

THE OMAHA BEE.

COUNCIL BLUFFS. (OFFICE: NO. 11 EARL STREET.)

Delivered by Carrier in any part of the City. H. W. TILTON, MANAGER.

TELEPHONES: Business Office, No. 43. Night Editor, No. 23.

MINOR MENTION.

N. Y. P. Co. Council Bluffs Lumber Co., Coal.

Crafts' chattle loans, 204 Sapp. Gentile Rock Springfield. Thatcher, 16 Minn.

Special meeting of Calanthe assembly this afternoon at 7:30. By order of the C. C.

A marriage license was issued yesterday to George M. Whitney of Council Bluffs and Amanda Dietrich of New York.

The secret degree will be conferred on members of Travelers' union in Scottish Rite hall over Perogy & Moore's this morning at 9:30 p. m. Sharp. Entrance on Pearl street.

A concert was given in the rotunda of the Grand hotel last evening, by Dalgo's orchestra. A large number of spectators were attracted to the hotel by the music, and a delightful evening was spent.

District court adjourned yesterday afternoon at 3 o'clock on account of a telephone message which was received by Judge Deemer, stating that his wife was very ill at her home in Red Oak.

Mary, wife of James Slavin, died at 4 o'clock yesterday morning of a grippe, at the age of sixty-six years. The funeral will be held this morning at 9 o'clock from St. Francis church.

Special meeting of Execlior lodge No. 250, Ancient, Free and Accepted Masons, this evening for work in the third degree. Regular meeting Monday evening, April 13. Visiting brethren cordially invited. By order of the W. M.

Special communication of Execlior lodge No. 250, Ancient, Free and Accepted Masons, this evening, April 11, for work in the third degree. Master Masons in good standing are cordially invited to attend. By order of the W. M.

Three new arc lights have been located by the Council Bluffs gas and electric light company at the following places: Indian creek bridge, North Sixth street, corner of Knopfer and Damon streets, and the corner of Sixteenth street and Fifth avenue. The light on Sixth street has already been started up, and the others will be ready in a day or two.

A suit was filed in the superior court yesterday by Deere, Wells & Co., against the city and the city treasurer, in which it is sought to compel the city to pay for the lights which it is alleged, were obtained against the city before a justice of the peace in Oakland. One of the judgments is for \$10, with costs amounting to \$2.94, and the other for \$2, with costs amounting to \$1.30. The plaintiffs demand a writ of mandamus to compel the treasurer to pay over the money.

A telegram was received yesterday by the local officers of the Firemen's Tournament association, stating that quarters had been reserved for the Council Bluffs during the tournament which is to be held in that city, in June. It also stated that Aveca, Audubon and other towns in this vicinity would be represented, and that present appearances indicate that it will be one of the most successful affairs of the kind that has ever been held in the state.

Wall paper. 5/4 c roll, Boston Store, Council Bluffs.

Certain plaques with brass fixtures and all complete, at the Boston Store, Council Bluffs, this week.

Our line of carpets and parlor furniture will bear inspection. We have the largest stock in the city. Mandel & Klein.

Opaque felt window shades like each, with fixtures and all complete, at the Boston Store, Council Bluffs, this week.

Shugart & Co. carry largest stock of built field, garden and flower seeds in the west Catalogue and samples by mail.

The Fairmount Ice cigar at the Fountain.

Opaque felt window shades 33c each, with fixtures and all complete, at the Boston Store, Council Bluffs, this week.

PERSONAL PARAGRAPHS.

Mrs. F. G. Hetzel of Avoca is in the city.

Donald Macrae, jr., is home from Ann Arbor for the spring vacation.

Mrs. W. T. Wilkins and daughter are visiting relatives in Hillsdale, Mich.

D. A. Benedict leaves this evening for Sioux City with a view of locating there, if business opens up satisfactory.

Mrs. Anna Palmer and son left for Minneapolis where they will make their home in the future with Mrs. Palmer's daughter, Mrs. David Hyer.

Mrs. J. W. Templeton of Rosedale farm left evening for Chicago to attend the funeral of her mother, Mrs. Hanna, aged one hundred and one years.

Miss Laura Fickinger recently extended her shorthand notes of Judge Grant's instruction to the jury in the case of Grant vs. the Union Pacific, and upon receiving the typewritten copy of over twenty pages Judge Wright wrote her a very complimentary letter. The judge is a very rapid speaker, and she is almost impossible for even expert reporters to take him correctly. He pronounced Miss Fickinger's report the most accurate and satisfactory which he had ever had.

All Chinese goods at half price at Jim Lung's, 308 Broadway.

Certain plaques with brass fixtures and all complete, at the Boston Store, Council Bluffs, this week.

Fruit farm for sale on reasonable terms; within one and one-half miles of the P. O.; all in bearing; road buildings; possession given at once. Call on D. J. Hutchinson & Co., 617 Broadway.

Do you want an express wagon or boy? Ring up the A. J. T. Co., telephone 179, No. 11 North Main street.

WALL PAPER. BOSTON STORE, COUNCIL BLUFFS.

Police Court News. Charles Dunning and William Rogers were in police court yesterday morning charged with disturbing the peace.

According to the story which was told by the two men, Dunning had had a horse during the past winter which had been loaned to him by Rogers. Dunning was driving up Broadway Thursday afternoon when he met Rogers. The latter thought he was taking too rapid a gait and he called a halt. Dunning resented this interference with his personal liberty and a fight was soon in progress. They were each fined \$10.

Pert Severant, a dealer in "muley banana" and a Hines descendant of Julius Caesar, was fined \$15 for peddling without a license.

H. Jakob was charged with being a vagrant. Some of the property owners on Perrot street claimed that it was in company with a friend, Jakob, that they were being beggared from house to house. At every place where they did not meet with a warm reception as they went about they would seek to vent their disappointment by kicking in the door. His case was continued until this morning.

Our spring stock is now complete. If you want to be in style call at Reiter's, the tailor, 310 Broadway.

Outing flannel remnants at the Boston Store, Council Bluffs, this week 5c a yard, 10c and 12 1/2c quality.

The finest display of onyx fast black hosiery is to be found at the Boston Store, Council Bluffs, this week. All grades, from the cheapest to the finest silk.

Carpets, furniture, stoves, tinware, crockery, in ending variety on easy payments at Mandel & Klein's.

Drs. Woodbury, dentists, 30 Pearl street, next to Grand hotel. Telephone 143. High grade work a specialty.

NEWS FROM COUNCIL BLUFFS.

Justice Patton's Peculiar Fee Bill Attracts Much Public Attention.

NAIVETTE AND CANDOR OF THE JUDGE.

He Was Not in the Business for His Health and He Levelled in Charging What the Traffic Would Bear.

The little room of the county auditor's office was crowded yesterday morning when the supervisors opened up their session, which, it had been announced, was to be for the express purpose of investigating some rumors of gross crookedness that have been multiplying with considerable rapidity during the last few days, against Justice Patton in connection with the affairs of his office.

Some of the charges that have been made against him were given in yesterday's Bee and according to an invitation, which had been extended to him, to be present and give what information he might have at hand on the matter, Justice Patton took his place in the center of the room with a face very red and a manner very excited, and seemed to meet the various interrogatories that were soon to be hurled at his head by County Attorney Morgan.

The first thing that happened after the board got down to business was the one playing Chief of Police Cary, Officer A. J. Wyatt, Justice W. W. Conner, and Samuel M. McLaughlin, various questions were put to them, but no information was elicited from any of them that would lead to startling results. After the chief of police had been questioned and cross-questioned at some length, the board turned to Justice Patton, whether or not there had been a bargain entered into between himself and Justice Patton, the object of which was to place a dividend on the city funds, and in reply to all the questions the chief had maintained a discreet and clam-like silence, he was ordered to depart and Justice Patton was called to the stand in his own interest.

Before the questioning commenced he said he wanted to make a short statement with regard to the charges which had been published against him in the newspapers.

He stated that a charge had been made against him that he had ordered all suits in the county and a judgment fee in a number of cases. He then referred to a number of cases which he said he had selected at random from the docket, and in each case he stated in which the same thing had been done and the fees charged had been allowed by the board. He thought he was doing right when he followed in the footsteps of former justices. He asked the county attorney if this had not been done, and was answered by Conner that he knew nothing about the former cases. He also recited a case from the docket of Justice Schurz, where there was a trial fee and a whole lot of continuances charged for, and said that out of all the fees in the case, \$10.29 was for Deputy Marshal Fowler. These fees were allowed. The records of the board were produced and it was found that Fowler's fees in the case referred to had been rejected.

County Attorney Morgan at length commenced his question. The result of it was substantially as follows:

Patton admitted at the start that he had often entered fees for the dismissal of a case where the defendant could not be found, and he had done so not for the purpose of robbing the county, but because he honestly thought that the defendant could not be found, and he had done so, with probably not a single exception, and their bills had always been allowed. He took his cue from them and thought he ought to meet with the same reception from the board.

A number of cases were brought to the attention of the justice in which he had tried certain parties who had been arrested on the same criminal charge at the same time, but he had taxed up costs as though they had tried separately. He was asked what he had meant by such a proceeding. He said he was not running a high-toned court but he was a judge, and he had to earn his living, and he had entered up the costs with the distinct purpose of making them as small as possible, as he stated that he had increased the emoluments of his office.

The salary connected with the office was small enough at that time, he would not have been a clerk of his as small a salary as he was getting, even when all the perquisites were thrown in.

Other cases were brought up, in which Constable Austin was represented as the person making the arrest, when in fact the arrest had been made by a member of the police department. Patton stated that he had done this because the county would not have to pay any fees if the arrest were made by a policeman.

He thought that he had been in the habit of taking up fees regularly when he had simply heard the statements of facts by the interested parties, as though he had given the case a complete trial. He conceded this a trial, just as much as though he had called in a dozen witnesses.

Justice Patton then made the statement that he had charged up fees to the county in favor of city officers, who had never appeared in court, for the purpose of testifying, he stated that in those cases the officers had been served with subpoenas, and were on their beats, or at places so close that they could be taken charge of by the police force, if they wanted. He thought they were as much entitled to their fees as though they had been leading around his office waiting for the case to come on.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.

Justice Patton then stated that he had been charged up fees to the county in favor of the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial, and he stated that in some cases the fees had been charged when the case had been settled by the parties before it came to a trial.