## TRIAL OF EX-SHERIFF STILL

(Continued from Page 1)

Wayne Gouchenour had been driving ting here on time. the car. Witness had been arrested at Nebraska City; thought for posses- From Friday's Dailytasting; his opinion was that it was day was confined to the important, as different items of his audit were case; if these the same case, item intoxicating. Had told Mrs. Kaufbut uninteresting testimony of O. M. attacked by the able defense counsel charged should be eliminated from mann that he "wanted a pint" and Campbell, the public accountant, of as incorrect and the close of the afbig summary.

Dell and checked the same, it only covered fees in Cass county courts. This morning.

1.1 1923, while the grand jury was covered fees in Cass county courts. Had found a case that compared being for district court fees and \$76 with case of Davis vs. Barkhurst in The first witness called was Wilshe had procured it for him. Shown the prosecution, who had made a ternoon session came with the witstate's exhibit of bottle of liquor, he check of the records in the office of ness very much on the defensive as vs. Sharp, witness was asked if he

\$6 for certified certificate fees also Might have referred to prior case, was convicted at the December term identified it as the same he bought the clerk of the district court, the regarding his audit. thing about the price to Mrs. Kaufmann. Did not think he had said cases. Nebraska City for possession.

Koebel to Kaufmann home on July the indictment. and asked sheriff where Grand hotel what he was required to do. was located. Sheriff had arrested Koebel and deputy sheriff had taken cigar holder and it had burned with testimony was used.

ed had had a few drinks in Omaha, but did not bring any liquor with ments. him on that day.

the past sixty years. He identified the reports offered by the state as

report filed on the 1st of April, July Blue flame indicated presence of allocation or October, 1922. The report for the cohol. Had possession of bottle unfirst, second and third quarters of till time of convening Cass county definite matters which he did not unfirst, second and third quarters of till time of convening Cass county definite matters which he did not unfirst, second and building association vs. Had checked case of Plattsmouth South 10th street and knew Mrs. Had checked case of Plattsmouth South Indianation of South 10th street and knew Mrs. Had checked case of Plattsmouth South Indianation Checked case of Plattsmouth South Indianation Checked Case of Plattsmouth Indianation Checked Case o to those filed on November 21, 1923, chenour, driver of the car.

ports filed covered all of the quarters in 1922 and Cass earlier in the year, the two cases. of 1923. The first Tuesday of Janu- Had been hired to audit office of In case of State vs. R. Wells, an against Quinton; had nothing for \$2 listed under "State vs. Mills."

Supplemental report had been filed sheriff by county commissioners. Was item of \$2 was referred to witness against Quinton. Said had found for \$2 listed under "State vs. Mills."

J. M. Patterson, which had not find any Mills case only water with Could not find any Mills case.

taken until 1:30 this afternoon.

afternoon, Mrs. Alma Sydebotham, cember. This was incomplete only in rants for "John Doe" and stated deputy clerk of the district court, that some had not been compared there were. He had investigated, but the had nurchased aroused considerable and sufficient the source of the wife of the wife only a short time. Quinton had left the had nurchased aroused considerable and sufficient the source of the wife only a short time. Quinton had left the had nurchased aroused considerable and sufficient the source of the wife only a short time. was the first witness sworn, and she with files in the courts, eports were did not find any substituted names

peace, was next sworn and testified charged in audit as not being paid. In the case of Klemme vs. Klemme,

so found foreign fees not accounted Mfg. Co. vs. Dall, given in summary In case of Robler vs. Tonak, the the sheriff per month. As far as he the case of Robler vs. Tonak, the for in report; as well as fees in justice court for 1922 not accounted for not to be an error and witness would

to Grebe.

The same of Robler vs. Tonak, the the sherili per month. As lar as ne the case of Livingston Loan and to Grebe.

Was asked if this amount was not or \$1.43 per month. On cross examiness because there had been no one

dence on direct testimony was still day.

in progress.

not engaged in the sale or transpor- for by the sheriff in making his renot engaged in the sale or transpor-tation of liquor; did not bring any port. In the summary that was read be a session of the court held on Sat- In county court cases Robler vs. tation of liquor; did not bring any port. In the summary that was read liquor; did not bring any port. In the summary that was read liquor; did not bring any port. In the summary that was read liquor; did not bring any port. In the summary that was read liquor; did not bring any port. In the summary that was read liquor; did not bring any port. In the summary that was read liquor; did not bring any port. In the summary that was read liquor from Omaha; was arrested in to the jury and introduced into the usual week-end Tonak, fee of \$8, witness asked if a From Saturday's Daily record as one of the state's principal adjournment. Wayne Gouchenour on direct ex- exhibits by Attorney William R. Patamination stated he had lived here rick, appeared the sum of \$650, al- With the resumption of the trial know. amination stated he had lived here leged to be due on the accounts of this morning, cross examination of all his life and knew Henry Koebel the sheriff for the period covered by the accountant O. M. Campbell was feel the sheriff had sometimes been tors which had been brought out in

The report of Mr. Campbell also defense counsel. come out of house and placed bottle sheriff to the board of commissioners, State Bank vs. Edgar Spence, was

the testimony of the accountant was, by the court or set aside, or whether however, much more rapid than in the fees had actually been paid to bottle from the car. Sheriff Ryder however, much more rapid than in the fees had actually been paid to several hours.

rested in Nebraska City; had not in the district court of Douglas coun- ness had not investigated whether been convicted of any felony in Cass in the district court of Douglas coun- ness had not investigated whether of Otoe county. Had taken bottle to eral receipts in the office for Decemshortage than Campbell's; had found beer and grape juice. Sans had said county. Was at the Otoe county jail ty read to him by Mr. Patrick, to put there was record sale held. county. Was at the Otoe county jail to read to min by Mr. Patrick, to put there was record sale held.

Shortage than Campbell's; had found beer and grape juice. Sans had said been paid to the of the shortage than Campbell's; had found beer and grape juice. Sans had said been paid to the of the shortage than Campbell's; had found beer and grape juice. Sans had said been in which fees had been paid to the of \$3 in case of Plattsmouth State bell report. Campbell audit had been for home consumption. Witness said bell report. Campbell audit had been for home consumption. Witness said any out of Koebel's bottle. Had been in Omaha on day of going to Nebrasha City. Had not drank any liquor that dad. Did not believe they had received bottle in Omaha on day in question.

A. G. Long, of Murray, was sworn in the books as of December 30th, which rese had been \$2.50 or \$3.

A. G. Long, of Murray, was sworn in the books as of December 30th, witness' report was made to Hyers and counted for in the reports of the er it should have been \$2.50 or \$3.

A. G. Long, of Murray, was sworn in the books as of December 30th, witness' report was made to Hyers and counted that he knew Walter Sans. Had seen beer at Sans home. Sans. Had seen beer at Sans home. Reputation was that Sans home was the sheriff's report the sheriff's report to the books as of December 30th, witness' report was made to Hyers and counted that he knew Walter Sans. Had seen beer at Sans home. Sans. Had seen beer at Sans home. Reputation was that Sans home was the sheriff's report to the books as of December 30th, witness' report was made to Hyers and counted that he knew Walter Sans. Had seen beer at Sans home. Sans. Had seen beer at Sans home was the first of the year.

On re-direct, Greenfield said both his office the sheriff's report and testified that he knew Walter Sans. Had seen beer at Sans home. Sans home was the first of the year.

On re-direct, Greenfield said both into the record. Witness identified \$3.75 was charged against the sheriff. There were thirty-five of the property of the first of the books as of December 30th, witness and countered that he knew Walter Sans. Had seen beer at Sans home. S

iff of Cass county had served instru- cross examination.

been a resident of Cass county for the reservation of use under another title. in rebuttal.

witness replied it was not. After excounty. There had been no report car; Koebel had been drinking. He
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had walked over to car and secured
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had been drinking.

Witness replied it was not. After exGeneral reputation that of a booze
filed on the 1st day of April, July, or had the case, July, and the case, July, and fees of the office of the sheriff. No quantity and burned it in a dish. ciffically what kind. Said he had report filed on the 1st of April, July Blue flame indicated presence of almost asked sheriff about vague or indicated presence of almost asked sheriff about vague or indicated presence of almost asked sheriff about vague or indicated presence of almost asked in the report of Campbell.

Had checked case of Plattsmouth book, but had paid the fees in the evidence from the soldiers. Admitted book, but had paid the fees in the evidence from the soldiers. Admitted book, but had paid the fees in the evidence from the soldiers. Admitted book, but had paid the fees in the evidence from the soldiers. Admitted book, but had paid the fees in the evidence from the soldiers. Admitted book, but had paid the fees in the evidence from the soldiers. Admitted book, but had paid the fees in the evidence from the soldiers. Admitted book, but had paid the fees in the evidence from the soldiers. Admitted book, but had paid the fees in the evidence from the soldiers. Admitted book, but had paid the fees in the evidence from the soldiers. Admitted book but had paid the fees in the evidence from the soldiers. Admitted book but had paid the fees in the evidence from the soldiers. Admitted book but had paid the fees in the evidence from the soldiers. Admitted book but had paid the fees in the evidence from the soldiers. Admitted book but had paid the fees in the evidence from the soldiers. identified by W. T. Adams. There had Koebel. On re-direct by Patrick, he if this was not the same given in Kaufmann home was that of a place amount in the Morton case.

filed January 1, 1924. The reports Campbell was made by Paul Jessen, ness from \$3 to \$2.

ed its case when the noon recess was had an assistant for the rest of the files on exhibit.

William Weber, justice of the was accounted for when this fee was total, witness stated.

as shown by the returns. Several of reporting fee. Said had not charged bell was asked as to charge of \$1.75, urer, was county treasurer in 1922. cluded in sheriff's reports to have ter Sans having a place where could these were identified by comparing items to sheriff in order to make the and that of the report made by the Had in the office records of fees paid been \$524. Asked what had been buy liquor. Received a report on a fee book with entries on the docket. amount appear large, and had only sheriff of \$13.70. Witness stated that in by the county sheriff. Dates were deducted. Was impossible to tell visit of Sheriff Quinton at Hyers' of-At the hour of going to press, the discovered that there was error in there was an additional instrument correct as to time paid into the what figures. Said they were in the fice; reported a little beer and a litintroduction of Mr. Campbell's evi- name in title of case on this same filed in that case covering the \$1.75; treasury. One receipt showed pay- Manley bank case; guessed at figures. tle wine, Had discussed several places

The attendance at the trial show- item of \$1.50, alleged to have been defendant. Witness had corrected fee Shown receipt issued in December, bell of the state auditing force. ed a very large crowd even with the included in report for second quar- book by checking with report.

1922, stated this had been changed Witness had found a case of Livingston Loan and through an error, having been first ingston Loan and Building associations. and the interest of the audience was third quarter, was called to attention Building association vs. Larson, the written as January 2, 1923. of witness who said he did not know; sum of \$8.50 was charged in two The court was kept waiting for apart. Could not explain the lapse of the results to date. Quinton had askhaving their witnesses on the scene sheriff on November 21, 1922, while to whether he had examined them of the office of the county treasurer Stated that by foreign fees was ed for assistance; did not remember of action and the changes of the grand jury was in session. Had se- or not. deal; liquor he bought tasted like course of the testimony were made cured \$574 as the result of addition Witness questioned as to returns base the evidence offered. The date tried in courts outside of Cass couning of the testimony were made cured \$574 as the result of addition. that he was used to drinking; was necessary by the slowness of the wit- of the fees from the reports of the for 1922. Cases of Bank of Commerce was declared to be a clerical error. ty, but where sheriff of this county. On cross examination Hyers said the time; did not be a clerical error. not intoxicated at the time; did not nesses in responding, some eing de- sheriff for 1922. Requested by Jessen vs. Spence were separate instruments Receipts covering payments total- made a sheriff. Admitted no report Quinton had not told him of drinkremember drinking from the bottle. layed by the snowy roads from get- to think over night whether he could and properly charged. In case of ing \$515.75 were read. Witness was available except the sheriff kept a ing any beer at Sans home; had said

taken.

Cross Examination Continued

that he was required to do.

The technical and slow process of see whether sale had been approved that he was required to do.

The cross examination of Mike part of sheriff.

The cross examination of Mike part of sheriff. a blue flame. On cross examination, witness stated he had not been arwiness stated he had not been arrested in Nebraska City; had not in the district court of Douglas count. Sidney J. Bentley, deputy clerk of the court of an order setting aside foreman of the grand jury in No-January 2, 1922, had been changed ness only found one. Admitted might same had visited the vember, 1923. Had saw the bottle to a date of December 30, 1921. On have been a foreign fee; was error special state sheriff, looking for a was sworn and had record of cases state, but objection overruled. Without the district court of Douglas count. The district court of Douglas count of Douglas count. The district court of Douglas count of Douglas count. The district court of Douglas count. The district court of Douglas count. The district court of Douglas count of Douglas count. The district court of Douglas count. The dis

received bottle in Omaha on day in question.

Henry Koebel was recalled to the stand and testified to having been at the Kaufmann home several times. Said had drank at that place several times. No hesitancy on part of Mrs.

Kaufmann to supply liquor if she kaufman Kaufmann to supply liquor if she fied some eight cases in the Lancas- by the state was sustained as a matin community. On cross examinacounty court cases.

Objection of defense to reading \$1.50 in case of Lincoln Trust Co. vs. liquor at the place.

Certified copies of returns from disWorden, as amount was reported un
Mrs. Vesta Swenniker testified that reports of the defendant, C. D. Quintic ton, which had been filed in the office of the county clerk and to which reports he had sworn the sheriff. He ports he had sworn the sheriff. He could be had.

Certified copies of returns from unstrict courts of Johnson and Platte trict courts of Johnson and Johnson and Johnson and Johnson

been no reports for 1923 filed prior stated had not arrested Wayne Gou- sheriff's report for 1923 as Pease vs. Where liquor was sold. Cummins. Witness stated these were

for the first three quarters of 1923 chief counsel for Mr. Quinton. Had In case of State vs. Sam Pereci, had been filed during the time of the audited offices of four sheriffs of dif- item of \$3 was referred to witness, her \$1.50 for it. Found liquor weaksession of the grand jury.

ferent counties in the state—Seward, who was asked if this not the same On cross examination by Mr. Jes- Pierce, Brown and Cass. Brown coun- as reported in State vs. "Parish." ferent counties in the state-Seward, who was asked if this not the same on cross examination by Mr. Jessens Brown and Cass. Brown couns as reported in State vs. "Parish."

Sen, Mr. Sayles stated that the respect of the state vs. "Parish."

The scene shifted back to the alleged protection of liquor vendors in 1923, Seward county Said he had made no connection of ports filed covered all of the quarters in 1922 and Cass earlier in the year, the two cases.

The scene shifted back to the alleged protection of liquor vendors in 1922 and Cass earlier in the year, the two cases.

Witness said he had never heard whiskey. On cross examination the whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the state of the same whiskey. On cross examination the same which is the same white same which is the same white same which is the same white same

as to records in his office consisting Also shown case of Plattsmouth Loan report of sheriff showed \$8 return, of 33 warrants that had been issued & Building association vs. Briggs of the building association vs. Briggs of the conclusion of the testimony by him in his official capacity and one entitled the Bank of Complete the building association vs. Briggs of the conclusion of the testimony by sheriff for which a charge of 75 that there was some place he want-presented a claim that excess fees had duplicate. In case of Maper vs. Clyagainst. State Rests Case at 3 P. M.

In the case of Klemme vs. Klemme, report of sheriff showed \$8 return, to which witness had charged sheriff of Wr. Kaufmann adjournment was compared to the conclusion of the testimony by sheriff for which a charge of 75 that there was some place he want-presented a claim that excess fees had duplicate. In case of Maper vs. Clyagainst. Sergeant had reported to on which returns were filed by C. D. report of fees totaling \$8.

Quinton, Sheriff.

Two fees in the case of McPherson been for revenue stamps that had

At the reconvening of court a

In case of Mayfield vs. Keckler, an book, but not included in report of another dated December 30th, 1922. the account, the same as had Camp- Quinton and Grebe as state deputies.

verify his addition of these figures Birdsal vs. Clymer charge was made asked if there were record of fees record of foreign fees. No record here there was some beer and wine there. as correct, when adjournment was of alleged shortage. Witness asked if paid on April 1st of that year. The as far as he knew as to foreign fees Stated Quinton had the assistance of not the same as that of "Marine Ins. defense objected to question as not being collected by sheriff. sion of liquor; could not say for certain that it was. Liquor was sharp session of the district court yester- on cross examination by Mr. Jessen. Witness replied that found only one Had issued receipt on November bell and checked the same; it only court was adjourned until 9 o'clock

had not included mileage in the sum issued on November 21, 1923. These \$3.75 amount shown in audit and a of assault and battery and who has of Mrs. Kaufmann. Last time he re- county clerk and in the justice courts Judge Troup called the attorneys alleged to have been unpaid by Quin- the only receipts issued to defendant later fee of \$6 reported. Witness ad- three indictments pending against membered bottle was when he took in which Sheriff C. D. Quinton was to the bench strongly urging that ton. Declared he had not; that he during year except one in February, drink at Union. Did not say any the officer serving the papers in the there be an ernest effort made to had deducted 50 cents for mileage. 1923.

stat suggestion of Mrs. Roebel, riad contained the correction of amounts The sum of \$56 charged against at \$5. Witness stated that of the Marine Bank might Had seen Sans outside of house and stopped there and koepel had gotten in the reports of fees made by the the sheriff in the case of the Manley on whether warrant was served or the concession by Mr. Greenfield, the be a foreign case.

derdes case, shown on Campbell's the exact date that he saw derived there with the mules. Jack Patterson the amount paid by sheriff had been looking after paid in January and placed in the case of Plattsmouth Loan and Build-vestigation. Quinton had Grane the exact date that he saw Quinton any liquor. On re-direct, the wit-Witness admitted a mistake of ness stated that he knew there was

One report had been filed since that Howard McCullock, deputy sheriff two separate items although connectfied that he lived on farm near this as sheriff had accounted for \$6.50 and been paid \$12.13. Witness stated if cigar box at Sans home at the time time, covering the fourth quarter of of Otoe county, had seen test of ed with the same case.

1923, and a supplemental report. The liquor from Koebel's bottle.

Item in arest and serving warrant fourth quarterly report of 1923 was The cross examination of O. M. in justice court was reduced by witness of Davis va Barkhurst. In the filed January 1, 1924. The reports Campbell was made by Paul Jessen ness from \$3 to \$2. had produced bottle and he had paid case of Schulke vs. Gerdes et al, item and Building association vs. Milton in November, 1921. Had seen soldiers er than he expected. Tasted like as to mileage.

tle he had purchased aroused consid- a presumption on part of the wit- place before witness. office, consisting of cases in which service had been performed by Sheriff Quinton.

William Weber, justice of the was accounted for when this fee and asked as to case of the was accounted for when this fee that Campbell and asked as to case of the without names at present.

Frank I. Peterson, of Omaha, who indication that the dog's presence was an of auditors in the court room, but the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly and ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the ripple of laughter was promptly fee entitled State vs. John Doe. Without the rip is on the job at all times to see that Campbell and asked as to case of camp in August, 1922. Was the regi- grand jury. No white dog with her. no infractions of court rules take Bank of Commerce vs. W. B. Spence mental intelligence officer; duties

Mrs. Sydebotham was recalled and identified record book No. 1 of the district clerk's office.

Allen J. Beeson, county judge, strument or file to the Allen J. Beeson, county judge, strument on file to show that service then same case, provided one was the file to show that service then same case, provided one was the file to show that service then same case, provided one was the file to show that service then same case, provided one was the file to show the service then same case, provided one was the file to show the service then same case, provided one was the file to show the service then same case, provided one was the file to show the service then same case, provided one was the file to show the service then same case, provided one was the file to show the service then same case, provided one was the file to show the service then same case, provided one was the file to show the service then same case, provided one was the file to show the service the same case, provided one was the file to show the service the same case, provided one was the file to show the service that the same case, provided one was the file to show the same case, provided one was the file to show the same case, provided one was the s who had made an audit of the acbeen shown as being paid by Sheriff
counts of Sheriff Quinton. An error in including the
years 1922 and 1923. Not completed the mount of the Comparison with reports since the figures.

November, 1923. Had checked sheriff's report for 1923.

Mr. Campbell stated be found fees who had made an audit of the acbeen shown as being paid by Sheriff
Camp 332. M. W. A. overpaid \$1 on witness was shown exhibits of the
stated by Mr. Quinton, witness showeach by Mr. Quinton for the quinton for the opic on prising claims filed in the office for the defendant. This was objected to have by the defendant. This was objected to have by the defendant of the witness was an attorney price of the figure of the found fees, and only one fee charged by the sheriff and their inclusion on the ground fact of the figure of t

than those accounted for in 1922, stated, but would not state definitely. Campbell stated it would require time to investigate this.

The witness said thought he was in Omaha. No written to investigate this.

The witness stated he did not the would require time to investigate this.

The witness stated he did not the was in Omaha. No written to investigate this.

The witness stated he had found no case of this kind on ten reports made to superior officers of Sans. Sheriff had asked for search the second man, but wine there. Did not remember that the witness stated he had found no case of this kind on ten reports made to superior officers of Sans. Sheriff had asked for search the second man, but wine there. Did not remember that the witness stated he had found no case of this kind on ten reports made to superior officers of Sans. Sheriff had asked for search the second man, but wine there. Did not remember that the witness stated he did not seen the second man, but witness add thought he was in Omaha. No written to investigate this.

that amount had been shown on fee ment in February, 1922, for fees; Had charged the sheriff with \$46 on as well as Sans. Had deputized both

The witness testified as to the var- offered to hold night sessions if it Urwin vs. Urwin, witness asked as rect testimony was completed at 3 docket a fee for \$5.25 shown; also rect examination he had told two it was intoxicating liquor before the grand jury. On cross examination he had told two docket a fee for \$5.25 shown; also rect examination he had told two docket a fee for \$5.25 shown; also rect examination he had told two grand jury. On cross examination he had told two docket a fee for \$5.25 shown; also rect examination he had told two grand jury. On cross examination he had told two docket a fee for \$5.25 shown; also rect examination he had told two grand jury. On cross examination he had told two docket a fee for \$5.25 shown; also rect examination he had told two grand jury. On cross examination he had told two docket a fee for \$5.25 shown; also rect examination he had told two grand jury. On cross examination he had told two docket a fee for \$5.25 shown; also rect examination he had told two grand jury. On cross examination he had told two grand jury. On cross examination he had told two grand jury. On cross examination he had told two grand jury. On cross examination he had told two grand jury. On cross examination he had told two grand jury. his report had not been accounted attorneys could not agree to this and Replied he thought they were dupli- inning just as the Journal goes to fees, \$5.25. The case of Gerdes vs. Maize mill and from there they had press.

covered in the Journal's report and circumstances.

dictment against defendant returned was no such case. on November 27th. The state rested

Robertson at 3 p. m. Hyers Witness for Defense

where liquor was sold.

In the case of R. Davis vs. B. Davis office of the clerk of the district report of destroying booze.

Fred Kaufmann was sworn. Testiet al an item of \$6 appeared wherecourt. Did not know that sheriff had Grebe stated had seen \$295 in a

and one entitled the Bank of Com- covered camp and city. Quinton had times 100 yards from the house. Deduplicate. In case of Mayer vs. Cly- against. Sergeant had reported to Kaufmann home. John Anderson had

which had been earned and charged to learn if error in title of case in In case of Ofe vs. Holly, Mr. Camp- Mike Trusch, deputy county trees-field stated the total fees for 1922 in- 1928. Had received reports of Wal-

tion vs. Cromwell. Was four months giving of instructions. Stated they

complete the case before Sunday and In case of Treat vs. Urwin and The introduction of the state's di-sheriff not shown. On appearance Sarpy county. Witness stated on di-Gerdes on the appearance docket; no gone to Walt Sans farm. Gunner case such as given on sheriff's report. Johnson was driving the car in which duplicate of case reported in district At the afternoon session of court case not. Might have been a foreign to make a "buy" if possible. Men court. Witness stated he did not yesterday, the time between that fee. Also in the Paresi case, same had money on them. Witness was

the accountant O. M. Campbell was continued by Attorney Jessen of the fees of sheriff had sometimes been ters which had been brought out in of the items might be a foreign fee. himself in shadow of tree and had reduced to \$3 and other times left the gruelling cross examination of Witness stated that Clymer case seen men come back from the house.

not. Declared that two cases had auditor of Mr. Quinton, that some of In the case of Bank of Commerce lowed him into basement. Found a been reported at \$3 when it should the items charged to have been ercome out of house and placed bottle same of which were in sums not suf- the first item challenged. Witness been reported at \$3 when it should the items charged to have been errors might have been due to foreign sheriff. In cases of Robler vs. Tonak, Sans under arrest and placed beer in sheriff had reported fees in excess of reading the returns; might have been due to foreign sheriff. In cases of Robler vs. Tonak, Sans under arrest and placed beer in sheriff had reported fees in excess of reading the returns; might have been due to foreign sheriff. In cases of Robler vs. Tonak, Sans under arrest and placed beer in sheriff had reported fees in excess of reading the returns; might have been due to foreign sheriff. In cases of Robler vs. Tonak, Sans under arrest and placed beer in sheriff had reported fees in excess of reading the returns; might have been due to foreign sheriff. In the preparation of his fee in both cases. Could find basement of the fail. Some beer in

bettle from the car. Sheriff Ryder had been fined to be sheriff or not. Witness was had poured some of the liquor into cigar holder and it had burned with a blue flame. On cross examination,

A. G. Long, of Murray, was sworn in the books as of December 30th, up to November 27th. Witness' re- report was made to Hyers and coun-

yer; attorney for defendant. Knew Miss Mia Gering, county treasur-of Livingston Loan and Building as he was at Sans place the first time er, next called to the stand, stated sociation. Witness had charge of in November, 1921. Quinton had James M. Robertson was sworn and to look over the report as to the bottles in one case and a few bottles testified that grand jury was conven- Livingston Loan and Building asso- in others. Caps on bottles. Had been

its case with the testimony of Mr. Building association vs. Falter, where Sans as true. Did not know that no The first witness called by the de- mission paid to the sheriff. Case of that Sans had made it himself. Would to the liquor issue, when Carl Ryder.

Saw a great many cars going to and the liquor issue, when Carl Ryder.

Another case taken up was a fee from Kaufmann home. Continued for fense was G. L. Greenfield, account. Of the sheriff of Otoe county described the sheriff of Otoe county described to the otoe county described to the George R. Sayles, county clerk, sheriff of Otoe county, described the of \$6 in the Plattsmouth State Bank a year up to the time of the calling ant for the past six years, and the plattsmouth State Bank a year up to the time of the sheriff. This was and grape juice where owner made of \$6 in the Plattsmouth State Bank a year up to the time of the calling ant for the past six years. was sworn and testified that his of- facts of the arrest of C. H. Koebel at vs. Hall action. Mr. Jessen inquired of the grand jury. Not many callers ferred to court files in the plattsmouth State Bank a year up to the time of the soldiers was charged at \$12.70. Mr. Tidd declars had been picked up for having beer fice had the custody of the report Nebraska City on the evening of July if this item was not for mileage. of the sheriff of the 1, 1923. Had seen Koebel get out of Witness replied it was not. After ex
General reputation that of a booze

Asked as to the had been mileage. Of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. Not many callers itered to court files in checking up the sheriff of the grand jury. The grand jury is the sheriff of the grand jury in the sheriff of the grand jury in the sheriff of the grand jury in the grand jury in the

The advance fees had been paid into had passed on case. Never made any

filed by the association.

Supplemental report had been filed now auditing other offices.

In January of this year purporting to correct errors.

The state had about half complet
Supplemental report had been filed now auditing other offices.

J. M. Patterson, Union banker, in manh during the time he was a deputy state sheriff. Was state deputy some whiskey in it. On re-direct, had known Sheriff Quinton for fif
Sand had loud not find any Mills case on charge of Bank of Union, stated he was asked if this not the some whiskey in it. On re-direct, had known Sheriff Quinton for fif
Sand had loud not find any Mills case on charge of Bank of Union, stated he was asked if this not the some whiskey in it. On re-direct, had known Sheriff Quinton for fif
Sand had loud not find any Mills case on charge of Bank of Union, stated he was asked if this not the some whiskey in it. On re-direct, had known Sheriff Quinton for fif
Sand had loud not find any Mills case on charge of Bank of Union, stated he was asked if this not the some whiskey in it. On re-direct, had known Sheriff Quinton for fif
Sand had loud not find any Mills case on charge of Bank of Union, stated he was asked if this not the some whiskey in it. On re-direct, had known Sheriff Quinton for fif
Sand had loud not find any Mills case on charge of Bank of Union, stated he was asked if this not the some whiskey in it. On re-direct, had known Sheriff Quinton for fif
Sand had loud not find any Mills case on charge of Bank of Union, stated he was asked if this not the some whiskey in it. On re-direct, had known Sheriff Quinton for fif
Sand had loud not find any Mills case on charge of Bank of Union banker, in loud not find any Mills case on charge of Bank of Union, stated he was asked if this not the some charge of Bank of Union had the was asked if this not the some charge of Bank of Union had the was asked if this not the some charge of Bank of Union had the was asked if this not the some charge of Bank of Union had the was asked if this not the was asked if this not the some The state had about half complet- 1923. Was alone the first day and parties. Did not find Mills case was against several he had wanted to buy "whiskey" and had found no fee reported under this judgment against Cromwell and the Kaufmann home. Had no official d its case when the noon recess was had an assistant for the rest of the aken until 1:30 this afternoon.

On the reconvening of court this county clerk in the early part of Determinant nome. Had no official she had spoken up and said she title, but found feed reported under sheriff had taken mules under judg-sheriff had taken mul

Case of Livingston Loan and Build- member the name of the other man. Sans place and found some beer and Mr. Campbell stated he found fees vs. George E. Dovey of 75 cents. to which amount \$15 was added in nature, and the objection was suscilled by Sheriff Quinton other Might have been a mistake, witness his audit, as unpaid by Mr. Quinton. tained by the court.

in report.

Mr. Campbell had prepared a summary covering fees not accounted for Jochim vs. Parmele was cited. With the stated that amount statute as long as he had been counted for Jochim vs. Parmele was cited. With the stated had not checked record should be reduced.

Was asked it this amount was not or \$143 per month. On cross examination, the sheriff as a shewn of Urwin vs. Larson. Case of Urwin vs.

Began outline of instructions he had

Grebe as state deputy at the time.

Case of Wells on docket, and Mills they were riding. Had told soldiers hid in brush and two men had gone witness had run after him and fol-

ciation vs. Cromwell; declared there a law enforcement officer since 1917. He had operated in Cass county In the case of Livingston Loan and largely. Had accepted statement of an item of \$8 was charged. Mr. Tidd one could manufacture near beer stated sale was set aside and no com- without a chemical process. Thought

Grebe said he knew Julia Kauf-J. M. Patterson, Union banker, in mann during the time he was a dep-Had been out near place, somehe ordinary. Had never tried to get

(Continued on page 6.)