REASONS WHY HE BELIEVES IN AND TRUSTS REPUBLICANS.

They Have Never Deceived Nor Betraved His Interests and Have Aggressively Favored Legislation for His Benefit.

Each national campaign emphasizes the fact that the "farmer" vote must be reckoned with and catered to, and all parties put forth their best arguments when addressing the farmer. Away from the madding crowd, untrammeled by the prejudices and false cries of the politician, the farmer calmly reads and thinks, and thinks and reads, and decides the question with a discerning judgment that leads to a decision which is honest and

right. In 1896 it was generally feared that the farmer would be deceived by the great promises made of the beneficent results to be attained by voting for free silver, but this was not so; the farmer might be deceived when away from home. but at his own fireside, with plenty of time to weigh the question, he decided for the gold standard, AND THE DEM-OCRATIC PARTY AND ITS CANDI-DATES ON THE NATIONAL TICK-ET NOW SAY THAT THE FARMER DECIDED RIGHT. In 1900 the cry was imperialism, and with his love of freedom it was said that the farmer might be stampeded, but again he allowed common sense and calm consideration to decide the question, and, seeing no danger of militarism or overthrow of the established government by the new order of things, forced upon us by the war with Spain, the farmer again cast his ballot for the Republican ticket, and time has proved that his judgment was good and his decision right.

Chaff Will Not Answer. In the present campaign no new or striking issue is presented. The Democrats arraign the Republican party, vilify the President and hold forth glittering generalities, but definiteness is lacking. and what would be gained by the election of a Democratic President is not apparent. A general "calamity howl" is no argument, and to secure the farmer vote it is necessary to present more than chaff.

One term of a Democratic President two years only of absolute Democratic administration, was sufficient to practically paralyze business throughout the nation, deprive the worker of the chance to earn an honest living, depress values and prices and make us the laughing stock and subject of ridicule of the nations of the world.

McKinley was elected, a Republican Congress enacted a consistent protective tariff, industry was revived, factories started, unemployed given work at the highest wages ever known, consumption stimulated, values restored, Spain defeated, Cuba freed, order stablished in the Philippine Islands and se people given civil liberty in its fullest sense and the opportunity of becoming a creditable part of the greatest nation on earth. The stability of the currency has been assured

the public debt reduced and st charges lowered; laws passed at will bring the arid lands under cul tivation, and that, too, without tax or cost to any person except the one diland from the government. The securing of the route for an isth-

mian canal, the construction of which is now assured, is a crowning triumph for a Republican President and the party, and no one class will receive a greater benefit from the connection of the Atlantic and Pacific by this great waterway than will the farmer.

The opposition to the Cuban reci procity bill, on account of the reduction of the tariff on raw sugar, came largely from a misconception of what the result would be. Instead of retarding produc tion and lowering the price of sugar beets, the opposite has been the result, and the production has been stimulated and profits increased.

Benefits of Protection.

The policy of protection which guards and develops the industries of our country, cardinal with the Republican party. is necessary to the prosperity of the farmer. A tariff on agricultural products may not increase the price if the demand does not equal the supply, but a tariff which protects American labor and home industries insures work at high wages, plenty of money and increased consumption, insuring high prices for farm products. The farmer is indebted to the Repub-

lican party for the rural free delivery system. First suggested by the editor of a leading farm paper, himself a Republican, the idea was reported upon and recommended by a Republican Postmaster General, adopted and enlarged upon by the Republican party, appropriation made by a Republican Congress for an investigation and trial of the proposed system. A Democratic Postmaster General, supported by a Democratic President, refused to expend the appropriations and reported not only adversely to the system, but that the scheme was impracticable. Not until the Republicans were again in full power was the system given a fair trial, and its entire practicability, as well as the great benefit to be derived by the rural population, fully demonstrated. From a \$10,000 appropriation for the trial of the system it has grown to an appropriation of over \$20,000,000 under the friendly encouragement and aggressive business policy of Republican administrations. No other one thing could have been of such great benefit to the farmer; it has placed hin in daily communication with the world. and from the seclusion of farm life he emerges and becomes a part and parcel of this great nation and is not only able to read of the doings throughout the world, but the facilities afforded for frequent and prompt communication enable him to take part in its affairs. The farmer is now recognized as a big, broad minded business man, and the discovery is due to the rural free delivery system, established and fostered by the Republican party.

The Republican party has always been aggressively in favor of legislation for the benefit of farmers, and the record will be considered and remembered when

the farmer casts his vote. The platforms of the Republican and Democratic parties are so similar on inevitable that the latter followed the menaced by starvation. In spite of the that the Democratic party is lusincere things.

AS THE FARMER SEES and asking support under false represcatations, and the farmer never favors or supports insincerity or fraud.

"MUD-SLINGING."

Democratic Newspaters Are Horrified When Facts Are Stated.

[New York Tribune.] To charge that the President of the United States is so reckless and unscrupulous that he means, if elected, to grasp Mexico, the West Indies, Central America and South America, and consolidate all in one huge American empire-that is moderate and proper political discussion. "The candidate is the issue."

To recite, with scrupulous moderation, the historic facts concerning the entry into public life of the opposing candidate-facts that no man disputes or

dare dispute-that is "mud-throwing!" To mention that his first political friends and creators were the ballot-box stuffers of Stony Hollow and Jockey Hill; that his debut as a political manager was, while a surrogate judge, as the State chairman for and personal representative of David B. Hill, who in gratitude made him a Supreme Court Justice: and that, when he needed a close friend to intrust with his bid to Bryanites for the Chief Judgeship of the Court of Appeals on the ground that he had voted for Bryan, he chose as such confidential representative the election thief Danforth-to mention these undisputed and indisputable facts, it seems according to the horrified Demoeratic organs, is "mud-slinging."

Well, shivering souls, if those facts imply "mud," then that is the sort of 'mud" your candidate lives in. You invoke in vain a cast-off judicial robe to hide it. "The candidate is the issue."

ROOSEVELT GOOD ENOUGH.

The People Like the President's Dem ocratic Ways.

[John S. Wise, of Virginia.] The people have seen more of Roosecelt-now as youth and cowboy and sportsman and naval secretary and poice commissioner and soldier and governor and President to think themselves fair judges of his ingrain democratic and republican personality. They believe he would spring at and grapple with a usurper or a monarchist as fiercely as he would lasso a wild broncho or fight Spaniard. And they like his democratic ways, more democratic far in acion than the aristocratic and exclusiveless of Parker, with his coloriess demoratic platitudes.

Talk does not settle popular estimates of public men. Thousands-nay, hundreds of thousands-of Democrats see nore real democracy in the vigorous, agcressive, wideawake Theodore Roosevelt han in the colorless, secretive Alton B. Parker. The platforms are mighty near ogether. The men are going to be a nore decisive feature of this campaign han usual. And with my knowledge of the American people and the things which please their taste and fancy and fill their ideals of what real American manhood is I would, if I were a betting man, stake all I had that Rooseve't will be an easy winner.

Cheap Barricades.

It is droll, the attitude of the Demoratic party in the present campaign. It has nominated candidates of moderate talents as ligure-heads for the ventures of the discredited party, and exeets the people to support them, while the Democratic National Committee and Tammany are expected to buy or steal

The Democratic party, with its unound views, financial and economic, lies opefully behind Parker and those unamed expectancies voiced by Williams, Bryan and other Democrats.

And Bryan promises to reorganize the party after the election! How? Evidently on lines of socialism, government and municipal ownership of telegraph and railroad lines, with all the sequence. What a vagueness of thought and promse! How may so-called leaders of any party expect to get the votes of senside men upon a proposition so dim as bis! The fault with the Democratic party, this year, is that it does not even furnish a good dissolving view.

Take Your Choice.

David B. Hill, the sponsor of the Democratic candidate for the Presidency, said at St. Louis that he "did not know now Parker stood on the money ones ion." For thirty years Hill and Parkr have been intimately associated, soially and politically. If the statement made by Hill is to be believed, then Parker is too secretive a man to elect the Presidency; if false, then it was cidently made for the purpose of miseading the people; and if the people are be deceived in one thing, why not in all the acts of the Democratic leaders?

Would It Be Wise? It is conceded that the Democrats are not on record on the tariff question. This being the case, would it not be unwise trust tariff revision to the party opposed to the principle of protection, the result being practically free trade, bringing industrial depression, hard times and the inevitable lowering of prices on farm products?

Tom Watson acknowledges that the condition of American workingmen is now vastly improved, and that in their nomes they enjoy conveniences of life which a king could not command some hundred years ago. If the Democracy had its way we would reverse the wheels of progress so that the workingmen might enjoy the privations of life that were the common lot in the grand old lays of Jeffersonian searcity of bath

The helpfulness of the Germans toward each other has been one of the plendid lessons they have taught. Fidelity is always an admirable trait. The fidelity of Germans toward each other has been to me always one of their striking and admirable characteristics. - Senator Fairbanks at Indianapolis, September 3, 1839.

Under the Republican policy of proection our home market affords our manufacturers and producers the best market in the world, even if we did not sell any of our products abroad. But protection has also made us the greatest

exporting nation in the world. China and India are "cheap" countries Human labor is held very low in these lands and the result is that the masses important subjects that the conclusion is lave constantly steeped in poverty and former for vote-catching purposes, and so-called cheapness the people do not get party by saying all Democrats are work- tral. Under actional irrigation these

THE PHILIPPINE ISSUE.

Marked Modification of Judge Parker's

Position. Nothing in the conduct of the Democratic party is more conducive to the public weal than the ease with which it abandons untenable issues after pledging eternal fealty to them.

For eight years it was indissolubly wedded to the free and unlimited coinage of silver at an arbitrary ratio-only, at the telegraphic behest of its candidate, to accept the gold standard as "firmly and irrevocably established" by the Republican party.

From time beyond the memory of the oldest voter the Democracy has been fulminating against "protectionism as a robbery"-only to have David B. Hill waive the tariff issue into the back yard and abysm of time, "because it is a question on which very few of us (Democrats) agree."

Nothing could have been "more beautiful to see" than the sham frenzy with which Democrats and "anti-imperialists" denounced the prompt action by which the United States seized the opportunity and became possessed of the authority to dig and control the Isthmian canal-except the avidity with which the Democratic convention swallowed all its vociferous scruples and resolved that, when entrusted with power it will construct the Panama canal speedily, honestly and economically." No wonder the mocking echo, "when entrusted with any other of its citizens does nothing power," reverberated through the repub-

And now comes Alton B. Parker and draws the pen of ante-election expediency through the Philippine plank of his party. "We insist." reads that sibillant document, "that we ought to do for the Filipinos what we have done already for management of the nation's greatest enthe Cubans, and it is our duty to make that promise NOW."

At the first opportunity Judge Parker was given to unburden his soul over the wrong perpetrated in substituting American justice, liberty and security for Spanish cruelty, extortion and oppression in the Philippines, he modified the "now" in the above quotation with these Esopean words:

"The accident of war brought the Philippines into our possession and we are not at liberty to disregard the responsibility which thus came to us, but that preparing the islanders as rapidly as possible for self-government and giving to them assurances that it will come as soon as they are reasonably prepared

When inc rogated by John G. Milforegoing sentence was to be construed and territorial," he replied: "I am in the audience shouted: hearty accord with that plank in the Democratic platform which advocates treating the Filipinos precisely as we did the Cubans; and I also favor making tion died out the intoxicated man was the promise to them NOW to take such | nowhere to be seen. action AS SOON AS IT CAN PRU-DENTLY BE DONE."

rudently be done.

word of promise to the ear, but puts its fulfillment beyond the pale of living he stands by them. hope? Why promise now what in the expediency and wisdom of the future it may never be prudent to fulfill?

No wonder the Democratic New York Times scornfully declares that "the only perceptible difference between the Demoeratic position and the Republican position is that Judge Parker would tell the Filipinos now what is in store for them. and President Roosevelt would not. * * * There is nothing either in his speech or in his letter to Mr. Milburn which would in any other than a heedless anti-imperialist mind lead to the conclusions that were he in the White House he would pursue toward our possessions in the far East a policy different from that pursued by President Roosevelt."

The Times further expresses the opinion that "If the American people were asked to vote to-day upon the question of immediately granting independence to the Philippines, they would vote the proposition down ten to one, perhaps twenty to one, certainly by an exemplary majority. They would vote it down because they are not insane and because they are not heartless. If they were asked to vote upon the question whether we should 'make the promise now' they would laugh in the faces of those who asked them to take the trouble to express their will upon a mere question of expe-

diency." A promise now to do something which it may be prudent to do fifty or two hundred years hence, possibly never, would seem to almost reach the unscalable heights of Democratic folly. Certainly Judge Parker's promise now with its "as soon as it can prudently be done" condition, eliminates the Philippine issue from the Democratic category of Republican transgressions.

Imperialism of Steel.

When the great iron and steel industry of the United States thrives, other American industries thrive. The Demceratic party could not legislate to destroy the protection to the iron and steel industry without legislating to destroy the prosperity of the United States.

The millions of additional profit and wages that have come to the iron and steel industry under Republican rule would have been earned, if at all, by foreign nations, had Democratic policies prevailed during the last eight years. The gigantic rise of this industry during the last eight years added enormously to the wealth of the United States, and every branch of American industry and agriculture has been stimulated by it. "Presperity at home and prestige abroad" has indeed been intimately connected with the increasing imperialism of

steel, which once was Pauper but now

Prosperity at Home, Prestige Abroad. "Prosperity at Home and Prestige Abroad"-was a campaign phrase that appealed with great force to the American people in 1900. It should appeal to them with still greater force in 1904. for during the last four years of forther Republican rule there have been still further great gains in the prosperity of the United States, and still further great increase in the respect entertained for the United States, by all the nations of the world.

Democratic Party Divided.

ing earnestly for the election of Parker. will occur happily no more.

The truth is, there is now more disaffection in the Democratic party than there was when Bryan was nominated the first time. Neither Bryan Democrats nor friends of W. R. Hearst will support Parker. In New York State the Bryanites have put a State Popunst ticket in the field and will vote for Watson, the Populist nominee for the Presidency. In New Jersey the Hearstites have organized the "People's Democratic party" and will fight the regular organization. In Indiana and other States the free silver and Bryan Democrats are in arms and will worry the Parker party.

THE WORKINGMAN'S FRIEND.

Railway Firemen Pay a Notable Tribute to President Roosevelt.

No President ever received a more notable tribute from a labor organization than Theodore Roosevelt did at the convention of the Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen held in Buffalo. A publie meeting was held on the night of Sept. 13. Fully 5,000 persons were in attendance.

Grand Master Hannahan, in concluding an address, called attention to the fact that a New York newspaper had criticised the President because he had accepted an honorary membership in the Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen. "Let me say," said Mr. Hannahan, "that if the President of the United States or worse than accept membership in this organization he will neither merit the ill will nor deserve the censure of any of his fellow-men. (Cheers.)

"If the rest of the public, and particularly those who are intrusted with the direction of our government and the terprises would do as the President and meet us upon a common level, there would be fewer strikes and less strife and more of peace and good-will in the industrial world." "What has the President done for

you?" shouted an intoxicated man, who stood near the stage door on the right. "What has the President done?" repeated Grand Master Hannaban. "The President has proven to the organized workingmen of this country that he has an interest in their welfare by accepting an honorary membership in an organizaresponsibility will be best subserved by tion of men whose faces are begrimed by smoke and dust, and who daily and

hourly face the gravest dangers." The monster audience burst into deafening cheers. The tumult rolled from wall to wall and back again. Men stood up on the benches, wildly waving burn of Buffalo as to whether the Del- their hats and cheering for the Presiphic phrase, "self-government," in the dent. The demonstration was spontaneous and was general all over the hall. as "identical with independence political Finally it died down and some one in

"Hurrah for Theodore Roosevelt!" And again the crowds burst into cheers and when the second demonstra-

During the demonstration the men on the stage sat silent and made no effort Aye, there's the rub! Give the promise, and a Democratic promise at that, now, and redeem it "as soon as it can revived. The Brotherhood does not permit politics to influence its action, but Was there ever a more flagrant case of its members, regardless of party, enterthat juggling with words that gives the tain a high opinion of President Roosevelt and will stand by him as firmly as

MR. DAVIS' CONTRIBUTION

Democratic Vice-Presidential Candidate Draws the Line at \$50,000. A press telegram dated Cumberland,

Md., Sept. 7, says: "It is stated on reliable authority from Elkins that the campaign contribution of Henry G. Davis will not be anything like the amount the Democratic managers had expected. He has fixed the amount for all purposes at \$50,000 and his brother, Col. Thomas B. Davis of Keyser, W. Va., gave a similar amount.

"Mrs. Elkins and Mrs. Arthur Lee, daughters of Mr. Davis, are known to have objected to their father contributing large sums, and his son, John T. Davis, is said to have done likewise.

"Four years ago John T. Davis spent a large sum in four counties when his Uncle Tom was a candidate for Congress, but no results were obtained. Col. Davis being defeated by a large vote. Since then the Davises have little faith in politicians' judiciously expending money.

There's some sense in the Davis family, it appears. The ex-Senator himself has always succeeded in hanging on to his dollars.

How much better it will be to use some of papa's money to buy pretty bonnets and gowns with, than to throw them to the mocking-birds of the Democratic campaign committee! And all for nothing, too!

Handicapped.

Marshall P. Wilder's most successful joke of the season has a political tang to it that is calculated to make even a Democrat with any sense of its eternal aptness laugh. He tells of a teacher who asks a class of boys whether they would like to be President of the United States. Observing that sanid the general enthusiasm of assent one boy was silent and disconsolate, she said:

"What's the matter, Willie? Don't you wish to be President?" "Yes'm, but I can't," replied the boy. "How do you know you can't?" she

"Because I'm a Democrat." That let him out.

Republican vs. Democratic Policy. Organization does much to maintain he wages of labor, but organization of wage-earners does not provide consumers. Consumption of coal is always greatest when sills and factories are run-ning full time. It is the policy of the Republican party to protect all industries by wise and beneficent laws, while it has been the policy of the Democratic party, as evidenced by the last Cleveland administration, to provide as much work as possible for the artisans of other countries by removing the protection the tar-

iff affords American workingmen. The Democratic party has been fatally wrong on every phase of the money question from the resumption of specie parments after the war to the establishment of the gold standard, both of which it opposed. It is constitutionally unfit to deal with financial questions.

The etery of the struggle on the edge of the arid belts is a record of heart-The Democratic campaign managers breaking disappointments and of failure are trying to headwink the mass of the for causes utterly beyond individual con-

NOTHING TO TAKE BACK.

How Will Bryan Explain His Hos- In each campaign

tility to Parker? William Jennings Bryan has been officially engaged by the Democratic Na- For each one knows tional Committee to make speeches in That as Maine goes New York, Indiana and other places. The former candidate for the presidency has something of a reputation as an | One time Maine "went agile political contortionist, but he will have the time of his life explaining his record during the present campaign. Mr. | This year the State Bryan has been on a good many sides of Has struck a gait a good many different questions, and yet he lives to tell the tale. But just how he proposes to advocate the election of At Esopus

Parker is a mystery. Bryan was opposed to Parker before the convention met at St. Louis. He was opposed to Parker every day during the | So blithe and gay, sessions of that inharmonious gathering. When Parker sent his telegram supple menting the Democratic platform Mr. The Texans shout Bryan rose from a bed of sickness to denounce the nominee as a traitor and a dictator, and his dramatic appearance on But D. B. Hill that Saturday night was one of the most | Has had a chill extraordinary episodes of an extraordinary convention. Bryan lashed Parker and he dared the convention to send a Much pain is felt telegram to the nominee demanding his Beneath the belt honest opinion on other well-known Democratic principles.

Later on Mr. Bryan, in his own paper, the Commoner, while the events in the convention were fresh before him, openly charged that Judge Parker was a party to a corrupt attempt to deceive the convention and that his nomination had been secured by improper means. It was then that the former candidate for the presidency put himself on record by saying in the Commoner of July 13, less than a week after the nomination: "I have noth-

ng to take back." It seems a curious thing to find a man who has "nothing to take back," appearng on the stump favoring the election of Alton B. Parker for the presidency. If Mr. Bryan has "nothing to take back," he should in common honesty when he appears on the stump in Indiana and isewhere, repeat to his audiences exactly what he said in the Commoner of July 13, which was printed exactly one week after the Democratic convention was called to order and only four days after Judge Parker was nominated for the presidency and had sent his telegram epudiating the Democratic platform. In this issue of the Commoner Mr.

Bryan said: "It was a plain and deliberate attemp to deceive the party. The New York platform was vague and purposely so, because the advocates of Judge Parker were trying to secure votes from among the people who would have opposed his views had they known them. The nomination was secured, therefore, by crooked and indefensible methods."

As an exhibition of political gymnas ties Bryan's campaign speech for Parker ought to be worth going miles to hear. If, as he says, he has "nothing to take back," how will he explain matters to the people? What did he mean when he said in the Commoner: "To: nd been seenred "by crooked means" If it was true then is it not true now?

Mr. Bryan in the Commoner said: "I shall not appeal for votes for the ticket on far grounds." How can he appear on the stump, therefore, and seriously ask the workingmen of the country to vote for the Democratic nominee after the Commoner had declared that "The labor plank as prepared by Judge Parker's friends on the subcommittee was

straddling, meaningless plank?" Was Mr. Bryan lying when he said in his paper, "A Democratic victory will mean very little, if any, progress so long as the party is under control of the Wall street element?"

If the party was under the control of the Wall street element when Mr. Bryan wrote that editorial, is it not just as much under the same control while he is n the stump?

Perhaps Mr. Bryan can explain away these things. Perhaps he can answer these questions. Perhaps not.

TAMMANY "TAR WATER."

Will It Prove an Acceptable Beverage to Respectable Democrats?

Judge Parker's "admonition." dressed to his waning supporters, in his speech to the visiting editors, has in it. for all its rhodomontade, a shadow of the pathetic.

It is little wonder that there are dis sensions in the Democratic camp, as staid gentlemen from the South, East and West, men who have certain traditions of respectability to reckon with, find that their candidate is and always has been cheek by jowl with David Bennett Hill and hand in glove with Tammany.

Judge Parker, recognizing the dangers of his position, but unable to shake off the political associates and methods by which he has risen, pleads fervently for "the elimination of personal, factional and unimportant differences involving no surrender of principle." Such elimination, he declares, "is essential to suc-

But will the Democrats drink the

Tammany "tar water?" There is something to be said-or there WAS-in favor, even, of "tar water." Bishop Berkeley in his famous eulogy upon that old-fashioned but unpleasant mixture declared: "IT IS OF A NATURE SO MILD AND BENIGN AND PROPORTIONED TO THE HUMAN CONSTITUTION AS TO WARM WITHOUT HEATING, TO CHEER BUT NOT INEBRIATE."

Still, tar water went out of fashion!

A man who is weak enough to put his candidacy in their (Mill's and Reimont's kands before the convention would not be strong enough to resist were by any possibility successful .-William J. Bryan.

Forty years of practical control of the government by the Republican party covers the whole period of modern progress. The only intervals of reaction or failure to progress were when the Democratic party was in power.

History shows that a Democratic tariff has always been followed by business adversity and a Republican tariff he has disclaimed calling President by business prospecity. Why not ac- Roosevelt "a fraud." That a little incept the verdict of history?

The Democratic party is like the man necessary to obtain it.

"AS MAINE GOES."

They look to Maine To make the future outcome plain.

The tide of public judgment flows.

bent for Kent," And every one knew what that meant.

That sets Republicans elate.

There is a fuss. Because the votes are going thus; And Gassaway.

Must write checks till election day.

And jeer and flout Because their State is not in doubt;

And thinks that he had best keep still.

Of those opposed to Roosevelt; They have the blues

At this great news-They know that Roosevelt can't lose.

The record shows

Maine.

That as Maine goes The tide of public judgment flows-The fight is vain.

For all explain That they will have to vote with

PENSION ORDER, NO. 78.

President Roosevelt's Action Is in Line with Law and Precedent.

The groundless character of the charge that President Roosevelt has exceeded his constitutional powers is shown clearly by examination of the facts and the laws concerned in the executive action known as the "age pension order" issued

last March by direction of the President. Anyone who will take the trouble to ead the act of June 27, 1890, as amended May 9, 1900, will find a clear basis to begin with. It directs who shall have pensions, and how the amount of the pension, in each case, snall be determined, as follows:

All persons who served 90 days or more in the military or naval service of the United States during the late war of the rebellion and who have been honorably disrebellion and who have been honorably dis-charged therefrom, and who are now or who may hereafter be suffering from a mental or physical disability of a perma-nent character, not the result of their own vicious habits, which incapacitates them from the performance of manual labor in such a degree as to reuder them unable to earn a support, shall, upon making due proof of the fact according to such rules and resultings as the Secretary of the and regulations as the Secretary of the Interior may provide, be placed upon the list of invalid pensioners of the United States and be entitled to receive a pension not exceeding \$12 per month, and not less than \$6 per month, proportioned to the nomination of Judge Parker virtually nullifies the anti-trust plank?" Was it true on July 13 that Parker's nomination

Thus, as plain as words can make it, is authority given to the Secretary of the Interior to determine what pension shall be paid to any applicant for pension who served ninety days in the War of Rebellion, was honorably discharged, and who is disable for the performance of manual labo by any cause other than

the results of his own vicious habits. The Supreme Court has decided that upon the point of establishing the rate of pension to be paid, within the limits prescribed by the law the Secretary of the Interior has entire control. The only check or supervision upon him is from the President of the United States, whom the general laws specifically direct shall have control of the Commissioner of Pensions and the administration of the pen-

sion system. Therefore, it was directly in line with the duties imposed upon him according to section 471, U. S. Revised Statutes, that President Roosevelt gave the celebrated order which has been called an evidence of "usurpation," "imperialism." "a desire to override the constitution," a "looting of the treasury," and other hard names, by excitable Democrats. The section of the Revised Statutes referred

to reads as follows: "The Commissioner of Pensions shall perform, under the direction of the Secretary of the Interior, such duties in the execution of the various pension and bounty-land laws as may be prescribed

by the President." President Roosevelt, in his pension order, did no more than his plain duty, acting strictly within the powers conferred upon him by the Congress of the United States.

Parker's Election Would Unsettle Eusiness.

Eugene A. Merrill, president of the Minnesota Loan and Trust Company of Minneapolis, in an interview in the Commercial West of Minneapolis says:

"Much has been said concerning the insignificance of the coming election so far
as it relates to business. It has been urged
that the maintenance of the gold standard is assured, etc., but, while the theory
of the case is excellent, yet as a matter
of fact the man with money to invest does
not want to be monetarily involved in
unsettling of conditions through a change
of administration. The policy of the party
in power is pretty well known and its continuance in office will precipitate no difficulties. The policy of the opposition may
be ever so clearly conjectured, but its accession to control would. I think, cause
some contraction in business and firancial
enterprises, at least temporarily until the "Much has been said concerning the inenterprises, at least temporarily until the safety and conservatism now talked of should be more substantially demonstrat-

Taggart Is Fascinated.

Tom Taggart is so fascinated by the inscrutable mystery behind Judge Parker's speech of acceptance that he cannot lay it aside long enough to take his their influence after election, if he meals. He pores over it from morn till dewy eve. He reads it in his bath at French Lick Springs and drops to sleep reading it in bed. He declares that the elusive mystery of what it all means becomes clearer with every perusal, and that by the close of the campaign he confidently expects that it will be as clear as the water of his own Plute spring.

Now we know that David B. Hill intends to quit polities next January, for nocuous fling like that when he has exhausted the vocabulary of vituperation upon the Republican half of the Ameriwho was in favor of prohibition but can people for "nigh on 40 years" is "agin" the enforcement. It favors a surely a sign that David is setting his Panama Canal, but opposes the measures house in order and wants to depart political life at peace with all men-