Mr. Percival, asked for an order compelling the witness to appear and give their testimony in this case.

The following information was filed: Edward W. Simeral, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the attorney for the defendant. Affiant further deposes and says that he believes that Mr. Jardine, son—father of T. F. Jardine—Mrs. Jardine, his mother, and Miss Jardine, daughter of the said T. F. Jardine, are important witnesses for and on behalf of the defendant herein, and that if the said witnesses are personally present in court they will testify in substance as follows:

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

WHISKY TRUST SKELETONS

Some of the Secrets of the Giant Corporation to Be Exposed in Court.

SUIT JUST STARTED IN KANSAS CITY

Louisville Banking Company Asks to Have an Assignment Set Aside—Number of Wholesale Liquor Dealers Concerned in the Case.

KANSAS CITY, March 17.—The Louisville Banking company has filed a bill in the circuit court to set aside chattel mortgages and for the appointment of a receiver in the case of the failed wholesale liquor house of Oliver & O'Brien which was taken possession of last Thursday by creditors representing \$44,500.

The petition makes allegations which, if substantiated, bid fair to lead to the divulging of a gigantic scandal in business and official circles, involving not only a large number of wholesale liquor dealers, but dragging into light public officials who have speculated in Whisky trust certificates. The charges against the failed firm grow out of the big scandal two years ago, which finally discovered the county had been flooded with hundreds of thousands of bogus whisky certificates. Oliver & O'Brien have branch houses throughout the southwest, Louisville and St. Louis distilleries are involved in the affair.

The promise of a commercial and political scandal was discussed among the lawyers at a meeting of the B. H. association. The defendants are the creditors of the failed firm who were preferred by means of chattel mortgages, and the plaintiffs alleged a conspiracy to defraud creditors who are not preferred creditors.

The preferred creditors of Oliver & O'Brien hold claims against the firm amounting to \$44,500. According to the receiver's report these preferred creditors are N. V. Monarch company of Owensburg, Ky.; the Missouri National bank of Kansas City; Robert S. Pattison of the New England Safe Deposit and Trust company; Benjamin Hoyt of the Western Storage & Warehouse company; the Bullock-Calkins company; the Davis County Distilling company; Mound City Distilling company; Glanzer & Barzen company; E. J. Curley & Co., and W. W. Collins.

The plaintiff's claim against Oliver & O'Brien grew out of the big scandal at Louisville two years ago, when it was discovered the country had been flooded with hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of forged warehouse receipts.

Oliver & O'Brien had been assigned some of the forged certificates and the Louisville Banking company drew them for the amount, but before the draft reached here the fraud was discovered and Oliver & O'Brien refused to pay. The Louisville Banking company sued and got judgment and on this judgment it is now working out the court citing Mr. W. D. Percival.

The plaintiff's attorney, a novel point that the preferred creditors claims, which are secured by chattel mortgages, are not good because they were attested before a woman.

KNOTTY LEGAL PUZZLE.

Deal Between Colonel Savage and Millionaire Marx Rather Involved.

NEW YORK, March 17.—In the city court suit has been begun by F. Marx to recover the value of a note for \$500 given to plaintiff by Colonel Richard H. Savage and endorsed by his wife, Anna J. Savage.

Mr. Marx is a California millionaire, now living in Europe, and he came into court only in a legal sense, being represented by a big deposition and by his counsel, Thomas J. Rich. Through these mediums he sets forth that in 1888 or prior thereto, Colonel Richard Henry Savage, who is the author of "My Official Wife" and other novels, was residing with his wife in the country home of the defendant in California. While there the colonel, who had not begun novel writing at that time, borrowed from the plaintiff \$500, giving his note therefor, his wife endorsing the note. Plaintiff pleaded that the note had never been paid, and asked for judgment for the amount alleged that in spite of the fact that the statute of limitations had apparently run against the note and that in fact the note had been renewed or reincurred through recent acknowledgments by both Mr. and Mrs. Savage.

This point of the legal renewal of the obligation within the time (two years in California) when it would otherwise be outlawed was supported by letters which counsel for plaintiff produced to read in one letter Colonel Savage wrote to Mr. Marx that he would pay the note at once if he could get the note out of his hands at that time and the crowd melted away.

Attorneys E. W. Simeral and E. R. Duffe, representing Mr. Percival, asked for an order compelling the witness to appear and give their testimony in this case.

The following information was filed: Edward W. Simeral, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the attorney for the defendant. Affiant further deposes and says that he believes that Mr. Jardine, son—father of T. F. Jardine—Mrs. Jardine, his mother, and Miss Jardine, daughter of the said T. F. Jardine, are important witnesses for and on behalf of the defendant herein, and that if the said witnesses are personally present in court they will testify in substance as follows:

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.

That on or about March 8 the said parties held a consultation with Cunningham H. Scott and J. L. Kaley, county attorney, in the city of Chicago, and that the subject of conversation was the charge of grand larceny of certain property; that at the time of the said consultation the said parties to the said conference talked over the said charge against the said Jardine; that the said Scott and the said Kaley advised the said T. F. Jardine that it would be for his interest to withdraw his plea of "not guilty" and plead guilty to the charge of grand larceny as set forth in the said information, and that he was understood by the said parties that if the said T. F. Jardine would withdraw his plea of "not guilty" to the said charge of grand larceny, he would be allowed to return to his home in California, and that the said T. F. Jardine did so, and that he is now residing in California.